

No. 22-398

In the Supreme Court of the United States

MARCOS F. SANTIAGO, PETITIONER

v.

J. C. STREEVAL

*ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RESPONDENT

ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov
(202) 514-2217

In the Supreme Court of the United States

No. 22-398

MARCOS F. SANTIAGO, PETITIONER

v.

J. C. STREEVAL

*ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RESPONDENT

Petitioner contends (Pet. 9-24) that he is entitled to federal habeas corpus relief under 28 U.S.C. 2241 based on his claim that his convictions for possessing a firearm following a felony conviction, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(1) and 18 U.S.C. 924(a)(2) (2000), are invalid under *Rehaif v. United States*, 139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019). Under the “saving clause” in 28 U.S.C. 2255(e), a federal prisoner may seek habeas relief under Section 2241 only if “the remedy by motion [under Section 2255] is inadequate or ineffective to test the legality of his detention.” *Ibid.*

This Court has granted review in *Jones v. Hendrix*, No. 21-857 (argued Nov. 1, 2022), to address whether and, if so, under what circumstances a federal prisoner may seek habeas relief under the saving clause on a claim that his conviction is invalid under *Rehaif*. Because the Court’s resolution of the question presented in *Jones* could potentially affect the judgment of the

(1)

court of appeals below, the Court should hold the petition for a writ of certiorari pending its decision in that case and then dispose of the petition as appropriate.*

Respectfully submitted.

ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR
Solicitor General

DECEMBER 2022

* The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.