

SUPREME COURT, U.S.
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SEP 14 2021
RECEIVED

RE: JULY 13, 2021 LETTER and JUNE 07, 2021 LETTER
SCOTT S. HARRIS, CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543-0001
USCA 4TH CIRCUIT Case# 20-1111
PURISIMA V. COUNTY OF ARLINGTON VA
(Copies attached hereto)
* MOTION TO FILE THE PETITION OUT OF TIME
* Attached exhibit to support thereof
Applicable case - law - citation is fully capable of applying
because it is not a summary and is a request for
pursued by the U.S. Court of Appeals. AND HEREBY REQUESTED:
* NOTICE THE JULY 13, 2021 LETTER was
delivered very late by the United States Post Office
* REQUEST ADDITIONAL SIXTY (60) DAYS TO CORRECT
AND COMPLETE PETITION DUE TO COVID-19
Held Pandemic and difficulties of
getting proper paper to use at "STOLEN
=PAGE ONE OF 3 =

ANTON PURISIMA
CAGE-OFF: 300 BLOOMFIELD STREET
HOBOKEN, NJ 07030-4809

DOCUMENTS" AND "NOTES" and "work-product" that is very-hard-to-replace, REQUESTED FOR CONSIDERATION;

* NOTICE: The USCA 4th. Circuit ORDER that is Being Petitioned for WRIT OF CERTIORARI is the JANUARY 22, 2021 ORDER (document 29), Therefore, the Petition was timely filed on MAY 24, 2021 (Postmarked) which was confirmed received ~~3~~ by the U.S. Supreme Court on June 02, 2021, as extended by the MARCH 19, 2020 Court Order

To 150 days, it hereby requested for consideration, AND TO INCLUDE COVID-19 IN EVALUATION OF ISSUES ALLEGED HEREIN.

USCA 4th. Circuit Case # 20-1111
SEPTEMBER 07, 2021 Letter.

AC2

Dear MR. HARRIS:

This letter is a Request to file the Petition out-of-time as alleged in your JULY 13, 2021 letter.

Please Take notice that this letter is supported by the attached EXHIBITS herewith as well as supported by the case-law-citations requested that is applicable to the issues alleged in this letter (TO BE USED IN THIS LETTER and to support the issues herein).

Please Take further notice that the USCA 4th. Circuit ORDER ON JANUARY 22, 2021 (Document 29) is the ORDER

That it being requested for "Petition for a WRIT OF CERTIORARI," therefore, the Petition is timely filed on MAY 24, 2021.

Please Take further notice that the JULY 13, 2021 letter from the U.S. Supreme Court Clerk's Office was delivered VERY LATE by the U.S.P.S. (Post Office) and I (Petitioner) DO NOT HAVE TIME TO PREPARE, therefore, Herby requesting additional SIXTY (60) DAYS to correct or complete the Petition for a WRIT OF CERTIORARI, from the JANUARY 22, 2021 USCA 4th Circuit ORDER as well as the COVID-19 and The STOLEN DOCUMENTS and papers and work-product and notes prohibited the preparation of the above Petition and hereby requested to include in evaluation of this motion as well as the Petition is hereby looking the  Attorney to represent this action and this Petition!

SEE: COPIES at EXHIBITS.

1. The "copy" of the "Petition,"
2. July 13, 2021 & June 07, 2021
letter,
3. USCA 4th. "DOCKET SHEET,"
4. MOTION for "IFP" "LEAVE."
- 5 REQUESTED TO SERVE ALL

PARTIES BY THE U.S.
MARSHAL'S OFFICE,
AN "PROOF OF SERVICE."

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

1101

ANTON PURISIMA, pro se
PLAINTIFF # ONE - APPELLANT
PETITIONER

C/O: 300 BLOOMFIELD ST.
HOBOKEN, NJ 07030-4809

ACY

=PAGE THREE OF 3=

FILED: December 21, 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-1111
(1:20-cv-00065-LO-JFA)

ANTON PURISIMA

Plaintiff - Appellant

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, "The USA"; DOES 1 - 100, Collectively
"Plaintiffs"

Plaintiffs

v.

