Partner

D: 213 788 4310 T: 213 788 4340 F: 888 775 0898

rklieger@hueston.com

523 West 6th Street Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90014

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP

December 8, 2022

Hon. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

Re: Request for extension of time to file response to petition Diece-Lisa Industries, Inc. v. Disney Store USA, LLC, et al.

S. Ct. No. 22-347

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel for the respondents in the above case. Petitioner filed its petition for a writ of certiorari on October 11, 2022. By letter dated December 2, 2022, you requested that a response to the petition be filed on or before January 3, 2023. Pursuant to Rule 30.4, respondents respectfully request that the time for filing a response be extended by 30 days, to and including February 2, 2023.

This is respondents' first request for an extension of time to file a response. Good cause exists for the requested extension. I am the sole outside counsel representing the respondents in this matter. I am scheduled to be in deposition in other matters for much of the next two weeks and will then be traveling to visit with my family over the holidays. In addition, the response will have to be reviewed by multiple inhouse attorneys who will also have limited availability over the holidays. An extension of time will better enable preparation of a response that would be most helpful to the Court.

Accordingly, the respondents respectfully request that the time for filing a response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended by 30 days, to and including February 2, 2023. Counsel for the petitioner, William Delgado, has informed me by email that the petitioner does not object to this request.

Sincerely yours,

Robert N. Klieger Counsel for Respondents

William A. Delgado cc: Counsel for Petitioner wdelgado@dtolaw.com