

No. 21-55473.

Motion For An Order Of Time Petition

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
Washington, D.C.

Benson, Ada María PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

① Riverside County Sheriff Department, CA
② Superior Court of California, Riverside County RESPONDENT(S)
③ Anti Grand Jury Riverside County Superior Court
④ 911 Operators MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

The petitioner asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Please check the appropriate boxes:

Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the following court(s):

United States District Court of
Riverside, California Central District 4th Division


Petitioner has **not** previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in any other court.

Petitioner's affidavit or declaration in support of this motion is attached hereto.

Petitioner's affidavit or declaration is **not** attached because the court below appointed counsel in the current proceeding, and:

The appointment was made under the following provision of law: _____, or _____

a copy of the order of appointment is appended.


(Signature)

**AFFIDAVIT OR DECLARATION
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

Benson, Ada Maria am the petitioner in the above-entitled case. In support of my motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of this case or to give security therefor; and I believe I am entitled to redress.

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly amount during the past 12 months		Amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ 180	\$ 0	\$ 1,500	\$ dead
Self-employment	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ dead
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Interest and dividends	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Gifts	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Alimony	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Child Support	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Unemployment payments	\$ 4,000	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ 0	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Other (specify): <u>EBT</u>	\$ 198	\$ dead	\$ 0	\$ dead
Total monthly income:	\$ 4,378	\$ dead	\$ 1,500	\$ dead

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
Census 2020 IHSS-DPSS. HHC.	Washington D.C. Moreno Valley, CA Carmelita Ave Hemet, CA.	07/20-01/21 10/19-04/20 03/18-10/19.	\$ 2,000.00 \$ 1,500.00 \$ 1,500

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
Dead However, petitioner has been informed that is not dead. That has feigned death. The death Certificate Reads death in 2015.	dead	dead	\$ dead

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$ 0
Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Type of account (e.g., checking or savings)	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
Savings - Savings - 0	\$ 4,000.00 \$ 1,400. \$ 0	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Home
Value 0

Other real estate
Value 0

Motor Vehicle #1
Year, make & model Dodge Caravan
Value 9,500

Motor Vehicle #2
Year, make & model 0
Value 0

Other assets
Description Toys & Books personal items.
Value 9,500

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
<u>Census 2020</u>	<u>Wages owed In this Court</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
<u>IHSS - DPSS</u> owes <u>Wages EDD and Workers Comp</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
<u>Novitas Solution</u>	<u>\$ 14,000.00</u> <u>Unpaid labor</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support. For minor children, list initials instead of names (e.g. "J.S." instead of "John Smith").

Name	Relationship	Age
<u>Self</u>	<u>Self</u>	<u>Self 59</u>
<u>Planning to make 2 infants soon</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Your spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (include lot rented for mobile home)	<u>\$ 212.00</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Are real estate taxes included? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Is property insurance included? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Food	<u>\$ 40.00</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Clothing	<u>\$ 20.00</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Laundry and dry-cleaning	<u>\$ 25.00</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>
Medical and dental expenses	<u>\$ 150.00</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>

	You	Your spouse
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$ 20.00	\$ 0
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$ 0	\$ 0
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
Homeowner's or renter's	\$ 0	\$ 0
Life	\$ 0	\$ 0
Health	\$ 0	\$ 0
Motor Vehicle	\$ 50.00	\$ 0
Other: _____	\$ 0	\$ 0
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
(specify): _____	\$ 0	\$ 0
Installment payments		
Motor Vehicle	\$ 0	\$ 0
Credit card(s)	\$ 0	\$ 0
Department store(s)	\$ 0	\$ 0
Other: _____	\$ 0	\$ 0
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$ 0	\$ 0
Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$ 0	\$ 0
Other (specify): <u>Personal Hygiene hair, nails, etc.</u>	\$ 100.00	\$ 0
Total monthly expenses:	\$ 647.	\$ 0

9. Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?

Yes No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.

I started to substitute for the Menifee Union School District on calls as needed. Applying for permanent work.

10. Have you paid—or will you be paying—an attorney any money for services in connection with this case, including the completion of this form? Yes No

If yes, how much? Persona Propria.

If yes, state the attorney's name, address, and telephone number:

Self. Persona Propria.

11. Have you paid—or will you be paying—anyone other than an attorney (such as a paralegal or a typist) any money for services in connection with this case, including the completion of this form?

Yes No

If yes, how much? 0

If yes, state the person's name, address, and telephone number:

N/A

12. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the costs of this case.

The past two employers have retained wages (wage theft) Novitas Solution owes for labor provided. These are cases in the United States Supreme Court and Novitas in Appeals

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: February 14, 2022.

Although I have started to work, I am spending in ~~Person Propria~~ (Signature)
dentist, gasoline to travel, and filing in court and repeated denials and multiple times printing and shipping has exhausted my pockets. I have not breath. ~~not of working in petitions returned~~

No. _____

In
The Supreme Court of the United States
On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari
To The
Supreme Court Of the United States

February 14, 2022

Case Brought from Court Of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit *21-55473*
Case No: District Court Case No: *S-2020-cv-02641*

Ada Maria Benson
(Petitioner- Persona Propia)

v.

Defendants:

Riverside County Sheriff Department
Riverside County Superior Court of California
Riverside County Grand Jury for the Superior Court Of California
911 Operators

Benson, Ada Maria
(Persona Propia)
324 South State St #3054
Hemet, California 92546
92546
Bensonadamaria@gmail.com

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the United States Supreme Court can be blind to crimes of treason committed by Presidential federal workers, embezzling nation and world resources?
2. Whether the Constitution of The United States or the 42 U.S.C 1983, The Sherman Antitrust Act of 1990, The Clayton Act of 1914, The Federal Trade Commission Act, The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, The ADA section 504 Act , and the Human Rights Mandates of the High Commissioner Convention General Act, are documents of ornamentation for public display only?
3. Whether the crime of slavery and public torture of a United States Citizen and her children placed in a P.O.W position, can be ignored, disregarding the Sacred Constitutional Rights of Our Nation.? |
4. Where the origins of law enforcement persecution and crimes of more than two decades against the petitioner and her children, have foundation in the roots of Riverside County Law Enforcement *working directly with the* FBI, CIA, and Secret Services that have ordered to keep the petitioner in the quality of prisoner (P.O.W) to conceal subversive crimes originated in the federal presidential agencies as well as the crime of maintaining the petitioner under tortures and persecution for more than two decades destroying the petitioner's rights to live in peace, destroying the petitioner's health Profession, children, destroying the petitioner's relation with her children and depriving the petitioner of all human rights. As well as plagiarizing the petitioner's research, and profiting from natural resources that shall be accounted by our nation? |

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK BELOW

LIST OF PARTIES

Benson, Ada Maria

Petitioner
(Persona Propria)

Defendants

Riverside County Sheriff Department
Riverside County Superior Court of California
Riverside County Grand Jury for the Superior Court Of California
911 Operators

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK BELOW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover-----	blank
Questions Presented-----	pg 1
List Of parties -----	pg 2
Table Of Content-----	pg 3
List of Appendices -----	pg 3-5
Table Of Authority-----	pg 6-
Other Legal Works Used -----	pg 6-7
Legal Cases Cited-----	pg 8
Related Cases -----	pg 9-10
Related Videos-----	pg 10-11
Petition For Writ of Certiorari -----	pg 11
Opinions Below-----	pg 11
Opinions of the District Court-----	pg 11-13
Opinions-Decisions of Appeals Court-----	pg 13-14
Jurisdiction-----	pg 14-16
Constitutional And Statutory Provisions Involved -----	pg 16
Statement Of The Case -----	pg 21
Riverside County Superior Court of California-----	pg 21-39
Riverside County, California, Sheriff Department-----	pg 39-54
Riverside County Superior Court Civil Grand Jury-----	pg 54-58
Riverside County, California 911 Operators -----	pg 58-60
Treason-Threats of Murder to Petitioner by FBI and Planning of a Civil War In 2004 -----	pg 60-82
Petitioner's Research-----	pg 82-92
Genocide-----	pg 92-93
Reasons For Granting the Petition-----	pg 93
Conclusion -----	pg 94

APPENDICES

Appendix I —May 21st, 2021 Decisions Of The District Court Order To Show Cause Two Pages

Appendix II —April 20 2021, 21 Pages Decisions Of The District Court Order Dismissing

Appendix III—District Court decision of March 30, 2021 1 page

Appendix IV— Granting Order To Proceed In Formal Pauperis Date01/15/20021 1pg.

Appendix V-(22 Pages) January 15th 2021 Order Dismissing Complaint From The District Court

Appendix VI —Appeals Court The Mandate Of December 29th 2021- 1 pg

Appendix VII— Order From The Appeals Court August 27th 2021—1 pg

Appendix VIII— Two Pages Appeals Court Order Of May 13th 2021 -1pg

Appendix IX - Discovery Date February 10th, 2020

Appendix X Superior Court Of California Riverside Order Of July 11th 2005- 2pgs

Appendix XI Superior Court Of California Riverside Order Of October 11th 2005-3pgs

Appendix XII— Notice Of Estate Tax Lien August 16th 2005. 1 pg.

From April 1st, 2000 Through 12th To The 1st 2004

Appendix XIII Riverside County Superior Court Of Banning Threatening The Petitioner- 2pgs

Appendix XIV False Citation of July 06/2019 Citation Riverside County Sheriff 545608AB 1 pg

Appendix XV Traffic Appeals Riverside Coutny, Cr-143, App-109- 2 pgs

Appendix XVI Diagnosis Of Broken Leg October 19th 2017 From R U H Emergency Department In Moreno Valley California

Appendix XVII discharge from the ankle fracture distal fibula and photo of the broken leg.--2 pgs

Appendix XVIII 7 Pages Highway Patrol California **Citation Number 7036482 July 25th 2008, Dmv Verification Of Change Of Address Page 2 Of 7**, Letter From The Petitioner To The Superior Court Of California Traffic Division On February 1st, 2010, Superior Court Of California Riverside Traffic Division Charges On K70364880 AD Defending Number 1734319 with \$300 Fine., **Included**, Allstate Letter Notifying That Petition had Provided Proof that incident had been dismissed By The Court However The Court Failed To Provide Report To The DMV.-**Page 6 Of 7** Superior Court Of California County Of Riverside February 13th 2009. Form Petition To Vacation- Assessment For Failure by officer to appear on Case Number 703648 Tad, included, the working Record Of The Petitioner At The Location That Petitioner Was On July 25th 2008 At 1:00 P.M. Through 5:00 P.M.

Non - Intentional Gap

Appendix XIX Email To The Department Of Justice Regarding The Petitioner's son Stabbed By A Riverside County Superior Court Judge; Augustus Hughes of Perris, Ca

Appendix XX Pages Defamation By The Psychiatrist Adam Brasket, A Psychiatrist That Works For Medical Group 1809 West Redlands Boulevard In Redlands California

Appendix XXI Grievance Response From IEHP Letter Date To November 30th 2021 Front And Back Of Page.

Appendix XXII Petitioner Request Of Explanation on Why The Superior Court Of California Riverside Murrieta, Had File Cases The Petitioner Has In Federal Court During The Absence Of The Petitioner For Surgery During The Month Of July 2021.

Appendix XXIII-Injunction placed through the Appeals Court in 2021 for 15 U.S.C 45 (a) (1) and 1962- 1 pg.

Appendix XXIII Photos of Riverside County Sheriffs' clear surveyance everywhere, every day and too close in proximity. Petitioner is retrieving further videos and photos that will be mailed at a later time. 6 pgs

Appendix XXIV Chuck E Cheese With Granddaughter Genesis Cherry Patrols At The Parking Lot Surveilling.

Appendix XXV A Letter Dated December 14th 2016 From Riverside County Sheriff Department In Response To A Petitioners Complaint Of December 12th 2016

Appendix XXVI 2 Pages Is A Printout From The Riverside Superior Court Of California Riverside Marietta On Qi 7 6 3 7 7ab Trial Of August 30th 2019

Appendix XXVII email from the petitioner to the Riverside County Grand Jury July 10th 2019 at 10:40 a.m.

Appendix XVIII 1st Pages Superior Court Of California County Of Riverside Instructions Case Number Q I1763778B Notice To Report To The Alternative Sentencing Monitoring Program.

Appendix XXVIII 2nd page pgs. Is The Community Service Providing Everything Of 12 Hours And 40 Minutes Of Labor By The Petitioner, The alternative Sentencing program documents.

Appendix XXIX Page 1 It's A Letter From The Riverside County Grand Jury Signed It By Foreperson, Stan Fisher, Date August 19th 2019 On Complain Number 19-20-003.

Appendix XXIX pg 2 Letter From Petitioner To Stan Fisher Foreperson Of The Riverside County Civil Grand Jury August 30th 2019.

Appendix XXX Investigation From The California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board Decision With The Information Of The Formation By The San Jacinto School District Date Of Appeal November 28th 2012. Additional Received This Documents On 2020.

Appendix XXXI 1page Vandalism Suffered By Petitioner On May 1st, 2010.

Appendix XXXII 3 Pages Email To Union Bank Members Chief General Manager And Chief Operation Officers Petitioner Requesting To return the robbed account to the petitioner. Union Bank did not respond To This Letter.

Appendix XXIII -8 Pages Includes Musculoskeletal Testing With Results Of Fracture Risk Is High, Chronic Hypertension, Glaucoma And Cataracts, Rectal Bleeding After A Ticket In The Workplace In 2020, ENT Evaluation On Ears Eyes Nose, Sonos Hearing Test, Endoscopies With Hiatal Hernia In The Car The Results, Eye Surgery Cost.

Appendix XXXIV 2 Pages Are Samples Of The Contaminated Medication Sent To The Secret Service That Never Responded. *U.S. PS receipts will be mailed later.*

Appendix XXXV 2 Pages Discrimination By American Airlines Flight Canceled On July 2021 At The Long Beach Airport.

Appendix XXXVI--1 Page Sample Of Verbal abuse at the Hemet USPS with threats Of Murder.

Appendix XXXVII 2 Pages Anonymous Handwritten Notes Found At The Hemet Public Library Parking Across From The Hemet Police Department With Profanity Written For The Petitioner.

Appendix XXXVIII The petitioner's son texts from his phone (951)665-9754

Appendix XXIX - Instances of intentional damages to petitioner's vehicle. 2 pgs


Appendix XXXX Will Be A Page Of Video Links To Be Mailed At a Later Date. Also, on Law Enforcement Persecution. Petitioner is mailing this petition under disabilities that preclude from hauling heavy boxes of evidences.

Appendix XXXXI Latest Information On Denials of Federal Funds
PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK BELOW *possible* *through the states*.

Appendix XXXXII Defamatory (False accusations) from
Penis Elementary school (see page 79).
Contradicts the letter

Appendix XXXXIII Email Sent to Hwy Patrol threatening
an mob *in in on 02/17/2020 while back*

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES



- California Civil Code - Civil Division 3. Obligations [1427 - 3273.16] stats. 1988, ch. 160, sec. 14.) Part 3. [1708 - 1725].
- California Education Code § 49079. Title 2. Public Education Subtitle g. Safe Schools Chapter 37. Discipline; Law and order Sec. 37.001. STUDENT CODE OF CONDUCT 37.105(h). (b) In this section: (1) Section 37.0832, (2)
- California Law, CA FAM §4336
- Federal Rule of Evidence 103,
- Human Rights Mandates of the High Commissioner Convention General Assembly resolution 268 (III) of 9th December 1948, entry into Force 12th January 1951
- Interactive Constitution-interpretation Article-III Section 3. Chief Justice John Marshall's opinion.
- Jurisdiction of Section 115-4.1 (g) Criminal Code in People v. Partee 125 Ill. 2d 24 (1988) 530 N.E.2d 460 " Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 115-4.1(e).
- REFORMED Qualified Immunity Act. 116th Congress 2d Section S.4036
- Restatement (Second) of Torts § 500 (1965)
- Rights of Persons > Confessions: Police Interrogation, Due Process, and Self Incrimination. "Justice Frankfurter opinion.
- Rule 43 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.
- Standard of Care California Supreme Court, Justices ; DEC. 20, 2003, 12 AM PT
- Tort of Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress" (1), (2), (3), (4)
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights Articles 3-10 and 6 to 15
- USAM 9-27.220. Rule 5-110 Special Responsibilities of Prosecutors
- " US Codes and Statutes > US Constitution Annotated > Article III. Judicial Department > Substantial Interest: Standing.

