Case: 19-55712, 01/26/2022, ID: 12351460, DktEntry: 42-1, Page 1 of 3

#### **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

# **FILED**

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JAN 26 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SCOTT WILFORD; et al.,

No. 19-55712

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

D.C. No. 8:18-cv-01169-JLS-DFM

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees,

and

ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Intervenor-Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Josephine L. Staton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 19, 2022\*\*

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2). Appellants' request for oral argument, set forth in the opening brief, is denied.

Before: SILVERMAN, CLIFTON, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Scott Wilford, Bonnie Hayhurst, Rebecca Friedrichs, Michael Monge, Harlan Elrich, Jelena Figueroa, and Gene Gray appeal from the district court's judgment dismissing their 42 U.S.C. § 1983 putative class action alleging First Amendment and state law claims arising out of compulsory agency fees. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal for failure to state a claim and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *Serra v. Lappin*, 600 F.3d 1191, 1195-96 (9th Cir. 2010). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed plaintiffs' claim for retrospective monetary relief because a public sector union can, as a matter of law, "invoke an affirmative defense of good faith to retrospective monetary liability under section 1983 for the agency fees it collected" prior to the Supreme Court's decision in *Janus v. American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, Council 31*, 138 S. Ct. 2448, 2486 (2018). *Danielson v. Inslee*, 945 F.3d 1096, 1097-99, 1102-03 (9th Cir. 2019), *cert. denied*, 141 S. Ct. 1265 (2021) (explaining that plaintiffs' claim for monetary relief was for damages and not restitution, but "[e]ven accepting Plaintiffs' restitutionary premise, the equities do not weigh in favor of requiring a refund of all agency fees collected pre-*Janus*").

The district court properly dismissed as moot plaintiffs' claims for prospective relief because defendants stopped deducting and receiving agency fees

2 19-55712

after the Supreme Court's decision in *Janus* disallowed the deduction or receipt of agency fees in their collective bargaining agreements, stopped enforcing statutes permitting the deduction of agency fees, and demonstrated that they are unlikely to rescind the policy changes. *See Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Env't Servs.* (TOC), Inc., 528 U.S. 167, 189-90 (2000) (explaining voluntary cessation and mootness); cf. Thomas v. Anchorage Equal Rts. Comm'n, 220 F.3d 1134, 1139 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (explaining that the mere existence of a proscriptive statute does not create a constitutionally sufficient direct injury).

The district court properly dismissed plaintiffs' state law claims because plaintiffs failed to allege facts sufficient to state a plausible claim. *See* Cal. Gov't Code § 3515.7 (permitting collection of agency fees); *City of San Jose v. Operating Eng'rs Local Union No. 3*, 232 P.3d 701, 705-07 (Cal. 2010)

(explaining that California's Public Employment Relations Board has exclusive jurisdiction over activities arguably protected or prohibited by the state's relevant labor law, including unfair practices); *El Rancho Unified Sch. Dist. v. Nat'l Educ. Ass'n*, 663 P.2d 893, 901-02 (Cal. 1983) (setting forth California's preemption doctrine).

We do not consider matters not specifically and distinctly raised and argued in the opening brief. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

#### AFFIRMED.

3 19-55712

#### **United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

#### Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

# **Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings**

## **Judgment**

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

### Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

# Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

# (1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
  - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
  - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
  - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

# **B.** Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- ► Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ► The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

# (2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- *See* Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

# (3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

# (4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- A response, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

#### Case: 19-55712, 01/26/2022, ID: 12351460, DktEntry: 42-2, Page 3 of 4

- The petition or response must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

# Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

#### **Attorneys Fees**

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

#### Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

 Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

# **Counsel Listing in Published Opinions**

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send an email or letter **in writing** within 10 days to:
  - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; Eagan, MN 55123 (Attn: Maria Evangelista (maria.evangelista@tr.com));
  - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

Case: 19-55712, 01/26/2022, ID: 12351460, DktEntry: 42-2, Page 4 of 4

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

## Form 10. Bill of Costs

Instructions for this form: <a href="http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form10instructions.pdf">http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/forms/form10instructions.pdf</a>

9th Cir. Case Number(s)

 $TOTAL: 4 \times 500 \times \$.10 = \$200.$ 

2 2				
Case Name				
The Clerk is requested to award co	osts to (party i	name(s)):		
I swear under penalty of perjury th actually and necessarily produced, expended.	_			_
Signature		<b>Date</b>		
(use "s/[typed name]" to sign electronical	ally-filed docum	nents)		
COST TAXABLE	(ec	REQUESTED (each column must be completed)		
DOCUMENTS / FEE PAID	No. of Copies	Pages per Copy	Cost per Page	TOTAL COST
Excerpts of Record*			\$	\$
Principal Brief(s) (Opening Brief; Answering Brief; 1st, 2nd, and/or 3rd Brief on Cross-Appel Intervenor Brief)			\$	\$
Reply Brief / Cross-Appeal Reply Brief			\$	\$
Supplemental Brief(s)			\$	\$
Petition for Review Docket Fee / Petition for Writ of Mandamus Docket Fee / Appeal from Bankruptcy Appellate Panel Docket Fee				\$
TOTAL:				\$
*Example: Calculate 4 copies of 3 volumes (Vol. 2 (250 pgs.) + Vol. 3 (240 pgs.)] as:	of excerpts of rec	ord that tota	al 500 pages [Vo	ol. 1 (10 pgs.) +

Feedback or questions about this form? Email us at <a href="mailto:forms@ca9.uscourts.gov">forms@ca9.uscourts.gov</a>

No. of Copies: 4; Pages per Copy: 500; Cost per Page: \$.10 (or actual cost IF less than \$.10);

Form 10 Rev. 12/01/2021