

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Daniel K. Cleary - PETITIONER


VS.

State of Illinois - RESPONDENT

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Now comes, Petitioner, Daniel K. Cleary, before this Honorable Court to respectfully request an extension of time to file a Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari. And in support thereof, states the following:

1. That on January 26, 2022, the Illinois Supreme Court **DENIED** petitioner's Petition For Leave To Appeal.
2. Petitioner acknowledges he had a 90 day period to file a Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari beginning from the date of the entry of the final judgement of the highest state appellate court.
3. Petitioner is in need of additional time due to events beyond his control, such as a COVID positive diagnosis resulting in being quarantined, and construction workers digging up and cutting a fiber optic cable that fed digital access to the law library's research Kiosks. The combination of these events amounted to a loss of access to legal research and prepar-



ation for approximately 25 days.

4. Petitioner believes his issues of constitutional violations by the State of Illinois to be worthy of review by the Supreme Court of the United States, wherein the State of Illinois has previously requested and not received judicial guidance as to whom may offer qualifying hearsay statements at trial; the State's experts testifying to conclusive mischaracterization of forensic test results in a purely circumstantial evidence case; the ineffective assistance of appellate counsel wherein the sole issue raised had previously been determined, greater issues exist that could have been, but were not, raised thereby depriving Petitioner of a fair trial and non-existent counsel on appeal.

Wherefore, Petitioner prays for this Honorable Court to grant his request for an extension of time to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Respectfully Submitted,

Daniel K Cleary

Daniel K Cleary

Petitioner

#M21234

P.O. Box 1000

Menard, IL 62259