

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FEB 10 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL JAY STEWART, AKA Michael
J. Stewart, AKA Mike Stewart,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 20-55976

D.C. Nos. 8:19-cv-00893-CJC
8:14-cr-00014-CJC-1

Central District of California,
Santa Ana

ORDER

Before: S.R. THOMAS and R. NELSON, Circuit Judges.

Appellant has filed a combined motion for reconsideration and motion for reconsideration en banc (Docket Entry Nos. 13 & 14).

The motion for reconsideration is denied and the motion for reconsideration en banc is denied on behalf of the court. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10; 9th Cir. Gen. Ord. 6.11.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 17 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

MICHAEL JAY STEWART, AKA Michael
J. Stewart, AKA Mike Stewart,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 20-55976

D.C. Nos. 8:19-cv-00893-CJC
8:14-cr-00014-CJC-1

Central District of California,
Santa Ana

ORDER

Before: CLIFTON and BENNETT, Circuit Judges.

Appellant's opening brief and its errata (Docket Entry Nos. 9 & 10) are construed as a request for a certificate of appealability. So construed, the request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the [section 2255 motion] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.