

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 22-23

JEAN FRANCOIS PUGIN, PETITIONER

v.

MERRICK B. GARLAND, ATTORNEY GENERAL

No. 22-331

MERRICK B. GARLAND, ATTORNEY GENERAL, PETITIONER

v.

FERNANDO CORDERO-GARCIA, AKA FERNANDO CORDERO

ON WRITS OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURTS OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH AND NINTH CIRCUITS

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH
PREPARATION OF A JOINT APPENDIX

Pursuant to Rules 21 and 26.8 of this Court, the Solicitor General, on behalf of the Attorney General, respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in these cases. The question presented in these cases is a question of law about the meaning of the phrase "offense relating to obstruction

of justice" in 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(43)(S). The opinions of the courts of appeals, the decisions of the Board of Immigration Appeals, and the decisions of the immigration judges are included in the appendices to the petitions for writs of certiorari. In our view, no other portion of the records in these cases merits special attention such as would warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court's consideration of the case. We are authorized to state that Jean Francois Pugin (petitioner in No. 22-23) and Fernando Cordero-Garcia (respondent in No. 22-331) agree that a joint appendix is not necessary.

Respectfully submitted.

ELIZABETH B. PRELOGAR
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record

FEBRUARY 2023