↓
ACTS OF GOVERNMENT
↓

- The Sherman Antitrust Act of 1990 "
- The Clayton Act of 1914
- 1976 by the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act
- The Federal Trade Commission Act ".
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act OF 2002 (PUB. L. 107-204) Sec. 805.
- The American with Disability Act A.DA Section 504 and 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.
- Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989, 5 U.S.C. 2302(b)(8)-(9), Pub.L. 101-12

CASES CITED

- Cada v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., 920F.2d 446 (7th Cir. 1990); Judge Posner's Opinions
- Chambers v. Florida, 309 U.S. 227 (1940)
- Evans v. United States, 504 U.S. 255, 265, 112 S.Ct. 1181, 1188 (1992)
- Elonis Case ELONIS v. UNITED STATES
- Inc. v. Valdez, 620 P.2d 683 (Alaska 1980)
- Holland v. City of Geddes, 610 N.W.2d 816, 819 (S.D. 2000)
- Hurtado v. California, 110 U.S. 516, 554-55 (1884) Harlan, J., dissenting.
- Lopez v. Pacific Maritime Ass'n, 657 F.3d 762, 767 (9th Cir. 2011)
- Mathews V. Eldridge. 424 US 319 (1976) Supra) 5, 25, 27
- Mathews v. United States, 485 U.S. 58, 63 (1988).
- People v. Coppage, 187 Ill. App. 3d 436, 543 N.E.2d 269 (Ill. App. Ct. 1989)
- People v. Partee, 125 Ill. 2d 24, 35, 530 N.E.2d 460, 465 (1988)
- Raytheon Co. v. Hernandez, 540 U.S. 44, 53 (2003);
- Rapf v. Suffolk County, 755 F.2d 282, 292 (2d Cir. 1985)
- Shannon V. United States 1994
- Scheuer V. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 236 (1974)
- Staples v. United States, 511 U.S. 600 (1994)
- Turner v. Township of Irvington, A-5478-I1T2
- United States v. Franks, 511 F.2d 25, 31 (6th Cir. 1975)
- West v. Northern Publishing Co., 487 P.2d 1304 (Alaska 1971);
- Wood v. Georgia, 370 U.S. 375, 390 (1962)
- Woodridge, 292 Ill. App. 3d at 791, 686 N.E.2d at 388.

LEGAL REFERENCES

- Handbook of Forensic Psychology: Weigner & Otto 2013
- Jurisdiction of Section 115-4.1(g) of the Criminal Code. Section 115-4.1(e)
- Prosser and Keeton on the Law of Torts
- U.S Code of Judicial Conduct Guide to Judiciary Policy- § 320, Canons 1-5

PHILOSOPHERS

- Emmanuel Kant Categorical Imperative
- John Locke
- Thomas Hobbes in 1651

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK BELOW

PETITIONER RELATED PENDING CASES

- **Benson Ada Maria V. Hemet Police Department**, United States Supreme Court Petition, Case No. 21_6841. Petitioner was assaulted with deadly weapon, kidnapped by police, kept abducted without due process after long years of police persecution.
- **Benson, Ada Maria v. IHSS-DPSS et seq.** Case in the United States Supreme Court, Number: 21-55797. Petitioner defamed, attacked in the workplace with injured spine. Wage theft by employer, refusal by employer to provide workers compensation nor unemployment benefits.
- **Benson, Ada Maria v. Hemet Gatekeepers Security Co.** Case 21-55151 in Appeals Court Ninth Circuit. Petitioner was persecuted by Armed Security Guards while petitioner performed federal duties. Armed guards threatened the petitioner with deprivation of liberty while using profane and obscene language on the petitioner.
- **Benson, Ada Maria v. United States Social Security (named: Ada Benson v. Kilolo Kijakazi) Case Number: 21-55358** sitting in the Appeals Court Ninth Circuit. The United States Social Security has discriminated against the petitioner, refusing to provide SSI neither SSA, while the petitioner has been with broken foot in 2017, broken shoulder in 2018, suffers from heart arrhythmia, Dyslipidemia, musculoskeletal dysfunction, cataracts and glaucoma. Petitioner presented all medical evidence. Social Security workers have abused the petitioner verbally and insulted. Social Security has lied to the petitioner, making the petitioner drive to Moreno Valley for an interview. The building was empty and no one was at the office appointed for the interview. See Video Link: <https://youtu.be/gL-7D29TF1Y>
- **Benson, Ada v. Progressive Insurance (Benjamin Rodriguez) Case 21-55147** the defendant speeding, jumped inside a gasoline station, driving a big 4 x 4 truck while the petitioner was parked pumping gasoline. The case was biased by the district court. The case sits in the Appeals Court, Ninth Circuit. To this date, the petitioner had to fix her vehicle because damages have not been paid. Intentional Damages
- **Benson, Ada Maria V. Allstate Insurance, Case No. the United States Supreme Court. It is a Breach of Contract** by Allstate. Accident took place in 2009. The petitioner was hit, and the driver escaped the accident scene, leaving the petitioner with a vehicle damaged and legs numbed. Although the Riverside County Sheriff provided an accident report and all information was provided to Allstate, Allstate has refused to recognize the contract clauses of the insured petitioner. Willful Wanton
- **Ada Maria Benson v. Angel View Clearance Center. Case Number 21-55781** sits in Appeals Court, Ninth Circuit. Petitioner was verbally abused and

expelled of a bargain store that the petitioner has provided donations in large amounts in the past years. Deprived of visiting with defamation spoken by the employees of the store.

- **Ada Maria Benson V. Census 202-Department of Commerce, USA.** Case in the United States Supreme Court **21-55791**. Petitioner was victimized by the federal employer. Wages were retained. Petitioner suffered persecution and abuse by Census 2020 supervisors. Evidenced. *Federal Collar Crime*

RELATED VIDEOS

1. <https://youtu.be/REChfW3q88w> 09/05/2018 Proliferating/exchange of victims scapegoating between police departments . Benson, Ada Maria was parked in front of her son's workplace waiting for her son, when a San Jacinto Police SUV speeding, arrived and parked in front of the petitioner calling the petitioner towards the SUV. Petitioner was videotaping. San Jacinto Police are as well Riverside County sheriff officers.



2. <https://youtu.be/ENMuzSJKShI> Monday October 25, 2021 at 06:01 P.M . As soon as I arrived at Walmart San Jacinto, Ca, A multitude of patrols with high beams arrived rushing and armed with large size weapons.



<https://youtu.be/ijptevcXmGw> November 24, 2021, multitude of Riverside County sheriffs at Starbucks rushed to gather as soon as petitioner had arrived to Starbucks and started to use the word cage and shooting.



<https://youtu.be/MbpO6ZxmluM> **September 2020** Returning from Mexico, after a brain breakdown, more than six patrols at the gate of A.C.R.S. San Jacinto, California, where petitioner had left the vehicle parked. A few minutes prior sheriff patrols were at the main train station in Perris California as if waiting for the petitioner. The petitioner got off the train at the Southwest station instead. Petitioner's daughter awaited. The petitioner's daughter drove the petitioner to the daughter's home to avoid false arrest. The petitioner had been abducted on September 09, 2020, by the Hemet Police Department after the police grabbed by force the petitioner from the inside of the petitioner's van.

Persecution.



<https://youtu.be/zeGH70GSz5M> Violence by a student of first grade at Enchanted Elementary School. Perris Elementary School District on 01-21-2022 testified by classmates. *Intentional harm, Plannified to destroy further the petitioner's professional life. Alienation by Causation*

<https://youtu.be/ncrpDqLa1B8> 01-21-2022 Assault to the petitioner while working as a substitute teacher at Enchanted Elementary School . Substitute Teacher. *Actual Video of the abusive student.*

<https://youtu.be/gL-7D29Tf1Y> Monday, October 04, 2021 Social Security Hearing. The United states Social Security lied and fouled- play the petitioner

<https://youtu.be/TcmkBSfgKO4> November 20 Saturday, at 07:30 P.M This black sheriff vehicle plates 82jek696 parked as soon as I had arrived at Starbucks, the deputy sheriff opened the doors, hood and trunk of the patrol and flogged them all, with use of force and violence in obvious act to destroy the petitioner's peace. My high blood pressure is always affected by the sheriff or police use of violence using the vehicles to hit doors, obviously knowing they affect my health every time they use violence. *The petitioner is persecuted with vehicles. As soon as the petitioner parks a rain of doors flogged follows to alter the petitioner's heart. Or someone suddenly screams behind. At the gym, the heaviest weight lifting equipment is dropped as if to destroy the building as soon as petitioner arrives.*

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

The petitioner, in persona propria, respectfully petitions the United States Supreme Court, for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the United States District Court and the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in this case #

21-55473

OPINIONS BELOW

District Court Opinions:

DECISIONS OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

The May 21, 2021 Order states: "Proceedings **ON CHAMBERS: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** . On April 20, 2021, the Court dismissed Plaintiff Ada Maria Benson's ("Plaintiff") Fim Amended Complaint ("FAC") without prejudice and with leave to amend. Electronic Case Filing Number ("ECF No.") 12, Order Dismissing FAC Without Prejudice And With Leave To Amend ("ODLA"). Following the issuance of the ODLA, the Court provided Plaintiff with a blank Civil Rights Complaint form and a blank Voluntarily Dismissal form, should Plaintiff elect to file either. Id. at 21. Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") was due on or before May 11, 2021. See id. at 19-20 ("Plaintiff may file a (SAC] within twenty-one (21) days of this [ODLA] issuing." (emphasis omitted)). However, rather than filing her SAC, Plaintiff filed a Motion and Affidavit for Permission to Proceed In Forma Pauperi ("Motion for Appear') to the United States Court of 3DAppeals for the Ninth Circuit ("Ninth Circuit"), which was taken as a Notice of Appeal. ECF No. 13.

Mot. for Appeal. On May 13, 2021, the Ninth Circuit dismissed Plaintiff's Motion for Appeal for lack of jurisdiction. ECF No. 15, Order at 1. Based on the Ninth Circuit ruling, this District has jurisdiction and determines that to date. Plaintiff has failed to file her SAC within the allotted time, nor has she requested an extension of time within which to do so. Accordingly, on or before May 28, 2021, Plaintiff is ORDERED to either (a) advise the Court that she does not desire to pursue this action; (b) if Plaintiff does desire to pursue this action, show good cause in writing, if any exists, why Plaintiff has not timely filed with the Court her SAC, and why the Court should not recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the Court's prior ODLA; or (c) file an SAC, in accordance with the instructions in the MLA. Page 1 of 2 CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL Initials of Deputy Perk DC App. I

Opinion of petitioner: Rule 4. Appeal as of Right. As many times as the Judge Shashi H. Kewalramani, Magistrate Judge for the Riverside County District Court, requested the petitioner to file a F.A.C (First Amended Complaint), as many times the judge dismissed the petitioner's filings of the amended Complaint. The petitioner considered the dismissal of prior amended complaints as "bias by the judge" and filed in the Federal Appeals Court Ninth Circuit under the **28 US Code § 1292** - Interlocutory decisions. **(b)** When a district judge, in making in a civil action an order not otherwise appealable under this section, shall be of the opinion that such order involves a controlling question of law as to which there is substantial ground for difference of opinion and that an immediate appeal from the order may materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation, he shall so state in writing in such order. The Court of Appeals which would have jurisdiction of an appeal of such action may thereupon, in its discretion, permit an appeal to be taken from such order, if application is made to it within ten days after the entry of the order: Provided, however, That application for an appeal hereunder shall not stay proceedings in the district court unless the district judge or the Court of Appeals or a judge thereof shall so order. **Under Rule 50 of Federal Procedures; If the court denies the motion for judgment as a matter of law, the prevailing party may, as appellant, assert grounds...Litigants have the right to an appellate court review of the trial court's actions.**

Document 12 of April, 20, 2021 created at 03:15 P.M states: "UNITED STATES Case No. 5:20-cv-02641-DMG-SHK ORDER DISMISSING FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITH LEAVE TO AMEND Plaintiff Ada Maria Benson ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") seeking relief under, what appears to be, **42 U.S.C § 1983 ("§ 1983")**, against the Riverside County Sheriff

District Court

Department ("RCSD"); Superior Court of California, County of Riverside ("Riverside Superior Court"); and Superior Court of California, Riverside County Grand Jury ("Riverside Grand Jury" and collectively, Defendants"). Electronic Case Filing Number ("ECF No.") 10, FAC. For the reasons discussed below, the FAC is DISMISSED without prejudice and with leave to amend. **See App. II (21 pages).**

March 30, 2021 Document CV-104A (06/13) states: **referring to the Writ of Certiorari and Exhibits** filed by petitioner. "The documents appear to be submitted too prematurely as the court has not made any substantive rulings regarding Plaintiff's First amendment Complaint. Plaintiff is directed to the **1) Local Rules, 2) federal Rules of Civil procedures and 3) Judge's order dismissing complaint**. Judge Kewalramani rejected the Writ and exhibits. Immediate basis to file appeals under 28 US Code § 1292 - Interlocutory decisions. App. III

District Court Opinion

Petitioner's Opinion: Court statement is false. The Granting of Certiorari was Granted January 15, 2021. **See Appendix IV** Order dismissing complaint was issued on January 15, 2021.

January 25, 2021 Filing 10 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT against Defendants Riverside County Grand Jury, Riverside County Sheriff Department, Superior Court of California; Party 911 Operators terminated amending Complaint #1, filed by Plaintiff Ada Maria Benson. (et)

January 15, 2021 Granted Order to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. **App. IV**

January 15, 2021 Doc. No. 9 Opinion or Order Filing ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT AND DENYING CONTEMPT MOTION, BOTH WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITH LEAVE TO AMEND by Magistrate Judge Shashi H. Kewalramani: denying #5 MOTION for Contempt. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED and the Contempt Motion [ECF No. 5] is DENIED in their entirety, without prejudice, and both with leave to amend. It is further ordered that, if Plaintiff would like to continue to prosecute this action, Plaintiff may file a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") within twenty-one (21) days of this Order issuing. (Attachments: #1 Blank Dismissal, #2 Blank CV Rights Form) (dc) **App. V**

Final Mandate

APPEALS COURT DECISIONS:

Mandate of December 29, 2021 at 3:17:18 PM States: " The judgment of this court, entered May 13, 2021, takes effect on this date. This constitutes the

formal mandate of this court issued pursuant to Rule 41 (a) of the federal Rules of appellate Procedure, For the Court: Molly C. Dwyer Clerk Of the Court Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7 **Appendix VI**

The August 27, 2021 Order states: “Before Paez, Berzon, and Forrest, Circuit Judges. Appellant’s motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 7) is denied. See 9th Circ. R. 27-10 All other pending motions are denied as “MOOT” ? No further filings will be entertained in this closed case. **Appendix VII**

The 05/13/2021 at 2:55:47 PM PDT Appeals order reads: “ Filed order (RICHARD A. PAEZ, MARSHA S. BERZON and DANIELLE J. HUNSAKER) A review of the record demonstrates that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal because the district court has not issued any orders that are final or appealable. See 28 U.S.C. § 1291; WMX Techs., Inc. v. Miller, 104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 1997) (en banc) (dismissal of complaint with leave to amend is not appealable); In re San Vicente Med. Partners Ltd., 865 F.2d 1128, 1131 (9th Cir. 1989) (order) (magistrate judge order not final or appealable). Consequently, this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. All pending motions are denied as moot. DISMISSED. [12112312] (JMR)”

Petitioner’s Opinion: Case was filed under **28 US Code § 1292** - Interlocutory decisions.

JURISDICTION

1. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under **28 U.S.C. § 1254 (1)**
2. The United States Supreme Court has set Constitutional Standards for Jurisdiction based on Injury, Fact, Causation, and Redressability. US Codes and Statutes › US Constitution Annotated › Article III. Judicial Department › Substantial Interest: Standing.
- 3) Article Three of the United States Constitution establishes the judicial branch of the federal government. Article Three empowers the United States **Supreme Court** to handle cases or controversies arising under federal law. **Article Three also defines treason.**
- 4) **Section 1 of Article Three** vests the judicial power of the United States in the Supreme Court, as well as inferior courts established by Congress. Along with the Vesting Clauses of Article One and Article Two, Article Three's Vesting Clause establishes the separation of powers between the three branches of government.

5) Section 2 of Article Three, states that the “*federal judiciary's power extends to cases arising under the Constitution, federal laws, federal treaties, controversies involving multiple states or foreign powers, and other enumerated areas.*” **Section 2** gives the Supreme Court original jurisdiction when “*ambassadors, public officials, or the states are a party in the case, leaving the Supreme Court with appellate jurisdiction in all other areas to which the federal judiciary's jurisdiction extends.*” The Supreme Court has exercised this power since the 1803 case of **Marbury v. Madison**.

6) Section 3 of Article Three defines **TREASON** and empowers Congress to punish treason. **Section 3 requires that** “*at least two witnesses testify to the treasonous act, or that the individual accused of treason confess in open court. It also limits the ways in which Congress can punish those convicted of treason.*”

7) Jurisdiction exist when the Supreme Court applies the Human Rights Mandates of the High Commissioner Convention on the prevention and punishment of the crime of genocide, approved and proposed for signature and ratification or accession by General Assembly resolution 268 (III) of 9th December 1948, entry into Force 12th January 1951 in accordance with Article XII.

8) The United States Supreme Court finds further jurisdiction in jurisdictions concerning violations of human rights in the Human Rights Office of the High commissioner by its resolution 217 a (III). The General Assembly adopted the Universal Declaration of Human Rights as the first of these projected instruments. Towards the international covenants. Articles 6 to 15 of the international current on economic social and cultural rights recognizes rights to work (ART 6,) to the enjoyment of just and favorable conditions (ART 7,) including Social Insurance (art 9), to the widest possibility of protection and assistance for the family, especially mothers, children and young persons (art 10).

An adequate standard of living, (**art 11**), to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health (**art 12**). To education (and its articles **6 to 27**) the international covenant on civil and political rights protects the right to life (**art. 6**). No one is to be subjected to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishments (**art. 7**) that no one is to be held in a slavery, that is slavery and the slave trade to be prohibited and that no one is to be held in servitude or required to perform forced or compulsory labor open (art 8), no one is to be subjected to arbitrary arrest or detention (**art.99**) and that no

one is to be imprisoned merely on the ground of inability to fulfill a contractual obligation ((art 11).

