



Office of the City Attorney  
Susana Alcalá Wood, City Attorney

Sandra G. Talbott  
Chief Assistant City Attorney

Deputy City Attorneys

Audreyell A. Anderson-White  
Michael J. Benner  
Brittany N. Brace  
Kourtney C. Burdick  
Emilio Camacho  
Matthew R. Day  
Michael A. Fry  
Phanysha C. Gaddis  
Paul A. Gale  
Jennifer V. Gore  
Maida L. Hansen  
Jeffrey C. Heeren  
Aaron M. Israel  
David S. Kim  
Kevin W. Kunderling

Assistant City Attorneys

Matthew D. Ruyak  
Brett M. Witter

Supervising Deputy City Attorneys

Gerald C. Hicks  
Steven Y. Itagaki  
Gustavo L. Martinez  
Andrea Velasquez

Deputy City Attorneys

Gary P. Lindsey, Jr.  
Andre D. Martin  
Jeffrey L. Massey  
Megan E. Nevin  
Beau E. Parkhurst  
Sean D. Richmond  
Kathleen T. Rogan  
Angel A. Solis  
Michael Sparks  
Chance L. Trimm  
Katherine Underwood  
Michael Voss  
Leslie Z. Walker  
Kurt C. Wendlenner

December 7, 2022

**Via Electronic Filing and FedEx Overnight Mail**

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: ***Daniel James Altstatt v. City of Sacramento***  
**No. 22-468**

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for the respondent in this case. Petitioner filed his petition for a writ of certiorari on November 15, 2022. According to the online docket and pursuant to Rule 15.3 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, a response is currently due on December 19, 2022. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response be extended by 30 days, to and including January 18, 2023.

This is respondent's first request for an extension of time to file a response. Good cause exists for the requested extension. Apart from this case, I represent the City of Sacramento in several other matters that will require me to devote substantial amounts of time in the coming weeks. In addition, the response must be reviewed by multiple attorneys within the Sacramento City Attorney's Office, many whom have prepaid vacation plans for the holiday season. An

extension of time would better enable preparation of a response that would be most helpful to the Court.

Accordingly, respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended by 30 days, to and including January 18, 2023. Counsel for the petitioner, Thomas Q. Swanson, has informed my office by email that petitioner does not object to this request.

Sincerely,

SUSANA ALCALA WOOD  
City Attorney



Matthew Ruyak (Dec 7, 2022 15:30 PST)

**Matthew D. Ruyak**  
Assistant City Attorney

cc: Thomas Q. Swanson (Counsel for Petitioner)