

Writer's Direct:
P: 859.426.2182
F: 859.788.2011
E-mail: ddirr@dbllaw.com

July 25, 2022

Honorable Scott S. Harris Clerk Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

Re: Dipendra Tiwari; Kishor Sapkota; and Grace Home Care, Inc. v. Eric

Friedlander; Adam Mather; and Kentucky Hospital Association, No. 22-42

Dear Mr. Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, Intervenor-Respondent, Kentucky Hospital Association, respectfully requests that the time for filing its response to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari in this matter be extended by 60 days, up to and including October 14, 2022. The Court of Appeals issued its opinion on February 14, 2022. Petitioners were granted a 60-day extension and filed their Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on July 12, 2022. According to the online docket and pursuant to Rule 15.3 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, a response is currently due on August 15, 2022. Intervenor-Respondent is filing this Application more than ten days before that date. See S. Ct. R. 13.5.

This is Intervenor-Respondent's first request for an extension of time to file a response. The requested extension is made in good faith and not for the purposes of delay. Review of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari reveals that it seeks review on a much broader question than was presented to the Sixth Circuit, which is: "Does the Fourteenth Amendment require meaningful review of restrictions on the right to engage in a common occupation?" The Petition for Writ of Certiorari cites many cases that have never before been discussed by the lower courts or the parties in this litigation. In order to adequately respond, the Intervenor-Respondent must not only address the issues raised and argued below, but also the additional issues Petitioners have raised. Furthermore, counsel for Intervenor-Respondent has consented to the filing of several amicus curiae briefs, which will need to be reviewed. In addition, attorneys for Intervenor-Respondent are in the midst of briefing multiple dispositive motions in *Truesdell*, et al. v. Friedlander, et al., 3:19-cv-66, in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of

Dressman Benzinger LaVelle psc Attorneys at Law Honorable Scott S. Harris July 25, 2022 Page 2

Kentucky. Undersigned counsel is also preparing for oral arguments on a motion for temporary injunction in state court on August 8, 2022 and two, multi-day administrative hearings set for the last two weeks of August.

Accordingly, Intervenor-Respondent respectfully requests that the time for filing its response to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari be extended by 60 days, up to and including October 14, 2022. The Petitioners' counsel notified undersigned counsel on July 22, 2022 that they do not object to this request.

Respectfully submitted,

David M. Dirr

Counsel of Record

DRESSMAN BENZINGER LAVELLE

109 East Fourth Street

Covington, KY 41011

ddirr@dbllaw.com

(859) 341-1881

Counsel for Intervenor-Respondent

Cc: Andrew Heller Ward, Esq.
Institute for Justice
901 N. Glebe Road, Suite 900
Arlington, VA 22203
Andrew.ward@ij.org
Counsel for Petitioners

David T. Lovely, Esq.
Deputy General Counsel
Cabinet for Health and Family services
Office of Legal Services
275 East Main Street, 5W-B
Frankfort, KY 40621-0001
Counsel for Respondents