8) REFORMED Qualified Immunity Act. 116th Congress 2d Section
S.4036 overrides immunity to state workers. Under this Act. A government employee must prove that there was a statute or court case in the relevant jurisdiction showing that the state employee's conduct was authorized. An official behavior that violates written laws sees a clear judicial precedent that established the behavior as unlawful. This is "Separation of Powers." In constitutional theory, it is a mean and the classic rationale that aims to prevent tyranny in facilitating a check of balances. Framers of the Constitution embraced separation of powers more to facilitate greater administrative efficiency than out of anxiety over executive tyranny. *Mathews V. Eldridge. 424 US 319 (1976) Supra* 5, 25, 27. In *Scheuer V. Rhodes, 416 US 236 (1974)* High State officers are not absolutely immune from suits for Constitutional Violations. USAM 9-27.220.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 USC 1983. “Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress, except that in any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable. For the purposes of this section, any Act of Congress applicable exclusively to the District of Columbia shall be considered to be a statute of the District of Columbia.”

REFORMED Qualified Immunity Act. 116th Congress 2d Section
S.4036 “Overrides immunity to state workers. Under this Act. A government employee must prove that there was a statute or court case in the relevant jurisdiction showing that the state employee's conduct was authorized. An official behavior that violates written laws sees a clear judicial precedent that established the behavior as unlawful. This is "Separation of Powers." In constitutional theory, it is a mean and the classic rationale that aims to prevent tyranny in facilitating

a check of balances ." Framers of the Constitution embraced separation of powers more to facilitate greater administrative efficiency than out of anxiety over executive tyranny. **Mathews V. Eldridge. 424 US 319 (1976) Supra) 5, 25, 27 . In Scheuer V. Rhodes, 416 US 236 (1974)** High State officers are not absolutely immune from suits for Constitutional Violations. USAM 9-27.220.

The Sherman Antitrust Act of 1990 "The Sherman Act broadly prohibits **1) anticompetitive agreements and 2) unilateral conduct that monopolizes or attempts to monopolize the relevant market.** The Act authorizes the Department of Justice to bring suits to enjoin (i.e. prohibit) conduct violating the Act, and additionally authorizes private parties injured by conduct violating the Act to bring suits for treble damages (i.e. three times as much money in damages as the violation cost them). Over time, the federal courts have developed a body of law under the Sherman Act making certain types of anticompetitive conduct *per se* illegal, and subjecting other types of conduct to case-by-case analysis regarding whether the conduct unreasonably restrains trade." *Innocent monopoly*, or monopoly achieved solely by merit, is legal, but acts by a monopolist to artificially preserve that status, or nefarious dealings to create a monopoly, are not." **Section 2 of the Sherman Act** "makes it unlawful for a company to "monopolize, or attempt to monopolize," trade or commerce. The law is violated only if the company tries to maintain or acquire a monopoly through unreasonable methods. For the courts, a key factor in determining what is unreasonable is whether the practice has a legitimate business justification."

The Clayton Act of 1914 addresses specific practices that the Sherman Act does not clearly prohibit, such as mergers and interlocking directorates (that is, the same person making business decisions for competing companies). **Section 7 of the Clayton Act prohibits mergers and acquisitions where the effect "may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly."** As amended by the Robinson-Patman Act of 1936, the Clayton Act also bans certain discriminatory prices, services, and allowances in dealings between merchants. The Clayton Act was **amended again in 1976 by the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act** to require companies planning large mergers or acquisitions to notify the government of their plans in advance. The Clayton Act also authorizes private parties to sue for triple damages when they have been harmed by conduct that violates either the Sherman or Clayton Act, and to obtain a court order prohibiting the anticompetitive practice in the future.

The Federal Trade Commission Act "bans *"unfair methods of competition"* and *"unfair or deceptive acts or practices."* **The United States Supreme Court has said that all violations of the Sherman Act also violate the FTC Act.** Thus, although the FTC does not technically enforce the Sherman Act, it can bring cases under the FTC Act against the same kinds of activities that violate the Sherman Act. The FTC Act also reaches other practices that harm competition.

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (PUB. L. 107-204) Sec. 805. Review of Federal Sentencing Guidelines for obstruction of justice and extensive criminal fraud.

The Hobbs Act under 18 U.S.C § 1951 prohibits actual or attempted robbery or extortion affecting interstate or foreign commerce "in any way or degree." **Section 1951** also proscribes conspiracy to commit **robbery or extortion** without reference to the conspiracy statute at **18 U.S.C. § 371**. The statutory prohibition of "physical violence to any person or property in furtherance of a plan or purpose to do anything in violation of this section" is confined to violence for the purpose of committing robbery or extortion. **United States v. Franks, 511 F.2d 25, 31 (6th Cir. 1975)** (rejecting the view that the statute proscribes all physical violence obstructing, delaying, or affecting commerce as contrasted with violence designed to culminate in robbery or extortion). The extortion offense reaches both the obtaining of property "under color of official right" by public officials and the obtaining of property by private actors with the victim's "consent, induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear," including fear of economic harm. See this Manual at 2405 and **Evans v. United States, 504 U.S. 255, 265, 112 S.Ct. 1181, 1188 (1992)** (only a private individual's extortion of property by the wrongful use of force, violence, or fear requires that the victim's consent be induced by these means; extortion of property under color of official right does not require that a public official take steps to induce the extortionate payment).

The American with Disability Act A.DA Section 504 Rights and Responsibilities under Section 504 and the ADA Section 504 and the ADA protect qualified individuals with disabilities from discrimination on the basis of disability in the provision of benefits and services. Covered entities must: Provide services and programs in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of the qualified individual with a disability to ensure that programs, services, activities, and facilities are accessible. Make reasonable modifications in their policies, practices, and procedures to avoid discrimination on the basis of disability, unless

it would result in a fundamental alteration of the programs and to provide auxiliary aids to persons with disabilities, at no additional cost, where necessary to afford an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from a program or activity. Designate a responsible employee to coordinate their efforts to comply with Section 504 and the ADA. Adopt grievance procedures to handle complaints of disability discrimination in their programs and activities."

Jurisdiction of Section 115-4.1 (g) Criminal Code. *People v. Partee, 125 Ill. 2d 24 (1988) 530 N.E.2d 460* "When a defendant who in his absence has been either convicted or sentenced or both convicted and sentenced appears before the court, he must be granted a new trial or new sentencing hearing if the defendant can establish that his failure to appear in court was both without his fault and due to circumstances beyond his control. A hearing with notice to the State's Attorney on the defendant's request for a new trial or a new sentencing hearing must be held before any such request may be granted. At any such hearing, both the defendant and the State may present evidence." *Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 115-4.1(e).*

The First Amendment: "provides several rights protections: to express ideas through speech and the press, to assemble or gather with a group to protest or for other reasons, and to ask the government to fix problems. It also protects the right to religious beliefs and practices. It prevents the government from creating or favoring a religion."

Fourth Amendment : "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

Fifth Amendment: "No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation."

Sixth Amendment: *"In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defense."*

Eight Amendment. *"Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted."*

Thirteenth Amendment: *"Section 1 "Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction."*

Fourteenth Amendment Section 1 *"All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."*

18 U.S. Code § 1091 - Genocide *"(a) Basic Offense.—Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the specific intent to destroy, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such—*

- (1) kills members of that group;*
- (2) causes serious bodily injury to members of that group;*
- (3) causes the permanent impairment of the mental faculties of members of the group through drugs, torture, or similar techniques;*
- (4) subjects the group to conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction of the group in whole or in part;*
- (5) imposes measures intended to prevent births within the group; or*
- (6) transfers by force children of the group to another group;*

shall be punished as provided in subsection (b).

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)

Article 3 *"Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person."*

Article 4: "No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms."

Article 5: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."

Article 8 "Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law."

Article 9 "No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest, detention or exile."

Article 10 "Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Statement of the case in this petition is subdivided as follows:

- I.**Superior Court of California Riverside County
- II.**Riverside County Sheriff Department Civil **Grand Jury**
- III.**Riverside County Superior Court of California Civil
- IV.**911 Operators (under the umbrella of the Riverside County Sheriff Department)
- V.**Treason by the United States President and Federal workers
- VI.**Research
- VII.**Crime of Genocide Against a racial and Ethnic Minority.

I.RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

On February 10, 2020 the petitioner found in the public sub-files of the Superior Court Of California, Riverside County, defamation posted in public files through browsing in the Superior Court of Riverside, Murrieta, Ca **See Appendix IX** (date of discovery) The accusation of embezzlement **18 U.S. Code CHAPTER 31** in a final document from "**Trial In Absentia**" **Chambers v. Florida, 309 U.S. 227 (1940)**,

inside the Riverside County Superior Court public files in **violation of Rule 43** of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, in which the petitioner was not notified to appear for the last hearings of the divorce filed by the petitioner in 2003. There is no word in the document FL-160 Case No. RID 203856 stating that such a document was served on the petitioner. The document was filed by attorney Patrick A. McCall, esq., of Orange County, California. It was a trial in the absence of the petitioner, in violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the California BAR. The Riverside County Superior Court of California accepted Patrick McCall defamatory document as true, and proceeded to trial, posting as final the defamatory document in which the petitioner is accused of the crime of embezzlement with reckless disregard for the defamation posted and disregard of the petitioner's knowledge of the accusation. The **Alaska Supreme Court Renders interpretation** in reckless disregard of defamation as "Reckless disregard, for these purposes, conduct that is heedless and shows a **wanton indifference to consequences**; it is conduct which is far more than negligent."

Mens-Rea In Forensic Psychology is a requirement of criminal conduct, proscribed conduct performed intentionally, recklessly, knowingly, or purposefully. A state of mind, statutorily required in order to convict a particular defendant of a particular crime. See, e.g. **Staples v. United States, 511 US 600 (1994)**. Establishing the mens rea of an offender is usually necessary to prove guilt in a criminal trial. (The Handbook of Forensic Psychology: Weigner & Otto 2013)

Judge Posner's opinion in Cada v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., 920F.2d 446 (7th Cir. 1990): "Statutory Discovery Rule. When a statute itself ties the limitations period not to a violation of the law but to the "discovery" oft. See, e.g., **28 U.S.C. § 1658** (for certain securities claims, tying limitations period to "the discovery of the facts constituting the violation"). Common-law discovery rule. This doctrine is "read into statutes of limitations in federal-question cases in the absence of a contrary directive from Congress." It "postpones the beginning of the limitations period from the date when the petitioner is wronged to the date when he discovers he has been injured." **Inc. v. Valdez, 620 P.2d 683 (Alaska 1980); West v. Northern Publishing Co., 487 P.2d 1304 (Alaska 1971); Pearson v. Fairbanks Publishing Co., 413 P.2d 711 (Alaska 1966).** The petitioner's discovery is February 10, 2020. See Appendix IX

In itself, the **Alaska Supreme Court defines** that "ulterior motives, intent, as a specific objective tool to affect an individual's existence." Behind the ulterior motives exists the creation and the posting silently of the defamatory document

against the petitioner in public files, while projecting further damages to the same victim of defamation in that chain of proliferating defamatory information within a network of the public system. It is necessary to portray the image of the petitioner as evil, as a wreck, as a societal parasite because in the conspiracy is not just two people divorcing. In the divorce, follows links siding with the ex-husband. The defamation against the petitioner is a main ingredient for further cooking in the profiling of victims of projected collar crimes and when the person who filed the documents, clearly intended to leave the petitioner without a penny in the pockets while clearly a conspiracy existed. The document stamped by the court on July 11,

2005, and proudly signed by the Riverside County Superior Court judges (**See Appendix X (2pgs)**)-reads: "*residency was sold by petitioner unilaterally and petitioner kept the proceedings in violation of court orders*" **Page 2** of the same document reads: "*Unknown funds secreted by the petitioner.*" **App. X** The Riverside County Superior Court of Riverside document if October 11, 2005, is three pages. The **third page** where the judge Michelle D. Levine provides the donation of the petitioner's intellectual rights to the ex-husband, and violated established California State laws regarding alimony. See App. XI three pages. There was no court order received by the petitioner that precluded the sale of the house, because the house was already sold when Attorney; Patrick A. McCall filed the defamatory document. The sale of the house left no pennies in the petitioner's pockets. 100% of the shares of the agency founded solely by the petitioner were handed to the ~~ex~~ husband. The petitioner worked in the tutoring agency seven days a week and never obtained a cent in return. The document was prepared by Patrick A. McCall, Certified Family Law specialist from Orange County, California.

Patrick A. McCall (State California BAR#108826), must have had absolute conviction in the accusations that he agreed to post in the petitioner's file and presented to the Riverside County Superior Court, destroying the character of the petitioner. McCall's defamation entries were accepted and posted as true by Judges Thomas H. Cahraman and Judge Michele D. Levine of the Riverside County

Superior Court. Judge Levine seems to have created the third page of the dissolution of divorce document called page three and that is the typed judgment, signed and dated by judge Levine, leaving the petitioner in a shelter without food and without a cent in the pockets. **App. XI pg 3 of 3**. These are accusations against the petitioner without the petitioner's opportunity to defend herself. Carl Benson's (The ex-husband) signature was and is a public record in the sale of the house. Carl Benson agreed voluntarily to the sale of the jointly owned residency because he had not paid the contracted tutors of the tutoring agency for more than three months and were more than three hundred tutors. The Coldwell Banker from Menifee, California that assisted in the sale of the jointly owned house, spoke with Carl Benson, and the ex-husband showed no hesitation to sign all the forms

required for the sale. The Real Estate agent did not use force, nor threats. The Coldwell Banker agent saw Carl Benson eagerly signing all documents that are today public records in Riverside County Property records. Signature of public records can be verified by all signatures of Carl Robert Benson in the Riverside County Sheriff's reports that deputy Benson wrote during all his years of patrolling and court deputy. The signature of the ex-husband is at **App. X pg 2 of 2.**

The Superior Court of California assisted Patrick McCall's to lie at, stating that the house was sold unilaterally. The signature of Carl Benson was necessary, as it can be found in the public files of the same County of Riverside in the Real Estate system of properties sold. EDD had imposed a lien in the tutoring agency and Carl Benson was fully aware at the time the falsehood was filed in the court that the sale of the house was entirely used to outrageous debts that Carl Benson had incurred and all the tutors owed that had filed in EDD (Unemployment Development Department) for wages unpaid. See appendix XII 1 pg. (EDD Lien) Carl Robert Benson lied to the petitioner stating that "none of the counties agencies holding contracts with the tutoring agency had paid because they were processing fiscal documents, that he couldn't do anything to force pay. Notice that Carl Benson had very close associates working inside all the Riverside County personnel offices. The petitioner had maintained the tutoring agency on her own, borrowing from money the petitioner brought to the dissolving marriage, from working as a single mother in Pasadena, California. Petitioner never requested loans to any bank. Played with the money the petitioner owned to pay tutors and then repay herself. However, after Carl Benson (the ex) retired from the Sheriff Department, he placed the tutoring agency in economic chaos.

- a) Did the Attorney, Patrick A. McCall, provide all the evidence to the Superior Court of Riverside County necessary to accept the accusations of embezzlement?
- b) Is the Riverside County Superior Court in possession of the evidence required under the 18 U.S.C. § 666(a)(1)(A) to prove that the petitioner committed the crime of embezzlement?
- c) Does the Superior Court Of California, Riverside possess evidence that comply with the Federal Rules of Evidence to sustain that Patrick A. McCall false document of July 11, 205 is a document that merits veracity to condemn the petitioner?
- c) Where are the filed evidences against the petitioner for the Riverside County Superior Court to accept a false accusation as true ?

The Riverside County Superior Court has involved itself in the creation and acceptance of the defamation posted against the petitioner in violation of **28 US Code § 4101**. This act of defamation and handing the tutoring agency created by the petitioner, 100% to the ex-husband, is a conspiracy among everyone that filed

the documents against the petitioner and those who approved the crime of depriving the petitioner of existing **Constitutional and Intellectual Rights infringement**. The tutoring agency was solely created by the petitioner on her own and was sustained for most of the time up to March 2004 by funds solely worked by the petitioner in Pasadena, California, where petitioner worked as a single woman and mother. Away from conspiracy under the **18 U.S. Code § 371**, The Superior Court of Riverside and the attorneys and judges involved in the case, are in clear violation of the California Bar Association Rules such as **Rule 5-110**

Special Responsibilities of Prosecutors that demands ethical and righteous conduct. The Attorney representing the defendant (Carl Robert Benson) and the Riverside County Superior Court engaged in the ineffective ritual of advising an empty chair." **Woodridge, 292 Ill. App. 3d at 791, 686 N.E.2d at 388.** "willful," "wanton," "reckless," and "grossly negligent." Consciously and maliciously condemning the petitioner, while conspired to detach the petitioner of all Constitutional Rights. **Restatement (Second) of Torts § 500 (1965)**

"In Criminal cases in The United States Courts, the burden of proof for determining guilt is the presentation of evidence leading to a belief that the presence of evidence affirm guilt without reasonable doubts. In civil cases, the standard is preponderance of the evidence. These concepts are pivotal in the judiciary system. We don't walk to a court to claim harm just because we have been working in the courtrooms or because we know someone in court. Yet, this is the case. **Federal Rule of Evidence 103**, strictly demands (2) if the ruling excludes evidence, a party informs the court of its substance by an offer of proof, unless the substance was apparent from the context." What was so apparent in the context of the divorce papers presented by the counsel of Carl Robert Benson that the Riverside County Superior Court dictated an abusive and defamatory verdict against the petitioner while the petitioner was hungry and without a penny inside the Corona Shelter located at 420 W. Harrison, Corona 92880 forced by collar crime. Orders of President Bush FBI men number one, Shaquille O'Neal, all Lakers, Heat sport teams and most of all sport teams especially the black workers, and a mob of federal workers that as soon as the petitioner had filed for divorce, approached the petitioner threatening with death if the petitioner did not comply with the orders of the president Bush main workers. The main order, before the

petitioner sold the jointly owned house, was to empty the house as soon as the petitioner could because the federals needed the house. (See the section of this petition on Treason and Collar crime) ② The ex-husband had not paid all the tutors.

