

22-5205  
No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED  
MAY 18 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Layla Coriz — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.  
Roderick Thompson RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Santa Fe District Court  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Layla Coriz  
(Your Name)

Po Box 577  
(Address)

Chimayo NM 82522  
(City, State, Zip Code)

505 357 1503  
(Phone Number)

**QUESTION(S) PRESENTED**

That my lawyer failed to ask for a mistrial in writing.

That the Santa Fe District Court failed to turn over the video from the trial.

That the Sante Fe District Court sealed all my records.

### **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

My lawyer : Roderick Thompson

### **RELATED CASES**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Court of Appeals State of N.M.
APPENDIX B	1st Judicial District Court
APPENDIX C	Supreme Court of State of N.M.
APPENDIX D	Supreme Court of State of N.M.
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

D-117-cr-2016-00020	Pg. 2
S-1-SC-38792	Pg. 1
S-1-SC-39114	Pg. 1
A-1-CA-36713	Pg. 38

### STATUTES AND RULES

Roderick Thompson did not file a motion for a mistrial, when he should of.

### OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

reported at Supreme Court of State of N.M.; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Supreme Court of State of N.M. court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

reported at Supreme N.M. Court; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 2-22-22.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix D.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Juror Misconduct = When James Coriz talked with a juror, and the juror made a note about it, to the judge, and nothing was done. My lawyer should of intervened.
2. When the jury was asked to be led out by the police, based upon fear. Mistrial should have happened right then. The judge told my lawyer to ask for a mistrial in writing, and he failed to do so.

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

My lawyer Roderick Thompson, failed to ask for the video of trial misconduct, and also failed to ask for a mistrial in writing.


**REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

For the law not being followed. No person from the trial should have spoke with the jury. Since that happened the case should have been declared a mistrial. My lawyer failed to ask for a mistrial in writing.

## **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 5-1-82