

October 4, 2022

Re: SCOTUS Case No. 22-5162

Mary Jo Weidrick v. Joseph R. Biden, Jr.

President of the United States et al

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I filed my original Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Before Judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia; however, judgment is now entered.

I am giving consent to treat said Petition as a Petition for Writ of Certiorari seeking review of judgment.

Thank you.

Respectfully,


Mary Jo Weidrick, Petitioner

1300 Rhodes Avenue

Sarasota, FL 34239

941-316-0273

cc: Elizabeth Prelogar, Solicitor General of U.S.



United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5193

September Term, 2022

1:22-cv-01531-UNA

Filed On: September 2, 2022

Mary Jo Weidrick,

Appellant

v.

Joseph R. Biden, Jr., President of the United
States, parties acting in both professional and
personal capacities, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Henderson, Pillard, and Katsas, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion to expedite, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed June 27, 2022, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the complaint with prejudice as frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i); Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989) (explaining that a complaint is frivolous "where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact"); Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1209 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (per curiam) ("A dismissal with prejudice is warranted . . . when a trial court determines that the allegation of other facts consistent with the challenged pleading could not possibly cure the deficiency.") (internal quotation marks omitted). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to expedite be dismissed as moot.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5193

September Term, 2022

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES

Mary Jo Weidrick, Petitioner

v.

Joseph R. Biden, Jr., President of the United States;

U.S. Attorney General Merrick Garland; United States
Congress; Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.; Justice Amy
Coney Barrett; Justice Sonia Sotomayer; Justice Brett M.
Kavanaugh; Justice Clarence Thomas; Justice Samuel A.
Alito, Jr., new Justice Ketanji Brown Jackson; former
Justice Stephen G. Breyer et al, parties acting in both
professional and personal capacities,

Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I, Mary Jo Weidrick, hereby certify that on October 4, 2022, I mailed my
letter addressing Petition for a Writ of Certiorari Before Judgment and copy
of the U.S. Court of Appeals Judgment to:

Solicitor General of the United States

Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 5616

Washington, DC 20530-0001


Mary Jo Weidrick, Petitioner