The tutoring agency was created solely by the petitioner in January 1996.

Petitioner is a near 45 years educator and her first graduated degree was as Elementary Teacher of Spanish in 1983. The petitioner's foster mother raised the petitioner watching her, a rural teacher teaching six grades in one classroom. The ex-husband, a 25 years deputy sheriff, retired because he saw the tutoring agency acquiring contracts with county educational agencies and counties social worker agencies becoming a profitable organization that fell under the Federal Trade Commission laws and further federal laws that require regulation in the legal operations of any institution that produces profits. The petitioner held the tutoring agency in compliance with State and Federal laws up to April 2004. The ex-husband's brought court mandated obligations to pay every month \$1,500.00 dollars in alimony, \$750.00 child support and \$350.00 for medical insurance to the ex-wife. Had a multitude of debts that required monthly payments on very large amounts in credit cards. Furthermore, the ex-husband claimed that affiliation to the Riverside Sheriff forced to hand over his share of the left salary. Gifts every month, dinners out or meetings required that the ex-spent. His portion to cooperate in the household expenses was limited.

petitioner married May 1993. Dissolution took place Oct. 2005 are 12 years.

The petitioner operated as a full time worker during the hours the petitioner was not at regular work. Furthermore, since January 1996, the petitioner from home advertised in the local County Penny-Saver educational tutoring services that the petitioner herself provided. As more people called for tutoring, the petitioner gradually increased tutors that the petitioner hired and interviewed at home. Carl Benson was a full time deputy sheriff for the County of Riverside, working inside the Riverside County and City Superior Court Room 16. On weekends, the ex-husband was not at home. Benson claimed that he drove trucks for Knight Transportation and Dart transportation, where his higher paid was 125.00 dollars.

Carl Benson was also an active agent loser in Real Estate with Century 21 in Canyon Lake and Re-Max of the Lakes in Lake Elsinore, California. Carl Benson had no history taking educational courses from the School of Education, had no idea of who are the greatest educational psychologists to apply their principles in education, nor which were the greatest or better methodologies to test and assess students prior to starting a tutoring session. Carl Robert Benson wouldn't know that prior to tutoring, student assessments are done by real educators or trained specialists in the field of measurement cognition ought to be done. It is how the petitioner provided measurement on time and explained the needs of the students

the petitioner herself assessed when working with students referred by social work agencies or school districts. Petitioner had created assessment tools for all ages and grades based on the petitioner experience and formal education in Psychology and Special Education. Approved time by such agencies was based on the assessment provided by the petitioner, who prepared all assessments for all grades, including high school. The assessments would determine not only the needs of the students, but also the times of additional educational instruction needed per each evaluated student or if further specialized personnel or tests for health needs were necessary.

Education is not a business to get profit only, the mind of the educator is in developing the minds of the students. It is to see cognitive results, yet the profit is to create the survival of those who work on it. Income in an agency develops communities. Cognitive and developmental assessments are a crucial need, to know that in each individual, exists an array of needs and gaps that can delay learning when the needs can be caught by assessment tools and consistent educated and directed tutoring. The why of the petitioner, offering services to Human Social Agencies that are eager to see foster children's minds motivated and directed to encounter success.

The history of the teaching credentials held by the petitioner are public files with the California Teaching Commission and transcripts evaluated in the United States by E.R.E.S, a famous educational evaluation center that provided the equivalences of the education brought by the plaintiff from the years the petitioner lived overseas and worked overseas for the overseas government as an educator of multiple subjects in Spanish, being a very young woman at the time as an interim student and teacher. In California the petitioner furthered her education in the same path of educator, social worker and administrator of educational centers. Petitioner's path in education is not limited to formal education. Practice and training lay behind someone that builds and assists to raise communities. That experience was used in full to shape the educational agency the petitioner founded and which was taken away from the petitioner as the ex-husband retired when the petitioner wrote various RFPs winning contracts with most of the Southern California Counties of Education and Social Worker agencies to provide tutoring services at home for foster children and juveniles in probation as well as students undergoing IEPs in the school districts. (IEPs -Individual Educational Programs),

It is required to have specially educated people to direct the tutoring in collaboration with the student's parents). Without consulting with the petitioner, Carl Robert Benson provided all the structure of the tutoring agency to his sister Diana Benson Solis from Fresno, California and his nieces and nephews. All forms formats were copied from the petitioner, shaping an educational agency in Northern California, and proliferated tutoring without a penny in return to the

petitioner, not to the agency. It was disclosed to petitioner that once the ex-husband changed locks in the tutoring agency in 2004 and displaced the petitioner and the petitioner's son from the tutoring agency, Carl Robert Benson rushed to merge the tutoring assignments and contracts with Sylvan Learning Center and various others small tutoring agencies, using the petitioner's name and identity documentation. It was stated to the petitioner that to keep the tutoring agency in compliance, Carl Robert Benson, used the petitioner professional documents making believe in all contractors that the petitioner was involved in the educational agency to sustain that a qualified educational professional remained behind the structure as it is required by the California laws. It seems that the same RFPs written by the petitioner to obtain past contracts were kept in use over and over throughout the years after changing phrases or paraphrasing, as it has been stated. The petitioner received delicate information that could not be verified. The petitioner has no associations with investigative agencies. Petitioner was told that Carl Robert Benson returned to live in the same house the petitioner was forced to sell (27216 Paloma Way, Sun City, Ca) with a female partner named Zayda Polanco Arauz from Matagalpa, Nicaragua (Aka: Ms. Sullivan from Pasadena, California) a granddaughter of Bill Gates Sr's sister (Buenaventura Arauz Polanco from San Ramon, Nicaragua), who used the petitioner's identity and procreated children using the petitioner's DNA obtained through the Gynecologists exams. The petitioner without economical means has not been able to verify such information.

However, filed identity theft through many state and federal agencies.

False accusations and deprivation of Constitutional Rights, constitutes a conspiracy. It was also disclosed that the ex-husband handed the professional transcripts of the petitioner to various different people, allocating his friends in school districts, law enforcement and courts with the petitioner's college and university transcripts to have associates in different entities, especially in the Riverside County area to get a percentage of the earnings of the people using the petitioner's transcripts. This is a disclosed revelation that the petitioner lacked resources to verify.

The Sherman Act imposes criminal penalties of up to \$100 million for a corporation and \$1 million for an individual, along with up to 10 years in prison. Under federal law, the maximum fine may be increased to twice the amount the conspirators gained from the illegal acts or twice the money lost by the victims of the crime, if either of those amounts are over \$100 million. **The Federal Trade Commission Act** bans "unfair methods of competition" and "unfair or deceptive acts or practices." It is obviously a conspiracy among many people and a form of gaining control over the rights of one to acquire what is considered competition,

monopolizing what one person alone created. Under the Sherman Act, individuals and businesses that violate it may be prosecuted by the Department of Justice. Criminal prosecutions are typically limited to intentional and clear violations.

The Clayton Act prohibits mergers and acquisitions where the effect "may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly." Immediately after the ex-husband changed the locks of the doors at the tutoring agency to avoid that the petitioner could have access, the ex-husband with the employees changed the name of the tutoring agency from Tutors of The Inland Empire to "BEST" (Basic Educational Services) and obviously merging with other small tutoring agencies around California.

The Riverside County Superior Court in the case of the divorce Benson V., Benson case number RID 203856, acted without federal jurisdiction and sentenced the petitioner depriving the petitioner of her Civil Rights, while posting defamation in public files without the plaintiff's knowledge. In order to judge a US citizen under a federal question jurisdiction, the cause of action must arise under federal law. The Riverside County Superior Court has no federal jurisdiction to judge citizens overpassing Constitutional Rights pertaining only to the federal court system in **violation of the 28 U.S. Code § 1331** A conviction and sentence were executed against the petitioner, but were not only filed without the knowledge of the accused-petitioner, but used as an inter-exchange among the California public agencies to maintain the petitioner profiled in the quality of criminal lacking character, while the petitioner ignored the reasons of all the pitfalls suffered after filing for divorce and throughout the years that followed the divorce petition. Throughout all these years the pitfalls lived by the petitioner are the responsibility of defamation and conspiracy of the Superior Court of Riverside County and the Riverside County Sheriff Department assisted by their judges and attorneys. It has been stated by civilians unknown to the petitioner that the Riverside County Sheriff Department are all members of the United States FBI under government subcontracts.

Through 122-8 (West 2000)). Partee, 125 Ill. 2d at 35, 530 N.E.2d at 465. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that a **section 115-4.1(e)** motion is a "collateral attack upon a final judgment" rather than part of the procedure for a direct appeal of that judgment. **People v. Partee, 125 Ill. 2d 24, 35, 530 N.E.2d 460, 465 (1988).**" "When a defendant who in his absence has been either convicted or sentenced or both convicted and sentenced appears before the court, he must be granted a new trial or new sentencing hearing if the defendant can establish that his failure to appear in court was both without his fault and due

to circumstances beyond his control. A hearing with notice to the State's Attorney on the defendant's request for a new trial or a new sentencing hearing must be held before any such request may be granted. At any such hearing, both the defendant and the State may present evidence." **Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par.**

115-4.1(e). The Riverside County Superior Court of California knew that the petitioner was in the Corona Shelter without funds. The petitioner had notified the court, providing the Corona shelter address. The last attendance to the court by the petitioner was because a notice arrived at the shelter, and it was once in 2004.

Never any notices arrived thereafter. The year 2005 went by without any documents from the Riverside County Superior Court. Yet, it is the year of the dissolution. Such declaration is stated by the petitioner under unsworn declaration, covered by the **28 US Code § 1746**. Petitioner's only funds arrived from the petitioner's CAL-STRS Teachers Retirement funds to the shelter and was a limited amount that allowed the petitioner to get wearables and to drive back again applying for work. CAL-STRS keeps history of the funds withdrawals from past members.

The Riverside County Superior Court further lies in the final document FL-180, dated October 11, 2005. It states that the petitioner was present. Petitioner was tried in absentia. The last appearance by the petitioner was 2004. The October 11, 2005 is the Dissolution Judgment with a third page attached where the judge Michelle Levine signed and stamped. **See Appendix XI pg 3 of 3**, the decree that reads:

"No spousal support shall be paid by either party. The right is terminated." The marriage took place on May 29, 1993, to October 2005 there is a 12 years term.

There is a violation to the California law, **CA FAM §4336**. The Riverside County judge, Michelle Levine donated in 100% the educational agency founded, organized and worked solely by the petitioner to the deputy sheriff Carl Robert

Benson. **Under CA FAM §4336 (California Family law)** Permanent spousal support is reserved by the court for spouses ending a long-term marriage (meaning ten or more years). California's law states that if one spouse helped finance the other's education or career advancement training during the marriage, that spouse could request reimbursement support to recoup the funds used during

the marriage. *The ex held PERS Account with the sheriff department.*

Under the **Jurisdiction of Section 115-4.1(g)** of the **Criminal Code**, **Section 115-4.1(e)** provides defendants who were convicted and/or sentenced in absentia relief, if they can prove that they were not willfully absent from court. **725,**

ILCS 5/115-4.1(e) (West 2000). "A defendant seeking relief in section 115-4.1(e) motion must establish that "his failure to appear in court was both

without his fault and due to circumstances beyond his control." 725 ILCS 5/115-4.1(e) (West 2000).

The Superior Court Of California, Riverside County, has a pattern of accruing harm against the petitioner. Continuing torts occurs when all elements of the tort continue." **Holland v. City of Geddes, 610 N.W.2d 816, 819 (S.D. 2000).** "In a continuing nuisance, there ought to exist a perpetual responsibility to fix the offensive condition." **Rapf v. Suffolk County, 755 F.2d 282, 292 (2d Ci. 1985)** When premeditated acts to injure a person in any form exist, **there must exist a theory of "Unlawful Accrual Harms"** no different from the well known theory of Entrapment in **Mathews v. United States, 485 U.S. 58, 63 (1988).** Continuing violations by the Riverside County Superior Court against the petitioner exist throughout the years after the petitioner filed for divorce, and

many of those violations are in association with the Riverside County Sheriff Department or the police departments. Defamation and falsehood posted is the Maxim of the Riverside Superior Court of California when the petitioner is on the stage. Law enforcement officers have taken photos from any bushes and the Superior Court has typed as evidence presented against the petitioner.

case in the United States Supreme Court). Spoelstra-Hemet Police Department Batch 10470 deposition of 08/30/2019 the Judge Assistant K. Gutknecht at 10:17 A.M typed: "Court has read and considered the photos of the scene of violation" Defendant (petitioner) sworn and testifies in her own behalf. Court finds the defendant guilty as to pay \$238.00 and \$35.00 administrative fee." The photos presented are bushes around a location of metal trailers. There were no photos of the petitioner's vehicle." Alternative Sentencing program was enforced on the petitioner while the Riverside County Superior Court Judge Sarah Furshman kept repeating: "Ability to pay Determination" more than three times.

13th
Amendm

8th
Amendm

During the **month of February 2018**, The Riverside County Superior Court often issued threats of incarceration to the petitioner after the petitioner completed a juror's form stating that due to disability and distance could not participate in the juror selection to serve in the Banning Superior Court of California. Juror Badge # 021127227 was assigned in February 2018. Petitioner's disability commenced on 10-10-2017. Social Security refused to assist with disability benefits yelling at the petitioner for applying for benefits, therefore economics became a third valid excuse. Prior to the week of December 04, 2017, petitioner provided the Superior Court Of California Banning, via Priority mail, the medical documents stating disability due to a broken left leg. Petitioner received a document from the Banning court demanding to appear in person regardless of the documents sent to court via Priority Mail. Petitioner drove to the Banning court on 12-21-2017 and in

① Abuse
of Power

② Violation
to the
A.D. A.
ACT

person handed the same documents provided via Priority Mail to the Banning court Clerks Becky and Justina. The Petitioner faxed to the Banning Court the set of documents proving disability and attached the USPS receipts -delivery of the documents receipts. Petitioner reached the court by all means of communication providing what legally constitutes a disability protected under the **Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.** Regardless of all documents mailed, faxed and dropped in person, the Riverside County Superior Court Banning, mailed the petitioner a six by four card with open threats reading: *“Please note that ignoring a jury summons is considered a serious matter and can result in a charge against you for contempt of court, which is punishable by fine, incarceration or both.”*

A second card by Banning Court arrived stating: " *On January 30, 2018, you were summoned for jury duty, and you failed to respond. Pursuant to California section 209, the jury commissioner is required to pursue non-respondants. Please note that ignoring a jury summons is a serious matter and can result in charges against you for contempt of the court, which is punishable by fine incarceration or both”.*

App. XV 1pg.

Having the petitioner faxed, mailed and having gone in person to the Superior Court in Banning with photos and videos, the threats of incarceration continued to arrive by cards in the mail and repeated abusive phone calls.

“ Federal law makes it a crime to transmit in interstate commerce “any communication containing any threat . . . to injure the person of another.” **18 U. S. C. §875(c) .”** **Elonis Case ELONIS v. UNITED STATES**

The Superior Court of California, Banning, was placing the threats oral by phone and in writing clearly in a court generated document terrorizing the petitioner as to become subject to imprisonment and fines for not complying with a jury duty call. The Court statements were false stating that petitioners have not provided any excuses for not appearing to a call of duty while the petitioner holds the USPS and Faxes with evidence attached based on disability. Ankle fracture-Distal Fibula. **See App. XVI. pg1** The doctor attending the emergency was Kristofer Raynor Abejuela, PA, who refused to mail to the court the form the court requested from the physician, nor cast the petitioner's foot. As today, the left foot is still damaged, swollen, and in pain for lack of medical care. See photo of foot fractured. **App. XVII 2 pgs.** the left foot tends to force shoes to the left because of a lack of medical ethics. The X-Rays and the hospital diagnose were provided by

petitioner to the Superior Court with medical record # 1132530 RUH EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT at 26520 Cactus Ave. Moreno Valley, CA 92555. It took the petitioner to request appearance in a different court to take to the clerk all evidences provided to the Superior Court Of California in Banning to stop the terrorizing with imprisonment. There were all videos, photos and USPS certified receipts of the mail and medical evidence presented by the petitioner. Only a bulked plaster under laughter was placed on the petitioner foot by the attending physician that was injuring further the broken area by the bumped matter.

