

No. 22-5051

FILED

JUN 27 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

BONNIE S. ROBLES
GABRIEL M. ROBLES

— PETITIONER(s)*

(Your Name)

vs.

United States, et al., — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth District

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Gabriel M., and Bonnie Robles *

(Your Name)

1243 SW Western Avenue, B-18

(Address)

Topeka, Kansas. 66604.

(City, State, Zip Code)

(785)224-8195 (text only)

(Phone Number)

* consolidated due to expense

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Have the Courts enacted "Rules" and Congress made laws that serve to deprive the poor and needy, the elderly, and the developmentally disabled, their guaranteed Constitutional and Civil rights?

"The Kansas Low Income Energy Assistance Program (LIEAP) submits that the Robles' are \$3,894.00 below actual poverty level. Both lower courts stated the In Forma Pauperis request of the Petitioners "was a close call."
REALLY?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: Louis Dejoy, Postmaster General. Dennis McDonough, VA Secretary. Scott Harris, SCOTUS. Jeff Adkins, SCOTUS. Mike Duggan, SCOTUS. Kara Westercamp, DOJ. Brian Griffin, DOJ. Christina Gregg, DOJ. Joe Hunt, DOJ. Bob Kirschman Jr., DOJ. Misha Preheim, DOJ. Derek Schmidt, KS. AG. Michelle De La Isla, Mike Kagay, Lisa Robertson, Dr. Burton, Corrie Wright, (City of Topeka & Shawnee County, Kansas) ABC News, NBC News, FOX News, CBS News, Topeka Capital-Journal, Kansas City Star. (all parent Companies) John Weichman, Midwest Housing Equity Group. Paradise Plaza and Herman & Kittle Property Management Company. University of Kansas Healthcare System. Experian CEO, Equifax CEO, Trans-Union. (credit unions) Consumer Cellular CEO. (Phone Co.,) The Congress of the United States.

RELATED CASES

"Shadow Docket" case: Vaughn v. Vaughn, et al., SC No. 01-5825.

Robles v. Adkins, et al., SC No. 04-6838

Vaughn v. Fifth Third Bank, SC No. 04-7723

Robles v. State Farm, et al., SC No. 12-9883

Robles v. Amarr Garage Doors, et al., SC No. 12-10098

Robles, et al., v. RMS Management Solutions, LLC., et al., SC No. 14 M 41

Robles v. United States, SC No. 17-6118

Robles v. Brookwood Terrace Apartments, SC No. 18-7595

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Decision of Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals.

APPENDIX B

Decision of District Court, Eastern District of Kansas.

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Roe v. Wade ("leaked" decision)	5.
Vaughn v. Vaughn, SC. No. 01-5825	5.
Robles v. Adkins, SC. No. 04-6838	5.
Vaughn v. Fifth Third Bank, SC. No. 04-7723	5.
Robles v. State Farm et al., SC. No. 12-9883	5.
Robles v. Amarr Garage Doors, et al., SC. No. 12-10098	5.
Robles et al., v RMS Mgmt. Solutions LLC., et al., SC. No. 14-M-41 v (ADA violation issue)	5.
Robles v. U.S., SC. No. 17-6118	5.
Robles v. Brookwood Terrace Apartments, SC. No. 18-7595	5.

STATUTES AND RULES

All "Constitutional & Statutory Provisions Involved" 3. & 5.

OTHER NO RULE or REGULATION in any Court in any State in this Union, should ever take precedence over a citizens rights under the Constitution! (Inspired by GOD's Law.)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[X] For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

[] For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 14, 2022.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

First Amendment of U.S. Constitution.

Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Seventh Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Eighth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

Article I. section 9, and section 10.

Article 6.

Title 42§ 1981 through 1886.

28U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c)

42 U.S.C. § 6103

Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990.

Depraved/deliberate indifference, 511 U.S. 825 (U.S. 1994)

18 U.S.C. § 1964 (Civil RICO Act) (Supremacy Clause)

18 U.S.C. § 241

18 U.S.C. § 242

18 U.S.C. § 1001

18 U.S.C. § 2071

18 U.S.C. 1961 (1961 (1) (B)) (1961 (1) (A))

18 U.S.C., § 1507

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE LEAK: In previous Petitions by the Petitioners in this matter "the leak" probably plays and has played a very significant role considering the personal opinions and ideology of the clerk or clerks involved.

The Petitioners do hereby submit to the Court that the fact that the Petitioners are not rich, have very large breasts, (Anna --- Nicole Smith case) and are not lawyers, prompted the clerks of the United States Supreme Court and The Court to dismiss their Writ's of Certiorari regardless of the life and death situations and the Petitioners Constitutional and Civil rights.

SEPERATION OF POWERS:

The recent attempt on the life of Justice Kavanaugh and most can assume any family members present, pales in comparison to the faced many attempts the Petitioners have ~~face~~ over the years. Defendant Veterans Administration has placed the Petitioners in what some would identify as an indoctrination residence of drug addicts, alcoholics, homosexuals, and prostitutes. Defendant Congress has passed a law to protect the Supreme Court Justices and their families but to the Petitioners it appears to be a bribe.

CORRUPTION:

From the Defendants all the way to This Court, except for the Petitioners, everybody involved seems to be playing the system.

"..only about 1% of the cases that are filed each Term."

A citizens rights must hold more weight than that 1%.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

ABUSE OF AUTHORITY BY CLERK OF U.S. SUPREME COURT

"THE LEAK": The Petitioners submit "the leak" would have never happened if the Court had heeded previous warnings in 9 WRIT'S filed by the Petitioners. The abuse of SCOTUS Rule 1., by at least three (3) clerks (defendants) also violates 18 U.S.C. § 241 and § 242, §1001 and §2071. (all Constitutional and Statutory Provisions listed on page 3.)

SUBORNATION OF PERJURY

The Petitioners submit the judges of the lower courts abuse their immunity status and become liars for the defendants. The judges of the lower courts are and have been in violation of all Constitutional and Statutory Provisions listed on page 3. Including perjury!

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Justice Brown-Jackson stated to Congress as she was vetted that she did not know the definition of a female. Joe Biden only nominated Justice Brown-Jackson to the high Court because he promised to place a black female on the bench. Without looking at or hearing evidence in a case, how can the Petitioners expect someone that cannot define a female to infer whether the merits of their case are valid? Would any court or judge expect citizens to flood their courtrooms with fake and false claims of a heart attack and a permanently damaged wrist?

The Petitioners have indeed stated a claim(s) upon which relief may be granted.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,
Gabriel M. Robles and
Bonnie Robles.

Date: June 27, 2022.