

FILED: January 3, 2022

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 19-6657 (L)  
(9:18-cv-03028-RMG-BM)

---

WILLIE JOHNSON

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

BRYAN P. STIRLING, SCDC Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; WEST PRICE; SERGEANT A. HUDSON; SERGEANT STORY; SERGEANT WRIGHT

Defendants - Appellees

---

No. 19-7791  
(9:18-cv-03028-RMG-BM)

---

WILLIE JOHNSON

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

BRYAN P. STIRLING, SCDC Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; WEST PRICE; EMILY A. FARR; ELIZABETH SIMMONS; DR. STACY SMITH; DR. RICK TOOMEY, Director of DHEC

Defendants - Appellees

---

ORDER

---

The petition for rehearing en banc and motion to appoint counsel was circulated to the full court. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35. The court denies the petition for rehearing en banc and the motion to appoint counsel.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

**UNPUBLISHED**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

---

**No. 19-6657**

---

WILLIE JOHNSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BRYAN P. STIRLING, SCDC Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; WEST PRICE; SERGEANT A. HUDSON; SERGEANT STORY; SERGEANT WRIGHT,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

**No. 19-7791**

---

WILLIE JOHNSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BRYAN P. STIRLING, SCDC Director of South Carolina Department of Corrections; WEST PRICE; EMILY A. FARR; ELIZABETH SIMMONS; DR. STACY SMITH; DR. RICK TOOMEY, Director of DHEC,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Beaufort. Richard Mark Gergel, District Judge. (9:18-cv-03028-RMG-BM)

---

Submitted: November 23, 2021

Decided: November 29, 2021

---

Before NIEMEYER, FLOYD, and RUSHING, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Willie Johnson, Appellant Pro Se. William Henry Davidson, II, Kenneth Paul Woodington, DAVIDSON, WREN & DEMASTERS, Columbia, South Carolina, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Willie Johnson appeals the district court's orders accepting the recommendations of the magistrate judge and denying relief on Johnson's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Johnson v. Stirling*, No. 9:18-cv-03028-RMG-BM (D.S.C. Oct. 21, 2019 & Apr. 2, 2021). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*