Under the provisions of the ADA. The Court disparate-treatment and disparate-impact are cognizable. **Raytheon Co. v. Hernandez, 540 U.S. 44, 53 (2003); Lopez v. Pacific Maritime Ass'n, 657 F.3d 762, 767 (9th Cir.2011)** Petitioner did not object to present the court with records of medical nature disclosing the nature of the disability. Furthermore, provided the Banning court many times with the names and numbers of the petitioner's medical record, for the court to have subpoenaed the records. Distance was also a valid excuse. Banning court is far in distance from the petitioner's place of residence is Hemet, California. At calling the Banning Court clerk by phone, the verbal abuse by the court clerk seems to have satisfied the last requirement of the court. The executioner's satisfaction lies in slowly tasting the flavor of the victim's blood. The tormentor climaxes until the last breath of the tormented by force causes the victim to collapse. As in a prison, the prisoner was abused and no matter what happens after, even though justice can be applied, the tormentor tasted the victim in any form and seems that is the essence of the persecution. It is a thirst held to inflict pain and invasion of privacy, no matter in what form. **The Riverside County Superior Court of California is a repeated abuser. A repeated violator of Human Rights** that consciously and avidly repeats and enjoys every time they injure the same victim. **U.S Code of Judicial Conduct Guide to Judiciary Policy- § 320, Canons 1-5**

It was February 2009 when Allstate called the petitioner to notify that the Superior Court of California had suspended the petitioner's driving license. Allstate stated in writing that Allstate was cognizant that the petitioner had provided evidence before the suspension that the case had been dismissed because there was a false citation. The Superior Court Of California failed to record the evidence and did not notify the DMV, placing the petitioner in risk of getting arrested or penalized for driving with driving privileges suspended. No notice of the suspension was received by the petitioner. It was the petitioner's insurance that issued the alert. The suspension originated from a hacking sheriff H.Ray batch 12979 that awaited that the petitioner ended work at Thornhill, Sun City,

California to hand a ticket for lack of change of address. The petitioner had not changed address. The petitioner was in the process of considering moving. It is a matter covered under the **Privacy Right Act**. Why would the petitioner have a different address if had not moved? The ticket reads 07/25/2008. See App. XIII pg1

The DMV **change of address** occurred until October 23, 2008, the day before the petitioner actually moved. See App. XII PG 2 DMV records. The

petitioner had ideas to move, but did not move until October 2008 when made arrangements with the tenants. It became obvious to the petitioner that her private life was hacked daily. See Letter to the court date **02/01/2010** App. XIII pg1

As such, incidents of police and sheriffs hunting the petitioner on the road have occurred almost daily. The petitioner cannot stop to eat anything inside her own vehicle, that police or sheriffs would get very close to monitor. It is not once

that petitioner has felt as a man on the hunt, with the lines of sheriff patrols, highway patrols and police patrols, ambulances and fire trucks howling sirens as the petitioner drives along a highway or freeway while attending an appointment

anywhere.

Approaching the Superior Court of California, Appeals Traffic Court Section to dispute false tickets caused the petitioner large expenses and traveling to Riverside back and forth. Regardless of how many times the clerk of the appeals' traffic court of the Superior Court of Riverside County, California, Jennifer De Aro, was served by different people including sheriff's and others in compliance with regulations assisted the petitioner to serve the appeals clerk, De Aro. The case to file in traffic appeals was regarding an abusive and violent Riverside County sheriff: Dorrovan, case # 545608AB. See photo hacking on the petitioner at Starbucks in prior years before the false citation and the false citation. App.XIV 2 pgs

as many
times
the servicer
documents
were
refused

The Superior Court of California Appeals Traffic Clerk, Jennifer De Aro refused the served documents. De Aro argued that petitioner needed to gain reading skills first before trying to approach the court. De Aro handed back to the serving party, the appeals documents that were served by a third party, while petitioner recorded. De Aro bluntly told the petitioner that the appeal motions served by petitioner may never be heard. However, almost everyday mailed a note to the petitioner from the Superior Court stating that petitioner had failed to comply with the court rules in appealing App. XVI sample of the many forms received in spite of the petitioner compliance with the court rules. (Petitioner holds all the documents received from the court) Therefore, owed money to the court asking for \$695.00 dollars for complying with the "Yield the Pass" to a public vehicle (Sheriff SUV) speeding in a narrow residential street (Collegian-Hemet, Ca) with the high beams and headlights on while the street was busy on both sides

Sheriff
Dorrovan
screamed
that he could
not he could
accuse petition
of Grand
Theft
However
at the Court
did not
respond to
the petitioned
when petitioned
asked why no
wanted to
know false
information.
Dementia.

06/15/21

and petitioner parked in the only space available to yield the pass. Regardless of the respect for the Yield the Pass order in traffic rules, the California, Riverside County Sheriff SUV patrol turned around to assault and terrorize the petitioner with arrest and threats to place six infractions. In California, failing to yield the pass can cause arrest, **California Vehicle Code Sections 21800-21804 make it illegal to fail to yield to other motorists in certain situations.** The Superior Court of California is blind when Human Rights are violated by law enforcement or their clerks. **See Exhibit XV 3 pgs. narration to court traffic case No. 45608AB Sheriff Dorrovan false citation. (the appeal document)**

While an injunction was requested from the Appeals Court Ninth Circuit, to restrain the Superior Court of California from attempting to collect in false citations through illegal practices violating the **15 US Code § 1692e**, The Riverside County Superior Court Murrieta, subtracted 60.00 dollars from the petitioner's taxes of the year 2020 filed in 2021. The Superior Court of California notified the Tax Collector of the State of California that petitioner owed \$60.00 violating the injunction filed 06/15/2021. The petitioner is a victim of the Superior Court of California that owes damages to the petitioner and has deprived the petitioner of her own intellectual work and property, donating the efforts of the petitioner to the ex-husband because was a deputy sheriff with strong links inside the Superior Court of California. This means that at any time the Superior Court wishes, just requests money from the Tax Collector of the State of California and leaves the petitioner without any penny at any time because it is a custom-made.

See App. X

On December 25, 2021, it came to **only son was assaulted with a dead** at 40464 Marsha Ct, Hemet, California Araceli Santos Palacios, **by a black Riv**

Augustus Hughes of Perris, Calif ex-husband), that uses a different name. The petitioner has not seen her son since September 2021. The petitioner to Mexico and back for medical treatment under threats and does not call the petitioner.

mailed the Department of Justice notifying

Code § 4 and requested to proceed under the **Section 242 or 18 U.S.C.** the incident was verified. The petitioner is unaware if the criminal has been processed.

The text messages the son has sent to the petitioner seems to be posted by someone else calling the petitioner "Dementia" and "out of mind" "I don't like to

see you" "Have you taken your medications" "from where do you get stories about cops" "You are sick mom, do you want me to give you your pills? Are you sure that cops want to hunt you?" The phrases are very obvious from someone in a mental facility or someone directing a prison system as mental health counselor using authoritarian and paternalistic concerns that lead to believe that the petitioner is someone lacking mental abilities and needs not only a paternal authoritarian figure, but chemicals in the brain to control. It was the main core during the years

2004 after the elections were won by George Bush. Mobs that followed the petitioner, mentioned the name Gary Patton, possibly a Riverside County worker. Anyone that could get close would use the same words, "You need medication, you are not okay" The wish to place the petitioner in the quality of a mentally ill

Strangers have screamed that the petitioner's son will be exchanged for someone else by force, very loud,

The petitioner sought Psychiatrist help after abducted by Hemet Police Department on September 09. 2020. Petitioner does not sleep normally. The sleeping patterns have been destroyed after decades of persecution by federal and state workers since threatened to be murdered in 2004. Seeking in the online patient files, the petitioner found defamatory notes posted by Adam Brasket. See

App. XIX 2 pgs. During the presidency of George Bush, Adam was one of the names of a number 4 of Bush. The petitioner knows by experience. That the alienation of her son is to hurt the petitioner's son further and fears that further mental and physical damages are being done to her son. Petitioner has seen her son bleeding from tattoos forced in his arms, as a fish scales toasted if the extreme heat used. The petitioner knows the tattoos are not decisions made by her son. That the alienation and damages to the persona in the petitioner are also damages done to the petitioner's son who is now used to state harsh words implying mental incompetency to his mother and has alienated the mother. The words in Spanish by strangers around the petitioner are stated: " *We will say that is dementia. That she (the petitioner) is out of mind, with a push to a black device in the white house buttons and she can get a heart attack or a brain stroke. We will say that is we that file those cases in court.*"

Further defamations in Medical files have been reported to IEHP. The agency ruling the petitioner insurance. See App. XX 2pgs.

Although throughout years after 2003, the petitioner has heard the words from law enforcement officers stating that petitioner seems to suffer from anxiety, depression, lack of self-esteem, and any other illness associated with mental disease, the petitioner was unable to hold documents that verified that intentional wants to place the petitioner in a mental facility exist since petitioner filed divorce in 2003,. However, has heard clearly from strangers in the petitioner's

but heard and was aware of

surroundings that the FBI plans are to see the petitioner handed to the White House in chains from feet, waist and hands. **Because inside the White House undergrounds reside the real FBI head named "Memo" (Ronald Reagan), That the White House undergrounds communicate to all South America and the Canal of Panama. Petitioner has never been in other than Washington to try to research, Nor holds evidences**

Prior to the date in which the petitioner was called to notify that her son was stabbed, The petitioner has called, has texted, and has driven to the son's address and cannot see the son. The petitioner's son has been victimized many times by the Riverside County Sheriffs since the petitioner filed for divorce. John Estrada, a Riverside County Sheriff and a former stepson of Carl Benson as of 1992 was often terrorizing and making anonymous calls to threaten the petitioner's children back in 1994, John Estrada, chased the petitioner on the Fwy attempting to push the petitioner off the road while petitioner drove from work in San Diego County over the 215 Fwy North around 2003, *back from work.*

The petitioner is not a slave of the County of Riverside Superior Court nor of any California State agent under the color of law. However, it is clear that in the county of Riverside, California, color of law employees hold the petitioner as a slave that has lost freedom and lost rights. Regardless of the many times that petitioner has filed Citizen's Complaints to the State, the State has denied that the State workers have done wrong to the petitioner. The state of California employees of the Superior Court have no respect for the petitioner. **The petitioner invokes the power of the 42 USC 1983. Deprivation of Rights Under The Color Of Law Section 1983.** 42 U.S.C 1983 provides an individual the right to sue state government employees and others acting "under color of state law" for civil rights violations.

The Superior Court of California, Riverside County, has filed cases the petitioner has filed in district and appeals federal courts in the absence of the petitioner and without the petitioner's request, violating the sacred rights of the petitioner to be respected. The First, Fourth, First, Fifth, Thirteenth and 14th Amendment are violated by the Riverside County Superior Court. The petitioner arranged a medical trip and surgery at her foster mother's town during the first days of July 2021 and returned after surgery during the middle of August 2021, finding in the Hemet, California mailbox, various notices from the Riverside County Superior Court regarding cases the petitioner has pending in the Federal Appeals' Court Ninth Circuit and never requested the Riverside County to file. Because the petitioner's health has dramatically decayed, the petitioner took time

to request explanations from the Riverside County Superior Court clerks on why they had arranged hearings during the medical absence of the petitioner and without the petitioner's request. **Notice the word: "absentia."** The cases are: *see p 9 21*
21-55147 Ada Benson v. Progressive Insurance (Benjamin Rodriguez) This case was brought first to the State court and the judge stated that petitioner should not sue the insurance but the individual. Therefore, the petitioner filed the case in a federal court. The case was closed for state hearings. **21-55781 Ada Maria Benson v. Angel View Clearance Center.** Petitioner never has requested this case in State Court.

5:20-cv-02595-JWH-KK: Ada Maria Benson v. IHSS DPSS. This case is in the Supreme Court of The United States. Petitioner never requested filing in a State Court, yet documents from the Superior Court of California read "no appearance by petitioner in the hearing."

21-55151 Ada Benson v. Hemet Gatekeepers Security Co. Case in Appeals federal Court. This case was opened in state court and canceled by petitioner after deciding to file in Federal Court because of the criminal nature of the case and because Gatekeepers work under color of law. The petitioner never requested this case back in State court. Yet, a notice was received by petitioner that hearings in all these cases were held in the Murrieta, California Superior Court with no appearance by petitioner. The hearings are signed by Kristina Paleo, Court Clerk. **See App. XXI 4 pages.** The petitioner requested explanations and the same documents of no appearance were mailed back to the petitioner without any explanations.

The petitioner is not a dependent under the custody of the Riverside County Superior Court. The petitioner is not a dummy, is not a pet that someone pulls with a leash neither a slave of the Riverside County Courts nor of the sheriffs. The petitioner is an educated professional adult, United States Citizen that the Riverside County Superior Court of Riverside has taken as a mop they use to clean their floors and pull at any time they wish to further stain. The Superior Court of Riverside County, California is not the greatest nor the absolute law of this country.

The Thirteenth Amendment "*Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.*"

The First Amendment provides several rights protections: *to express ideas through speech and the press, to assemble or gather with a group to protest or for*

other reasons, and to ask the government to fix problems. It also protects the right to religious beliefs and practices. It prevents the government from creating or favoring a religion."

The Fifth Amendment provides numerous protections for people accused of crimes. It states that serious criminal charges must be started by a grand jury. A person cannot be tried twice for the same offense (double jeopardy) or have property taken away without just compensation. People have the right against self-incrimination and cannot be imprisoned without due process of law (fair

Car packed three times by law enforcement, see pg 9 case Supreme Court 21-6841

Article 18 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes that "Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience, and religion. ... The freedom of thought, conscience, and religion is understood to protect theistic and non-theistic beliefs and the right not to profess or practice any religion.

Petitioner is in the full and right state of mind and has not signed up for her freedom. Petitioner is capable of rational behavior and holds enough knowledge of the English, Spanish and French language to speak for herself. Petitioner has never authorized anyone to represent her. The petitioner loves her only son dearly, that any statute and law that can be applicable to the interference of the petitioner from seeing her son is requested to be applied.

II. RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, CALIFORNIA

As petitioner types this petition, it is 08:23 P.M at San Jacinto, California. At leaving Starbucks, the trunk and hoods of a heavy vehicle were flogged as if a disaster had taken place to affect the petitioner's heart condition, while someone screamed that the petitioner has to be waxed and liposuction for the upcoming forced dates. The retaliation in the surroundings as the petitioner prepares this document is verbal violence, disruptions and floggings of vehicles. Pornographic phrases and obscenity with large groups of strangers following with loud almost screaming vulgar sounds.

"Tort of Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress" exist. **(1)** Riverside County California Sheriff Department, intended to inflict emotional distress; **(2)** the conduct of the defendant was extreme and outrageous; **(3)** the actions of the defendant were the cause of the petitioner's distress; and **(4)** the resulting emotional distress to the petitioner was severe. According to the **Restatement**

(Second) of Torts, “[o]ne who by extreme and outrageous conduct intentionally or recklessly causes severe emotional distress to another is subject to liability for such emotional distress, and if bodily harm to the other results from it, for such bodily harm.” **Prosser and Keeton on the Law of Torts** explain “that so far as it is possible to generalize from the cases, the rule which seems to have emerged is that there is liability for “conduct exceeding all bounds usually tolerated by decent society, of a nature which is especially calculated to cause, and does cause, mental distress of a very serious kind.” **California Civil Code - Civil Division 3. Obligations [1427 - 3273.16] (heading of division 3 amended by stats. 1988, ch. 160, sec. 14.) Part 3. Obligations imposed by law [1708 - 1725].**

Since the petitioner filed for divorce in November 2003, versus a Riverside County California Deputy Sheriff (Carl Robert Benson), law enforcement persecution and malignant, premeditated assaults with deadly weapons and inferences in the character of the petitioner, as well as illegal interrogations have taken place. The teenager only son of the petitioner was since the beginning of 2004 converted into a scapegoat of the Riverside County Sheriff Department and its prison system. A pattern of persecution and arrests started against the petitioner's son. The Riverside County Sheriff department engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct abusing juvenile justice, incarcerating by premeditated settings the juvenile son of the petitioner depriving the petitioner's son of persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States in violation of **42 U.S.C. § 14141**. What a juvenile innocent may know of defending himself to protect his dignity. How an innocent can detach himself from the malignant paws of criminals in the uniform of law enforcement. The petitioner had not yet awakened enough senses to stand before the federal statutes and the Constitutional Rights to defend what is sacred for parents. The petitioner was under threats of death by the FBI as explained in the Treason section of this petition.

Prior to file for divorce, during the year 2003 and before, the petitioner had been victimized by Riverside County, California Sheriffs that Visited the petitioner.

We were then Mormons or at present, politicians. Sheriff's patrols surveyed the petitioner at the petitioner's workplace while the petitioner worked for the Department of Public Social Services in Perris, California. (Notice that the petitioner's supervisor at DPSS was the wife of a Riverside County deputy Sheriff Edmonson, Carlene, a friend of the Petitioner's ex-husband). Sheriff Sergeant Pingle, Pastor of the Mormon church in Perris, Ca, often parked in the DPSS-Perris parking lot,

watching closely that petitioner was in her lunchtime. The petitioner silently observed, but never made any comments on the surveillance. The petitioner had agreed to visit the Mormon church in Perris to see how they celebrated services. However, sensed that more than pressure and coercion existed from the part of Riverside County Sheriff Sergeant Pingle, who was as well the pastor of the Perris, Ca, Mormon Ward. Sergeant Pingle demanded that the petitioner accepted obligations within the church. The petitioner was a full time worker and student with hardly time left for her personal obligations. At refusing to accept church obligations, retaliation appeared in the form of unexpected visits of Mormons at the petitioner's home at unexpected hours, in mobs, forcing the petitioner to serve the crowd out of politeness and detaching the petitioner from planning tasks that the petitioner had anticipated to complete for the petitioner's college and work obligations. The blunt statements were made that the petitioner was in violation of a mandated order from a church minister. The petitioner complained to the Mormon church about Deputy's Pingle lack of respect, and refused to hear from Mormons any longer, as the bullying was explicit and sudden intrusions were not tolerated by the petitioner. Many times, Pingle made symbolic expressions of first-cut as approached Pingle. Pingle's while pressing his lips and clenching in an angered expression were signs of inner violence. A letter from the Mormon church arrived to the petitioner, stating that the petitioner was not a member of the church and participation was not obligatory. Ryan Wilcox, member of the House of Representative from Utah, with other elders, used to visit the petitioner's home, introducing the petitioner to Mormon literature. Wilcox was present and embarrassed once a mob of Mormons, unexpected and uninvited, visited the petitioner. Petitioner had invited to dinner the two elders that taught biblical lessons to the petitioner in the petitioner's home.