COUNTY OF ARLINGTON VIRGINIA, "Collectively Defendants"; HUDSON COUNTY, NEW JERSEY, "Collectively Defendants"; CITY OF NEW YORK, "City", "Collectively Defendants"; NEW YORK COUNTY REGISTRAR, "Collectively Defendants"; DEMOCRATIC PARTY, "Democrats," "Democrat," Political Party, "Collectively Defendants"; DOES 1-5000, "Collectively Defendants"; ADAM B. SCHIFF, "28 for CA", "Collectively Defendants"; NANCY PELOSI, "12 for CA", "Collectively Defendants"; 42 DEMOCRATIC PARTY CONGRESS MEMBERS AS OF 2019, "Collectively Defendants"; MARK R. WARNER; BOB MENENDEZ, "Collectively Defendants"; CORY BOOKER, "Collectively Defendants"; DIANNE FEINSTEIN, "Collectively Defendants"; KAMALA D. HARRIS, Democratic; CHUCK SCHUMER, "Collectively Defendants"; KIRSTEN GILLIBRAND, "Collectively Defendants", Democratic; FOURTEEN (14) U.S. SENATORS OF DEMOCRATIC PARTY AS OF 2019, "Collectively Defendants"

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

The court denies the petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35 on the petition for rehearing en banc.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Harris, Judge Richardson, and Senior Judge Traxler.

For the Court

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 20-1111

ANTON PURISIMA,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, "The USA"; DOES 1 – 100, Collectively
"Plaintiffs",

Plaintiffs,

v.

COUNTY OF ARLINGTON VIRGINIA, "Collectively Defendants"; HUDSON COUNTY, NEW JERSEY, "Collectively Defendants"; CITY OF NEW YORK, "City", "Collectively Defendants"; NEW YORK COUNTY REGISTRAR, "Collectively Defendants"; DEMOCRATIC PARTY, "Democrats," "Democrat," Political Party, "Collectively Defendants"; DOES 1-5000, "Collectively Defendants"; ADAM B. SCHIFF, "28 for CA", "Collectively Defendants"; NANCY PELOSI, "12 for CA", "Collectively Defendants"; 42 DEMOCRATIC PARTY CONGRESS MEMBERS AS OF 2019, "Collectively Defendants"; MARK R. WARNER; BOB MENENDEZ, "Collectively Defendants"; CORY BOOKER, "Collectively Defendants"; DIANNE FEINSTEIN, "Collectively Defendants"; KAMALA D. HARRIS, Democratic; CHUCK SCHUMER, "Collectively Defendants"; KIRSTEN GILLIBRAND, "Collectively Defendants", Democratic; FOURTEEN (14) U.S. SENATORS OF DEMOCRATIC PARTY AS OF 2019, "Collectively Defendants,"

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Liam O'Grady, Senior District Judge. (1:20-cv-00065-LO-JFA)

Submitted: September 24, 2020

Decided: September 28, 2020

Before HARRIS and RICHARDSON, Circuit Judges, and TRAXLER, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Anton Purisima, Appellant Pro Se.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Anton Purisima appeals the district court's orders dismissing his amended civil complaint for failure to state a claim, *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), and denying his motions for reconsideration.* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Purisima v. Cnty. of Arlington Va.*, No. 1:20-cv-00065-LO-JFA (E.D. Va. filed Jan. 24, 2020 & entered Jan. 27, 2020; filed & entered Feb. 5, 2020; filed & entered Mar. 4, 2020). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

* Although Purisima did not file a separate notice of appeal from the court's March 4, 2020, order denying his second motion for reconsideration, we conclude that we have jurisdiction to review that order. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B), (2), (4), (7)(A)(ii); *Smith v. Barry*, 502 U.S. 244, 248-49 (1992) (holding that informal brief may act as functional equivalent of notice of appeal); *United States v. Little*, 392 F.3d 671, 680 (4th Cir. 2004) (discussing separate document rule).

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**