

August 4, 2022

Scott S. Harris, Clerk  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: Arizona v. Brian Mecinas, et al., No. 22-31  
Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Petition for Writ of Certiorari by 30 Days

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am writing on behalf of the Mecinas Respondents in the above-captioned case to request an extension of time of 30 days for the filing of a brief in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari.<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Rule 30.4 of the United States Supreme Court, Respondents respectfully request an extension up to and including September 12, 2022 (as September 10, 2022 falls on a Saturday), to file their brief in opposition to the petition. Petitioner does not oppose this extension.

There is no urgency to this case. The legal issues raised by Petitioner in its petition for writ of certiorari relate to an action in which the underlying parties stipulated to full dismissal of the case earlier this year. Additionally, while the underlying statute that gives rise to this case deals with Arizona's election laws, there is no prospect that this case will affect any elections in 2022 given the stipulated dismissal. The modest extension Respondents seek will enable full briefing without disturbing the Court's ability to resolve this matter in a timely fashion. This is the first extension Respondents have sought.

Respectfully submitted,



Abha Khanna

cc: Mark C. Dangerfield (Counsel for Petitioner)

---

<sup>1</sup> No Respondent has a parent company, and no publicly held company has a 10 percent or greater ownership interest in any Respondent.