Prior to the petitioner filing for divorce in 2003, the petitioner had tipped the FBI of the presence in the surroundings of suspicious activity unusual for the place the petitioner resided prior to the 911 event of 2001. Petitioner has also explained to this court that the FBI demands to empty the petitioner's residency while divorce was in process. (Also explained in the Treason section)(**In the undergrounds of the petitioner's former residency in Sun City, California is concealed Canada and North Pole territory unknown by the petitioner**). Petitioner has explained to the United States Supreme Court of the threats of murder made to the petitioner by the bodyguards of President Bush FBI workers #1through 13 treason section of this petition. The Riverside County Sheriff Department, participation in transporting and working together with federal agents has been explained as well as active assistants of FBI and CIA agents, during the months prior and after the elections of 2004. **Violation to the Whistleblower**

Protection Act of 1989, 5 U.S.C. 2302(b)(8)-(9), Pub.L. 101-12 exists, as amended, the Whistleblower Protection Act, is a United States federal law that protects federal whistleblowers who work for the government and report the possible existence of an activity constituting a violation of law. Although the petitioner did not work for the federal government, as a citizen, the petitioner is mandated to report a crime under the 18 U.S. Code § 4.

The following years after the FBI threats of murder during the month of March 2004 in Lake El Tahoe, California, and the bullying of the Riverside County Sheriff Sargent Pingle, persecution and violence and assault with deadly weapon by the Riverside County, California Sheriff and other law enforcement agencies has taken place victimizing the petitioner and the petitioner's son. The law enforcement violence against the petitioner must and ought to be preplanned color of law crimes against human rights to walk a chain of unpleasant events that are all geared to make the petitioner's life to fail while the victimization since and before 2003, have converted the petitioner in disable with an array of ailments and often contamination of medications, verbal abuse and persecution whenever the Riverside County law enforcement officers have visualized the presence of the petitioner. The petitioner is in absolute assurance that the petitioner's image and every act is displayed in the monitors of all law enforcement officers everywhere..

↓
 Sun City, California on **July 25, 2008** a Riverside Sheriff in a motorcycle awaited at the corner of Thornhill and Bradley Rd. The petitioner worked a **second job away from substituting**. The petitioner had departed the second work after recording the exit time in the work system and spent time talking with the senior military couple before departing. The time card reads; exit at 05:00 P.M. The Sheriff yellow slip reads 05:10 P.M. The location was Thornhill, Sun City, Ca. The sheriff stated that tickets are a pink slip. That he was not issuing a ticket, but a reminder in a yellow color slip. The sheriff wrote the location as Desert Hills, Ca. The obvious error in the slip and the Riverside County Sheriff's talk stating that he knew of the petitioner's intentions to relocate to Winchester, Ca are notoriously that Riverside County Sheriff Department have kept the petitioner's personal activity and whereabouts under heavy lenses throughout times. The Superior Court ordered suspension of the petitioner's driver license in spite of the petitioner having walked to the Superior Court of California, Riverside and explained that the petitioner had not yet relocated. The petitioner could not change address until approved by the homeowners to move in. The moving in took two months after the citation. See **DMV entry on App. XIII pg 2. Following the false citation and suspension of the driver's license, the petitioner had to pay all fees to recover the license. Expense and personal time have consumed the petitioner's life of**

sacrifices. Scapegoating, surveillance, predisposition, hatred, malignant wish to demolish the self and destroy every penny the plaintiff's earn are ulterior motives of collar crime and law enforcement corruption. There are criminal fabrications of charges against an innocent citizen. The fabrication of citations are multiple times. **34 U.S.C. § 12601** "Makes it unlawful for State or local law enforcement officers to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprives persons of rights protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States."

Around 2008, while forced to work in lower paid jobs and entrusted to assist as Health Aid-respite for a few days, a patient in Sun City, California, the petitioner was locked in with double keys by Madeline Simpson who kept a bunch of house keys tied on her waist. Simpson is a black African American senior. Two black men

were in the house. The need to work made the petitioner to remain in the workplace assigned. However, the petitioner was suspecting that at any time could be injured, as it is the sense of people locked in a stranger's place. Madeline

Simpson had the house full of new tags merchandise from QVC and other TV channels sellers, as well as all sorts of new boxed jewelry and boxes of medications laying in every corner. Brand-new clothing with heavy new fashion designer's tags were in all closets and over different beds. Two U-Haul trucks were getting loaded with merchandise by the two black men on days the petitioner worked as Health Aid Respite. (Petitioner was also locked at the house of a patient named Frank Warning at 1040 Tierra Linda Drive, Hemet, California on November 08, 2008

Petitioner called by phone for help.)

The petitioner had orders to assist with the personal care and personal needs of the patient Madeline Simpson. In one of the few scheduled visits, Madeline Simpson requested that the petitioner carry a bag of used old items to donate them to the Sun City Hospice Thrift Shop. The thrift shop refused the items and tossed them in the trash. The petitioner agreed. The items were lacking sanitation.

However, the petitioner requested a receipt to hand the receipt to Madeline Simpson, while leaving a copy in the petitioner's work binder. The petitioner had been reporting in writing to the employer abnormal behavior and the work with double locks as a hazard. A few days after the respite job was completed, the petitioner was contacted by the Riverside County Sheriff Department, Deputy Dizon. An obesse Filipino man. The petitioner was scheduled to appear in the Perris Sheriff Station to speak to Dizon, claiming that Deputy Dizon had questions to make to the petitioner regarding Madeline Simpson. The petitioner was locked in a slightly lightened room by sheriff Dizon, inside the Perris, Ca Riverside County Sheriff Station. Dizon bluntly stated that he was recording the interrogation demanding from the petitioner that the petitioner owed explanations

False
detention

on a bag of items taken from Madeline Simpson. (**Recall the Riverside County Superior Court's Defamation of Embezzlement and Trial in Absentia**).
 See pg 21-22 of this petition.

Immediately after Riverside County Sheriff Dizon started the interrogation, the petitioner reminded Dizon of the **Miranda Law and of the Fifth Amendment**. The Riverside County, California Sheriff was at the peak of fabricating evidence in explicit color of law crime, attempting to profile the petitioner while using coercive, illegal interrogation, without having any evidence that an unlawful act had been committed by the petitioner. Dizon used direct speech stating, but you took the bag, did you? But you walked out with the bag. You did.? The petitioner answered. Do you have a video or evidence that proves what you seek? Thereafter, the petitioner answered all questions, stating that petitioner was cognizant of all rights held about illegal interrogation. That the petitioner was answering voluntarily and to the point of what took place. As example: "Stained foul odor items were in a bag sent for donations by Madeline Simpson that the thrift shop refused and wanted them in the trash. That Dizon could go to the Thrift Shop to recall the donation by the receipts records." The illegal interrogation was recorded in a locked room without any witness. There is a moment when Dizon stops the interrogations and places a right fist wrapped by his left hand while remaining in silence looking deeply at the petitioner. The interrogation time was longer than one hour. The petitioner was analyzing what the Riverside County Sheriff had in wheels. Petitioner was living in person, what the petitioner's son has been living in the paws of color of law abuse, while unable to state his rights in the hands of color of law crime. Petitioner was cognizant that sheriff Dizon sought to destroy the petitioner's character, knowing that his interrogation was absurd.

The Seventh Circuit defines a **sham investigation** as "conducting an investigation while fabricating, ignoring or misrepresenting evidence, or the investigation is circumscribed so that it leads to the desired outcome. A sham investigation also requires that the decision-makers also be the same individuals who harbor racial or retaliatory animus.

The long time taken by Dizon, Riverside County Sheriff Department conducting an **illegal interrogation's** room constitutes **abduction**. **18 U.S. Code § 1201**. The non-stop one after the other question by Dizzon, were questions in a format that wished to force the petitioner to incriminate herself in what the Riverside County Sheriff sought to achieve. Riverside County Sheriff's objective is clear; **profiling to convert innocents in criminals under the color of law, using dirty tactics of coercion with repetitive questions in different forms**,

under the pressure of a locked room inside a sheriff facility, devices in front of the petitioner recording with lights that affect the retina and dilate the pupils away from the psychological coercion to force the victims to incriminate themselves in an act never committed by innocent victims that lack knowledge of their rights because their young age or because they lack education in matters of law. Law enforcement violators are cognizant of the violations of rights they are committing. Watching the victim in front and being unable to disintegrate, must be tantalizing in the mind of law enforcement violators accustomed to reducing the dignity of human life to wretches of society.

The interrogator's mind was set to destroy the scapegoat. The stress placed in the mind of those who cannot understand what elements are used during illegal interrogations, do not allow them to see that the brain reacts while the settled up environment, while the ongoing repeated questions resound in the brain of the individual. The environment used for interrogations is set for victims to feel entrapped and deprived of liberty, as the interrogations' room's locks are as those of a prison cell. Notwithstanding that the environment in which the victim of law enforcement is abused in itself represents the loss of liberty, the violation of the Fifth Amendment: "No person shall be held to answer for capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury." **Section 2340A of Title 18, United States Code, prohibits torture committed by public officials under color of law against persons within the public official's custody or control.**

Chambers v. State of Florida," Constitution Amended. "This Court has recognized that coercion can be mental as well as physical.

A few weeks after the Riverside County Sheriff Department Perris interrogation, Riverside County Sheriff patrols were seen around the place the petitioner's son was residing at 28760 Winchester Rd Hwy 79, Winchester, Ca 92596. The petitioner was arranging to move in the back small living studio at that address. At any time the petitioner visited her son, Riverside County Sheriff patrols swarmed around. The Petitioner moved in during October 2008. Almost daily, Riverside County Sheriff patrols were parked by the exiting gate of that address. **See App. XXII**

On March 26th 2009 at 12:50 P.M., while at work, the petitioner received a call from her son Ricardo Ernesto Montenegro Pineda Jr. The petitioner's son stated that a Riverside County deputy sheriff had visited the Winchester, California house and had handed a sheriff's card requesting information about the petitioner's whereabouts. The Petitioner was five days a week in Coachella Valley working for the Riverside County Office of Education in a Head Start Teacher position while completing a full-time Master degree in Business Administration - Human Resources online. The name of the sheriff on the card was Javier Rodriguez. The petitioner dialed immediately the number. Javier Rodriguez answered. "hey, hey, hey...your name came for investigation, hmmm. Regarding an allegation, Dizon was into. The petitioner's name was distorted by Javier Rodriguez. The petitioner corrected Rodriguez stating her full name. Rodriguez stated: "Dizon, right, the thing is, Dizon is not a detective. He is a deputy and now the case fell on my desk and I don't have anything with me for the investigation, hmmm." The petitioner answered, "*I have fully cooperated with Dizon's request at the time Dizon contacted me, with allegations made by a woman named Madeline Simpson.*" The petitioner told Javier Rodriguez that Deputy Dizon had stated that "If the petitioner did not hear from Dizon back again, in two weeks to consider, there was nothing else to talk about." The time had passed enough to consider Dizon's last words. The petitioner questioned Javier Rodriguez why he was talking about the case on March 26th 2009. During the conversation, Javier Rodriguez asked the petitioner if the petitioner was looking for work or if the petitioner was at work at that time. Javier Rodriguez asked, "*where are you right now?*" The petitioner requested from Javier Rodriguez to explain why questioning the petitioner's whereabouts. The petitioner asked if Rodriguez had orders of arrest. Javier Rodriguez requested a meeting with the petitioner in privacy. The petitioner asked "*what did private life have to do with Dizon's investigation?*" Javier Rodriguez made the remark: "*What's happening in people's lives has a lot to do with the investigation I make. I have to show you things. I like to sit with you for thirty minutes. I can meet you at the park, or I can go wherever you are.*" Rodriguez stated: "*I am not talking about arrest or anything like that. What happens is the case was not handled properly. If you want to keep it private between you and me, You can keep it that way.*" The petitioner responded: "*I am an honest and decent woman, I never meet strangers in private places.* The petitioner asked Rodriguez : *Why do you want a meeting in private?" "It is a free country,*" Rodriguez responded. "*I went to your house and the gates were locked. I couldn't get in.*" Petitioner told Javier Rodriguez that if he wanted to see the petitioner, the petitioner could drive to Rodriguez's place of work with one of the sheriff supervisors present. Rodriguez agreed and made an appointment at the Perris Sheriff station scheduled for March 30, 2009, at 12:00 P.M. at the 137 North

Perris Blvd. Perris, ca 92570. During the weekend on returning to Winchester from Coachella Valley, the neighbors approached the petitioner and said they had seen sheriffs inside the property walking into the back garage where the petitioner held a great number of boxes full of books the petitioner listed online and used for research. The neighbors stated that the petitioner's son nor the girlfriend were in the property when the sheriff walked inside the garage. Illegal searches were obviously conducted.

On March 30, 2009, the petitioner arrived at the Perris Sheriff station and was received by Deputy Javier Rodriguez and Sargent Scott Brown, badge No. 1347. The petitioner was directed to interrogation room No.2. Rodriguez stated: " *We want to take care of this case and address any other issues the petitioner could have.* The petitioner answered: " *If the interrogation is about Dizon's topic, the petitioner had already answered for a long time in the recording.* That all the petitioner had to say about Madeline Simpson, *was already said and recorded and that had nothing else to add. To contact Dizon and request the tape.*" Javier Rodriguez stated : " *There is no tape. I don't have, nothing*" Sgt. Brown stated : "The matter is Ms. Simpson has lost items, and we need to know what happened with those items. You may have sold them to make some money. Did you?" The petitioner did not answer and listened carefully. After listening for some time, the petitioner requested Brown to talk to Dizon and to request the information prior provided. Sgt. Brown stated: " *Well, we have to send this case to the District Attorney and if you don't answer we have to send this case incomplete. Is that what you want?* The petitioner pulled a yellow paper the petitioner had prepared and read to Sgt. Brown the 4th and 5th Amendment. "**No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime**, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; **nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself**, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation." This was the second time the petitioner was called by the Perris Riverside County Sheriff's Department to be subjected inside an interrogation room to try to provide the sheriff with the cooking of converting the petitioner into a witness against herself.

Petitioner read to Rodriguez and Brown the **Fourth Amendment**: "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, **against unreasonable searches and seizures**, shall not be violated, and no

Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized." The petitioner explained that persecution around the residency she inhabited and around the petitioner's son were far too numerous and too invasive to the privacy of both, that the petitioner considers following up further in the persecution case. *"I have nothing else to talk to any of you. Proceed with probable cause."* Was the response of the petitioner." Riverside County Sheriff Sgt. Brown stated; *"Dizon doesn't work at Perris sheriff station anymore, Dixon was not doing a good job and was sent back to patrol. Only guilty criminals let a case go incomplete to the D.A. And your son (talking about the petitioner's son), will be arrested and placed in jail."* *"I do not know where you get your information about the protection of law.?, but you have an issue with us and you are the suspect of a criminal case writing a case number PC08170081.* The petitioner answered; ***"This is a coercion. I have never used a weapon to hurt anyone. I Am an unarmed civilian. Goodbye."*** The petitioner walked away. The petitioner was escorted to the front door with a blow on the doors by Brown. Immediately the petitioner wrote a Citizen Complaint to the Sheriff Coroner Stan Sniff, stating the concern of the Petitioner about the safety and life of petitioner's son. The petitioner spoke with her son's girlfriend at the time. Crystal Rivera, to please safeguard the petitioner's son as the threats of the Riverside County Sheriff (Brown) arrest. Ms. Rivera answered that a Riverside County Sheriff patrol had been parked for long hours in front of the locked gate on March 26, 2009 while Javier Rodriguez was running a check on Ms. Rivera's vehicle. Ms. Rivera stated that Javier Rodriguez had spoken with her and handed a card. After speaking with Crystal Rivera, the Riverside County Sheriffs had walked towards the back houses to talk to the neighbors. The petitioner felt insulted and knew safety if the petitioner's son was in jeopardy. Indeed the presence of sheriff's around the Petitioner's residency were numerous on days the petitioner worked in Coachella Valley, Ca.

