



Office of the City Attorney
Susana Alcala Wood, City Attorney

Sandra G. Talbott
Chief Assistant City Attorney

Deputy City Attorneys

Audreyell A. Anderson-White
Michael J. Benner
Brittany N. Brace
Courtney C. Burdick
Emilio Camacho
Matthew R. Day
Michael A. Fry
Phanysha C. Gaddis
Paul A. Gale
Jennifer V. Gore
Maita L. Hansen
Jeffrey C. Heeren
Aaron M. Israel
David S. Kim
Kevin W. Kundinger

Assistant City Attorneys

Matthew D. Ruyak
Brett M. Witter

Supervising Deputy City Attorneys

Gerald C. Hicks
Steven Y. Itagaki
Gustavo L. Martinez
Andrea Velasquez

Deputy City Attorneys

Gary P. Lindsey, Jr.
Andre D. Martin
Jeffrey L. Massey
Megan E. Nevin
Beau E. Parkhurst
Sean D. Richmond
Kathleen T. Rogan
Angel A. Solis
Michael Sparks
Chance L. Trimm
Katherine Underwood
Michael Voss
Leslie Z. Walker
Kurt C. Wendlenner

December 7, 2022

Via Electronic Filing and FedEx Overnight Mail

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: *Daniel James Altstatt v. City of Sacramento*
No. 22-468

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for the respondent in this case. Petitioner filed his petition for a writ of certiorari on November 15, 2022. According to the online docket and pursuant to Rule 15.3 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, a response is currently due on December 19, 2022. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response be extended by 30 days, to and including January 18, 2023.

This is respondent's first request for an extension of time to file a response. Good cause exists for the requested extension. Apart from this case, I represent the City of Sacramento in several other matters that will require me to devote substantial amounts of time in the coming weeks. In addition, the response must be reviewed by multiple attorneys within the Sacramento City Attorney's Office, many whom have prepaid vacation plans for the holiday season. An

extension of time would better enable preparation of a response that would be most helpful to the Court.

Accordingly, respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing a response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended by 30 days, to and including January 18, 2023. Counsel for the petitioner, Thomas Q. Swanson, has informed my office by email that petitioner does not object to this request.

Sincerely,

SUSANA ALCALA WOOD
City Attorney



Matthew Ruyak (Dec 7, 2022 15:30 PST)

Matthew D. Ruyak
Assistant City Attorney

cc: Thomas Q. Swanson (Counsel for Petitioner)