Coroner Sniff answered the petitioner's complaint, stating *"There is no reason why a person that holds a high level of education can be persecuted by any means."* That, Coroner Sniff had *"researched in the background of the petitioner finding no reasons why persecution could exist, that his sheriffs were going to be counseled to stop the persecution."* The letter is in the deep of sealed boxes held by the petitioner in storage. Because of disability, the petitioner cannot haul the boxes to attach the letter to this petition. The petitioner requested the copy of the letter from the new Riverside County Sheriff Coroner's Office. However, the sheriff refused to respond. The Riverside County Sheriff officers under their new coroner have been persecuting and terrorizing the petitioner everywhere, using the doors

and trunks of the sheriff patrols. Flogging the doors with fury and violence while hoods and trunks of sheriff's patrols are violently dropped while sheriff's park next to the petitioner anywhere, affecting further the petitioner's present stage of arrhythmia and brain damage. See Video link for an example:

<https://youtu.be/TcmkBSfgKO4>



While working in Coachella Valley, Ca in 2009, the petitioner received a call informing that the petitioner's son was pulled out of the house in Winchester, Ca by the Riverside County Sheriff and placed in the Riverside County jail in 2009. Furthermore, transferred to the Saint Gorgonio, Banning, Ca facility where the petitioner and the son's girlfriend often visited to visualize if presented signs of abuse. The tattoos on the petitioner's son's body populated further his back and arms as many times as the Riverside County Sheriff has arrested the petitioner's son. The petitioner was informed by an outsider at San Gorgonio prison, that the petitioner's son was flogged with a batton inside the prison while the petitioner's son was at the cell's cot, and was treated with humiliations while kept enclosed by himself concealed from the eyes of the other inmates. That the petitioner's son was placed to do tasks that he would have refused under normal circumstances!

During the visit after the information, and to avoid making the petitioner's son to feel weak, the petitioner restrained tears. How a mother can avoid feeling that the sacred life of a child is destroyed in revenge of collar crime and Riverside County Sheriff Department as gradually the time has been passing blowing away the Riverside County Sheriff crimes against humanity, while the Petitioner's son's public file inside the Riverside County Sheriff and Riverside County Superior Court populate with DUIs, negligence, and anything else cooked since 2003.

On March 25, 2009, the petitioner drove her grandson "Jayden Valentino" to the San Diego Zoo to amuse Jayden at the San Diego Wild Animal Park. Jayden—^{Born July 2009} was a very young infant. On the way back, the petitioner stopped to pick up a pizza and treats for Jayden at Albertson market in Murrieta over Winchester Rd. and pump gasoline at the nearest Arco Station over Winchester Rd, near Murrieta, Ca. It was Midnight. The baby was in the vehicle while the petitioner walked fast in to pay the clerk. Riverside County Sheriff's patrols were all around the Arco station. Inside the gas station were at least four Riverside County deputy sheriffs in uniform and armed. "So, you are out at very late hours of night" was stated inside the Arco station by one of the Riverside County Sheriffs inside the store. The petitioner stated: "It is normal for people that amuse their grandchildren." A second sheriff in the store stated: "It is not the way this should respond to us." The petitioner walked fast away, pumped gas and drove towards 28760 Winchester Rd

Imply in
Cooking t.
profile

Hwy 79, Winchester, Ca 92596. Upon arriving home, Riverside County Sheriff's patrols with the high beams were parked along and near the gate entrance of the house. **See APP. XXIII** The only person Ms. Benson drove that day was the baby grandson. The obvious presentment that sheriffs wanted to beat the petitioner with batons was explicit by the tone of voice used by the Riverside County Sheriffs at the Arco Station. A Riverside County deputy sheriff name Timothy, an obese white, of average weight was often around the petitioner's address in Winchester, Ca on weekends, and often stopped the petitioner to request information on the whereabouts of the petitioner during the working days, with a violent tone of voice. *Note: App. XXXIII, It is one of the many instances of day surveynances. The night of March 25, 2009 towards 03/26/2009 will be mailed.*

On April 24, 2009, Hwy patrols, Riverside Sheriff patrols had been all day surveying parked outside the gate at 28760 Winchester Rd Hwy 79, Winchester, Ca 92596 and at the arrival after the park at dark early hours of the morning. **App. XXXIII**

On April 26, 2009, the petitioner drove with her granddaughter Genesis to Chuck-E-Cheese Kids Restaurant and Amusement kid's restaurant in Murrieta, Ca. Riverside County Sheriff's patrols were all over the Chuck-E-Cheese parking lot. Two of the patrols remained throughout the entire time, surveying from their patrols in the same parking lot, while the petitioner spent time with her granddaughter inside Chuck-E-Cheese. **See App. XXIV** Subsequently and after the Petitioner filed for divorce in 2003, Riverside County Sheriffs, Hwy Patrols and local police have hunted the petitioner while attending church services.

Immediately after the petitioner parked at church, law enforcement patrols parked at the other sides of the church's fence and as soon as the petitioner had departed church the patrols followed. A remarkable day is around 2017, 2018, a Sheriff's SUV waited outside the church at Stetson and Girard As soon as the petitioner started to drive, the sheriff and a Hwy Patrol followed the petitioner to Mayberry (Hemet, California), where the petitioner had parked to see a tax preparer. The officer got out of the patrol and called the petitioner outside to threaten with tickets. The sheriff stated that he wasn't moving away until the petitioner placed herself back in the vehicle. There were no tickets, but the simple harassment and surveying in the private life of the petitioner. Violent acts of deprivation of Civil Rights have occurred almost daily. Police and sheriffs have banged harshly the glass of the petitioner's vehicle during the day when the petitioner rested the head on the driver's seat, sometimes sick and exhausted. Petitioner had brain and nervous breakdowns after the petitioner's son was placed in jail and with the daily persecution and abuse by law enforcement. There are often stops and verbal abuse that have no citations. A Citizen Complaint was filed on Sheriff Jenkins, A female sheriff that appeared in front of the petitioner every time the petitioner

walked to the Hemet, California to pick up the petitioner's mail, standing in front of the boxes. On 01-03-2018, the petitioner had parked at ACRS , Enterprise Dr, San Jacinto, California. The former place of work of the petitioner's son, The petitioner's son had asked the petitioner to wait in the dark evening to replace a part in the petitioner's vehicle. Sheriff Jenkins arrived and very angry stated "Looks like you have a lot of stuff in your van. We have many thieves in this area" Jenkins demanded explanations on why the petitioner had parked outside ACRS, stating that she (Jenkins) never wanted to see the petitioner parked at that place while grabbing the registration documents and insurance documents from the hand of the Petitioner. The hours of restricted parking at that zone are limited to morning swapping hours every other Friday. The petitioner was rightfully parked. Riverside County Sheriff Jenkins, pressed the documents against her chest and stayed silent for at least five minutes. Jenkins defamed the petitioner and used criminal profiling. The petitioner was authorized to enter behind the gate during the time the petitioner's son worked at ACRS. Two other patrols arrived and Jenkins rushed to hand the documents back to the petitioner. No citations handed. The Sheriff Coroner response to the petitioner's Citizen Complaint is : "*The Riverside County Sheriff does not see any wrong doings from Jenkins.*" A prior Complaint placed by the petitioner is attached as **App. XXV. 1pg.** As usual, The Sheriff's supervisors find no fault in the violations of rights done by their sheriff's.

An entry is borrowed from Case Benson Ada Maria V. Hemet Police Department United States Supreme Court Petition, Case No. 21_6841 page 26 of the petition for that case reads: "*09/05/2018 Proliferating/exchange of victims scapegoating between police departments. Petitioner was parked in front of her son's workplace waiting for her son, when a San Jacinto Police SUV speeding, arrived and parked in front of the petitioner calling the petitioner towards the SUV. Petitioner was videotaping. The petitioner was parked and not moving. The San Jacinto police officer did not move from the SUV, but called Ms. Benson: "Hey" while using the index finger. I will give you a ticket. There was no sign that indicated restrictions in parking in front of the vehicle repair shop where the petitioner's son worked. The petitioner was getting assisted by her son to replace a hose after working hours. The police assault was an obvious inter-exchange among law enforcement departments of the petitioner's whereabouts and obvious scapegoating. There was a citation issued to pay \$250.00 for having gone to her son's workplace and waited without having committed any infraction. unreasonable police misconduct in light of clearly established laws. Theft in the name of color of law. Hemet, San Jacinto, Ca, police patrols and the sheriff have made it obvious that the petitioner lives under absolute surveillance by law*

After hi
working
hours

Inter
department
exchan
of fals
informa
to police
defamed
starte
by
Superior
Court
Californ
Riversid
See Pg
2 1/2
2 1/2
2 1/2
of
pet

enforcement in Riverside County, California and not for good, but maliciously and wanton in nature."

See video at this link: <https://youtu.be/REChfW3q88w>

San Jacinto Police officers are sheriffs as well. They work for the Riverside County Sheriff Department.

The conscious, malignant acts of using any groups around the petitioner anywhere to scream profanity, vulgarity and words that only fit in pornography, have been repeated since 2003. Furious and threatening droppings of vehicle hoods and trunks have been the way in which torture has been applied to the petitioner after the FBI approached to threaten the petitioner with murder in 2004. Anyone at any time has approached the petitioner to insult, verbally abuse and yell for no reasons given by the petitioner. The CIA director himself, people called Mike, obstructed the petitioner from the petitioner Civil Rights, refusing to let the petitioner visit an acquaintance near Acacia and Lyon in Hemet, California. Mike was verbally abusive and disrespectful. The petitioner found after the abuse, that the man that had obstructed her visit was the CIA director and that was the actor in a movie "The Name of The Rose" acting as "Salvatore" Being the real identity of Ronald Pearlman, a Hollywood actor. The petitioner also found that the CIA director is a native of Palxila, Nicaragua and that most of his relatives are in the Secret Services, FBI, and CIA. Palxila is the rural area where the petitioner was handed to a rural teacher as a baby after being taken from the United States and raised as a foster child. The petitioner does not know who are the birth parents, but both must be of an A+ type. See App ~~XXXVI~~ Are anonymous handwritten notes posted on the petitioner's vehicle windshield while parked across the hemet Police Department. *Similar to a Hemet Police, California worker's writing.*

(D. Merlo.)

CR&R trucks have been used in persecuting the petitioner and following the petitioner everywhere to sway and agitate with violence heavy containers during very early morning hours, affecting the petitioner's health. It is obvious, seeking how to cause a heart attack. The CR&R drivers have not been conducting normally, while around the petitioner, but have used the trucks as tools to destroy the petitioner's cells and the petitioner's existence with one metal blow. A CR&R truck fast backed on the rear while the petitioner was inside her vehicle waiting for the washing machines to stop a cycle at Florida and Yale, Hemet, Ca. The CR&R driver was a few inches from hitting the front of the petitioner's vehicle, while the petitioner was at the wheel drive.

*directed
acts
of
violence*

Subsequent unpleasant encounters with Riverside County Deputy Sheriffs have continued throughout years after 2003. The names of some sheriffs are

Note: Since these petitions were returned as error of the Court, I am able to update information as violence takes place everyday.

53

Deputy J. Keener, Deputy Ketelnut No. 4113, Stenzel (received a package with citizen's complaint) and many others have become in contact and have made inferences about the petitioner's character inferring "Do you know of the store that was robbed during the past few days" while the petitioner had parked at different places. Up to this day, Riverside County Sheriff Department's patrols maintain extreme surveyances on the petitioner, parking behind the petitioner's vehicle anywhere the petitioner goes without the beams. However sheriff's get outside the vehicles and start flogging doors and dropping the hood and trunk as to keep the petitioner in a stage of stress and with the heart pulse elevated. The petitioner's medical records demonstrate an elevated pattern of blood pressure that increased to 192 and 180 forcing the petitioner to live under extreme medications that often ate Contaminated. Travels to Mexico and Honduras by the petitioner are seeking clean medication and real health care See App. Medical Records in Blood Pressure. The petitioner is disable. Spine injury occurred in April 2020 after the petitioner was hit inside the workplace. Vision impairments are the

March 14, 2020
Every vehicle
that picks up
to pick up
the vehicle
of Calle
of parking
Elmer in
School
Menika
flogged all
of vehicles
in the mode
in Glen du
Styrene
manner.

As Today 03/14/2020. Medical has been deactivated to avoid that the petitioner could be seen by a dentist while in pain. Defamation almost occurred

This is infamous in prison-settings without bars. It was July 06, 2019
the petitioner yielded the pass to a sheriff's vehicle at dark hours speeding west on Collegian, in Hemet, Ca, a very narrow street with vehicles parked on both sides. The only space available to park was on the left side of Collegian facing East. The petitioner rushed to park yielding the pass to the Sheriff SUV as the California law on Yield a Pass orders. The sheriff patrol turned around in U turn at intersection of Columbia and Collegian, and started to verbally abuse the petitioner threatening the petitioner with issuing six different citations, called the petitioner suspect or illegal alien, and issued threats of arrest questioning the legitimacy of the ownership of the petitioner's vehicle stating "Where did you get this van?" "I can accuse you of Grand Theft" Dorrovan screamed while browsing all his pockets for something minuscule. The petitioner thought that Dorrovan was seeking a pill. The petitioner provided all documents in order. Disregarding the documentation presented, Dorrovan Riverside County Sheriff Department, wrote the petitioner as lacking documentation and for parking away from the curb. Citation QI201430AB. (App. XIV pg 1). The petitioner was one block away from her son's house in Hemet, Ca and had to drive to test the vehicle for Smog Check. Dorrovan forced the petitioner to get in her van and drive back to the petitioner's son's driveway threatening that if he saw the petitioner driving was going to arrest her. Dorrovan performed illegal searches visually with the flashlight inside the petitioner's van and on the petitioner's face. Dorrovan used the flashlight on the back and inside the vehicle and precluded the petitioner from doing her civil rights duty of freedom 14th Amendment ordering the petitioner to return to her son's home. The

same Riverside County Sheriff Department sheriff Dorrovan surveilled in the petitioner prior to the July 2019 false citation. And on the petitioner's son residence during the following nights after July six, and parked on the third night July 09, 2019, on the side of the petitioner's van while the petitioner was getting in her vehicle at Academy Drive, pressing his lips looking at the petitioner with an angry face as if indicating that was going to silence the petitioner. The case was filed in the State Appeals Traffic court. The petitioner faced the deputy in the Superior Court Murrieta, California where the petitioner represented herself. However, the same Superior Court of Riverside in Murrieta Judge Sandra R. Furbush who took the false evidence presented by Spoelstra Hemet Police on August 30, 2019 as true See **App. XXVI 2 pgs** considered the petitioner guilty for having yielded the pass to an emergency vehicle with the high beams on speeding in a residential zone in opposite direction where there was no other way to yield the pass. The Riverside appeals Traffic clerk placed all obstacles to the petitioner avoiding that the case was heard in state court appeals traffic. The Supreme Court held that **18 U.S.C. § 242 makes it "criminal to act (1) willfully and (2) under color of law (3) to deprive a person of rights protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States."** 520 U.S. at 264

The petitioner was informed that neighbors of Academy Drive, Hemet, Ca visualized sheriff Dorrovan during the day hours after Dorrovan had issued a false citation to the petitioner on July 06, 2019, walking in the residency that the petitioner's son had lived with his girlfriend while Riverside County Sheriff's patrols were parked outside the former residence of the petitioner's son at Academy Drive in Hemet, California. The following days after, the petitioner's son was displaced from the address and broke the relationship with the girlfriend. Riverside County Sheriff Department has intruded and destroyed the private life of the petitioner and the petitioner's son

*Case of
Abuse
of
Power
Intrusion
in
Privacy
Rights*

"Justice Frankfurter spoke for six other Justices in writing: "Our decisions under that [Fourteenth] Amendment have made clear that the product of coercion, either physical or psychological, cannot stand. " Justia > US Law > US Codes and Statutes > US Constitution Annotated > Fifth Amendment -- Rights of Persons > Confessions: Police Interrogation, Due Process, and Self Incrimination

III. RIVERSIDE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL GRAND JURY

In Finkel and Handel 1989 "How Jurors Construe Insanity. Law and Human Behavior, 13(1), 41-59 "Jurors actively construct the meaning of a

case information in rendering verdicts and explain the reasons underlying their decisions. In dictating a verdict, jurors have construed a case information in complex, discriminating ways."

In Wood v. Georgia, 370 U.S. 375, 390 (1962) (explaining that the grand jury protects the target against unsubstantiated prosecution by determining whether a charge is supported by reasonable evidence ; **Hurtado v. California, 110 U.S. 516, 554-55 (1884)** Harlan, J., dissenting. Stating that grand juries provide protection against both governmental oppression and persons who attempt to use the process as a vehicle to satisfy personal vendettas."

On July 10, 2019, the petitioner wrote to the Riverside Grand Jury a Citizen's Complaint requesting help in stopping law enforcement abuse in the County of Riverside, California. The petitioner detailed all years of police and sheriff persecution and false citations that had completely absorbed the life of the petitioner, solving daily law enforcement defamation and attacks as the petitioner has been detached of great amounts of money every time is assailed by law enforcement and has had to devote long time to solve the matters in court detaching the petitioner from realizing her private life in normality under the Constitutional Rights of Citizens. See App. XXVII 1pg. *It is as a Morbid possession to see the petitioner injured, broken, deprived, and dependent in any way to an active court case that can extricate the life and right to live in peace, while the environment is strained in Violent*

The petitioner appealed to the Riverside County, California Civil Grand Jury's internal policy that allows the Grand Jury Independence to investigate and prevent the further victimization of citizens. Yet, the Riverside County Grand Jury conducted investigation in the case presented by the petitioner, they failed to listen to the petitioner, they did not help, while the petitioner was further injured and victimized by violent and abusive law enforcement officials purporting or pretending to act in the performance of their official duties while abusing power as they destroy the Constitutional and Human Rights inherent by each individual. In **Shannon V. United States 1994**, The Supreme Court held, "**A Jury must base its verdict on the evidence with which it was presented.**" What evidence was presented to the Riverside County Grand Jury that refused to hear the petitioner's citizen's Complaint? We, United States citizens, know that jurors are individuals trained to apply legal dynamics according to complaints and cases in the legal process. That a set of legal criteria is applied by jurors to determine whether a citizen is worth to be heard. Having received enough evidence from the petitioner on the court and law enforcement abuse, **what legal dynamic tool if not psychological dictated the Grand Jury decision to refuse to assist in the petitioner's complaint?**

Tue, Aug 27, 2019, 6:54 PM The petitioner mailed 50 pages with evidences to the Riverside County Civil Grand Jury, demonstrating how law enforcement and the Superior Court of California have persecuted the petitioner in daily basis, terrorizing and creating false citations too often to publicly subtract from the petitioner. Petitioner evidenced corruption. Thereafter, on August 31, petitioner faxed again the Grand Jury and emailed, photos and numerous false traffic citations and an example of police lies and abuse of power, after the Riverside County Superior Court in Murrieta, California, conducted a hearing with the petitioner present, on August 30, 2019, at 10:00 A.M accepting a police officer from the Hemet Police Department (Spoelstra), photos of landscape around metal trailers converted in housing as evidences against the petitioner, accusing falsely the petitioner with having been carrying a CR&R trash container with the petitioner's van and blocking the busy way of West Florida one block before Sanderson St. The petitioner has never carried any trash container and has no contracts with C R&R. The petitioner vehicle was already parked at the CVS pharmacy parking lot in Hemet, California at West Florida Ave. The petitioner was walking towards the pharmacy to pick up her prescriptions when Hemet Police Officer, Spoelstra, approached the petitioner in a police motorcycle yelling "Hey" to the petitioner. "I will write you a ticket" The petitioner returned to where Spoelstra parked next to the petitioner's van and stated; what was the problem? Spoelstra stated that he was far but could see the obstruction on the road. The petitioner told Spoelstra that it must be hallucinations because he was in front of the petitioner's van and the van had no trash container attached to it. From where, Spoelstra presented the idea of the petitioner pulling a trash container? "Well, I will write the ticket." You can defend yourself in court." Spoelstra stated. There were no violations committed, yet a ticket was issued and on 05/29/2019 at 10:00 A.M the hearing was conducted by the Superior Court of California judge, Sandra Furshman, while Spoelstra presented a PowerPoint presentation with a tablet and showed what seemed to be a project of large metal containers converted in living spaces with landscape around. There were no photos of the petitioner's van, nor any photo from anywhere showing the falsehood that the petitioner had pulled a container because has never pulled a CR&R container as Spoelstra declared. The petitioner was found guilty and forced to pay court fines and to provide community services **placing the petitioner under an "Alternative Sentencing program"** See App. XXVII 2pgs A professional woman that has educated and raised communities now downgraded with phrases and activities to satisfy slave owners before the Civil Rights Movement of the 1950s, while disabilities are forced by numerous accidents and multiple food and medicine contamination since the day the petitioner filed for divorce in 2003. The **petitioner provided 12 hours and 40 minutes of work plus fines to complete the Alternative**

Jieg
 Converted
 in moneta
 gain
 and the
 profiting
 of
 obeying
 citizen
 in
 chminal
 chminal
 in
 vnoles
 explicit
 Explor of
 Colan.

Sentencing Program for a false citation by abuse of powers by violent liars in law enforcement such as the Hemet Police, California officer Spoelstra. **Thirteenth Amendment.**

Spoelstra as many other law enforcement officers, has been stalking on the petitioner's whereabouts throughout years and has awaited under a tree across a fast food restaurant the petitioner has often visited, to see if he could catch the petitioner to issue further tickets, jumping on the street as the petitioner has departed the place. Vicious law enforcement officers in Riverside County are a nuisance and always surveying as the petitioner arrives anywhere, flogging the doors of patrols with fury and violence repeatedly and gathering in groups at the same time the petitioner arrives anywhere at any time. At Church, Starbucks, Walmart, library, At the petitioner's son's workplace, waiting sheriffs SUV at train stations, and furthermore at the storage where petitioner was to pick up her vehicle after a medical trip. These are repeated violent encounters with abusive law enforcement officers that have approached with verbal abuse in insinuating that something wrong had happened in the surroundings and the petitioner must know.

The petitioner detailed to the Grand Jury these multiple inferences of law enforcement, as well as the multiple citations handed for no reasons while every penny is subtracted in paying fines. **On August 19, 2019**, the petitioner received a letter from Stan Fisher, Foreperson for the Riverside County Civil Grand Jury, partly stating "*Evidence could not be developed that would support continued efforts. Therefore, this case is closed.*" See App. XXIX pg 1 **The petitioner responded requesting the source of the Grand Jury decision to be deaf to the citizen complaint.** See App. XXIX pg 2. There was no response.

The Grand Jury ignored the citizens' complaint and disregarded all evidence. **On September 09, 2020, the Hemet Police Department kidnapped the petitioner, grabbing the petitioner with force and fury from inside her own vehicle while the petitioner was undergoing seizures**, tremor and chills after taking the prescribed medication. Petitioner is disabled, was further injured and kept locked without due process, without her medication, without food, and while further defamation were posted by law enforcement and further, economic harm inflicted in great amounts. The petitioner vehicle has been carjacked more than three times by law enforcement. The customs of these two decades or more is that law enforcement adds while they subtract from the defamed and injured petitioner, while obscene, denigrating phrases were posted in

*Theft
and
Destruction
innocent*

anonymous notes on the windshield of the petitioner's vehicle while petitioner was inside the Hemet Public Library across the Hemet Police Department. The exhibits were provided in Case 21-6841 in the United States Supreme Court. They use the term "yes ma'am" any time they like subtractions. App-XXXVII The Grand Jury refused to hear, while crime under color of law has been a daily issue, subjecting the petitioner to the deprivation of any rights secured or protected by the Constitution. **42 US Code § 1983**

The Grand Jury should be a preventory vehicle in the safety of human life and in the protection of Civil Rights Violations by color of law workers that have the practice to abuse power to satisfy their individual wants, individual ego and increase the economy of the agencies they serve by use of lies and force. The jurors are trained in how to discriminate evidence and when to close a door to hear when further evidence exists that overpower the complaint presented by a citizen. What is the unknown evidence?

IV. RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA 911 OPERATORS- (UNDER THE RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA SHERIFF CONTROL)

If an individual has an emergency, whether it is a medical or criminal threat, all around the United States is compelled to call 911 Emergency. During the last two decades and within the last two years, The petitioner has called 911 and has been screamed at, mocked at, insulted, ridiculed and hanged up by 911 operators without assisting the petitioner and leaving in the petitioner an aura of distaste and lack of professionalism from the part of the Riverside County, California 911 operators in what should be a human career.

On April 13, 2018 the petitioner was carjacked. The petitioner's vehicle was illegally towed away and, upon coming from the Planet Fitness' Gym to the parking lot, the petitioner did not find her vehicle where the plaintiff had parked it. The petitioner called 911. The 911 operators hung up on the petitioner, dropping the handset with fury. The petitioner dialed again. During the second call, the 911 operators mocked the petitioner's voice and name without assisting. Operators had hung up on the petitioner's 911 call, at least twice yelling "what?" "What's your name? Ha, ha, ha, ha. The petitioner was trying to get help with the disappearance of her vehicle, carjacked by a GE-4 Golden Eagle Security guard

assisted by a Hemet Police Officer, while 911 operators abused the petitioner in the quest to find the vehicle. *Case 21-6841 United States Supreme Court*

In various other occasions, prior and after to April 2018, the 911 operators also hanged up and yelled to the petitioner while the petitioner felt endangered after observing suspicious men jumping from the San Jacinto Wide Valley Park to the adjacent storage through the roof, while the petitioner was searching for books in her storage unit at dark hours. After the 911 call, there were no police patrols, no law enforcement officer arrived at the storage. The petitioner requested help from a nearby storage renter to avoid getting hurt by stranger intruders inside the storage premises. The promised police patrol never called and obviously never arrived at the scene. The petitioner parked a few feet away from the storage gate to see if police arrived and never saw a patrol.

After July 2020, a vehicle started to chase the petitioner as petitioner departed from Devonshire and Sanderson, Hemet, California, the driver was tossing objects on the petitioner's vehicle while driving recklessly and at a point wanted to shove the petitioner's van with her vehicle. Petitioner managed to stay away as follows closely. The red light forced the small vehicle to stop and petitioner was already in a 911 call, not so amicable, however they got the plate number. There were no patrols along the way. 911 operators should have alerted police patrols. 911 Operators are not pleasant when a call is needed.

During the many times 911 operators, Riverside County, California have abused verbally or hung up on the petitioner they have always questioned the identity of the petitioner as if doubting of the petitioner's name. Petitioner has asked the operators in the latest calls to verify identity through biometrics with Border Patrol if in doubt. Petitioner is a United States Citizen with clear background check and biometrics in all federal agencies. FBI, CIA, Homeland Security, are in possession of the petitioner's clear biometrics.

Negligence:

"The failure to use ordinary care is the cause of harm. The lack of action by 911 operators, injured further the victim through breach of a duty. The Riverside County, California 911 operators' responsibility is to act or perform according to the established Standard of Care, and constitutes a breach of duty by not working in accordance with the Standard of Care. See "Turner v. Township of Irvington, A-5478-I1T2; California Supreme Court, Justices ; DEC. 20, 2003, 12 AM PT justices said; "emergency response agencies could be sued if they acted in bad faith or were grossly negligent."

There is obvious wanton and willful misconduct of the 911 operators. In some instances, the petitioner has called directly law enforcement numbers, and they have not arrived, but they have called three hours after the calls. It seems in Riverside County, the responsibility to train and direct the 911 operators falls in the Riverside County Sheriff. Vicarious Liability therefore, exists in the part of the Riverside County 911 Operators as in the Riverside County, California Sheriff Department.

TREASON

V. THREATS OF MURDER TO PETITIONER BY FBI AND TREASON BY FEDERAL WORKERS PLANNING A CIVIL WAR TO WIN ELECTIONS IN 2004

“Only one person has ever been executed for treason against the federal government: William Bruce Mumford, who was convicted of treason and hanged in 1862 for tearing down a United States flag during the American Civil War. There may be a second and perhaps more.”

18 USC Ch. 115 The Treason Clause specifically identifies what constitutes treason against the United States and, importantly, limits the offense of treason to only two types of conduct: (1) “levying war” against the United States; or (2) “adhering to [the] enemies [of the United States], giving them aid and comfort

Chief Justice John Marshall’s opinion emphasized: *“merely to conspire “to subvert by force the government of our country” by recruiting troops, procuring maps, and drawing up plans. Conspiring to levy war was distinct from actually levying war. Rather, a person could be convicted of treason for levying war only if there was an “actual assemblage of men for the purpose of executing a treasonable design.”* In so holding, the Court sharply confined the scope of the offense of treason by levying war against the United States. If there is no intent to betray, there is no treason.” In other words, the Constitution requires both concrete action and an intent to betray the nation before a citizen can be convicted of treason. **Interactive Constitution-interpretation Article-III Section 3.**

There is an evil that prevails around the soul of certain men. **Hegemony**, the ill inner desire for supremacy and dominion over anyone that represents an opposition. The same evil that develops **Misogyny**, the extreme hatred against women, especially one that holds the ways to generate an A pure race and is Androgyny being a woman. (petitioner).

As the petitioner files for divorce against a Riverside County, California Deputy Sheriff at the end of 2003, the petitioner becomes aware of the presence of federal workers in all the surroundings of the city of residency of the petitioner and her children as well as any place the petitioner moved. (Sun City, California) All telephone lines were obviously intercepted, and the petitioner could hear the voices at the other sides of the wires, as observed the mobs that had moved to the city and surroundings, especially blacks that were limited in population. During the end of the year 2003, numerous people that had never been in the neighborhood circulated closely to the petitioner's house and at the places the petitioner visited for work, errands or any personal activity. As the divorce request was filed, the federal workers seemed to want to get closer to the petitioner. Conversations at public places were held loud enough to hear an indirect approach.

During the month of March 2004, the petitioner was directly approached by various Hispanic people from a Texas organization. One of them stated that **Shaquille O'Neal, the number One man of President Bush** needed to see the petitioner at Lake El Tahoe where all Bush's men were to gather, stating further that all the FBI would be around any weekend after the conversation at Wendy's restaurant, two blocks away from the petitioner's former house. The following weekend, during the Month of March 2004, after the conversation with the strange man, the petitioner had curiosity to see if the spoken request was true. The

FBI

petitioner drove herself, by herself, to Lake El Tahoe. Nothing happened during the trip, except notorious excessive traffic on the road, especially heavy trucks and RVs or expensive sport motorcycles with skilled motorists swaying between the heavy trucks and the small vehicles. The following day after arriving at Lake El Tahoe, during the morning the petitioner decided to walk along the marina. A few moments later, the petitioner observed the multitude that grew around the location. As the petitioner walked around round tables and umbrellas, saw a black man in a green polo shirt with Levi faded jeans reading FBI on the Polo shirt and cap on the head, seated as if waiting for someone. Petitioner got close and greeted the man. Petitioner had browsed the web to find how was the physiognomy of O'Neal. At recognizing, and after requesting to sit. The petitioner sat in front of the place O'Neal was seated. It was Shaquille O'Neal indeed. The petitioner overheard in Sun City, California that all basketball players, especially the Heat

and Lakers players, mostly blacks, were George Bush's (United States President) FBI workers. There were no handshakes at all. It was a loud and dramatic form of speech coming from the mouth of the brute seated. The demeanor at sitting wasn't of a pleasant man, but someone that is angry to have to conduct a conversation. O'Neal eyes were extremely red and his demeanor was of someone that wants to speak directly and to the point. **Once seated, the petitioner heard clearly:**

"If you don't do as I say I can murder you" "You can be seen as a participant of terrorism" The petitioner stated that never had joined any political movements and that the petitioner's life could be easily traced in schools and churches to hear such possible accusations. The petitioner background is strictly in schools and church related activities. Never joined any form of political associations and was raised by her foster mother, a teacher, in a school related environment. **O'Neal answered: "We can hurt you, no matter what"** **O'Neal quickly detailed the objective. "Bush elections have to be won, no matter what, we will use you if he doesn't win. "If George Bush doesn't win, we will declare a civil war in the United States.** This Plan of Civil war was emphasized many times, making clear that if a war is on wheels, weaponry of war related nature must have been prepared as well as those who raise the weapons. Petitioner often heard that president Bush often announced in speeches the increasing of troops. **18 U.S.C § 115**

"The federal law against seditious conspiracy can be found in **Title 18 of the U.S. Code** (which includes treason, rebellion, and similar offenses), specifically **18 U.S.C. § 2384**. According to the statutory definition of sedition, it is a crime for two or more people within the jurisdiction of the United States: To conspire to overthrow or destroy by force the government of the United States or to level war against them; To oppose by force the authority of the United States government; to prevent, hinder, or delay by force the execution of any law of the United States; or To take, seize, or possess by force any property of the United States contrary to the authority thereof.

These are the issues that raised to the heart and brain of petitioner at the very point that intents of levying a civil war was stated. FBI logo on the man seated in front of the petitioner, and all Hollywood and further federals present at that place, cannot just be an act for an act, there must have been a conspiracy. This must have been recorded.

In a tone of fury, mandating, imperative tone, Shaquille O'Neal stated: "I have to have you as close as I can. I have a plane and helicopters close by if we have to

lift you. We can lift you at any time, anywhere and be ready because you may have to leave at any time. We really need you" You cannot find any place to live and have to stay at the local hotel "Travelodge" where all the FBI was to stay until the elections are over." Shaquille O'Neal made it clear that after George Bush elections were won, the next president was to be Shaquille O'Neal and that the petitioner had to do as told without hesitation. The harsh threats of murder were repeated more than once. "And don't try to go anywhere that we can kill you" Petitioner was told that the messages from the FBI were going to be passed at any place the petitioner could go in the form of conversations by others in the adjacent places or at the monitor of computers if petitioner visited any place with clerks or operators. The petitioner was already aware. It was how the petitioner knew of the FBI investigation and their presence around. The petitioner noticed that groups of men were observing the conversations from the nearby tables that suddenly were populated after the petitioner sat. All the table's occupants were eyes on the petitioner. All the people around wore sunglasses and most of them hats or caps. Petitioner abandoned the table and returned to the hotel to meditate. What had the petitioner done, that was to be beheld in quality of prisoner without bars to assist in a Civil War and to sit a president that doubted his own capacity to win civilly a second election? The election of a black president after Bush was also planned.

Why One person is hold as invisible prisoner for the chair to be filled with treasonous tyrants.?

Election is defined in the Cambridge Dictionary as "a time when people vote in order to choose someone for a political or official job"

Our Philosopher parents clearly settled the foundations for our existing laws. Immanuel Kant **Categorical Imperative is described as** : "An objective, rationally necessary and unconditional principle that we must follow despite any natural desires that we may have to the contrary. All specific moral requirements, according to Kant, are justified by this principle, which means that all immoral actions are irrational because they violate the Categorical Imperative. They Violate The Constitution.

Thomas Hobbes in 1651 stated that "the absolute power of the sovereign was ultimately justified by the consent of the governed, who agreed in a hypothetical contract (assuming) to obey in all matters in exchange for a guarantee of peace and security."

Were all citizens of the United States invited to Lake El Tahoe in March 2004 as they are invited to vote for each election, to know that the levying of a Civil War was on wheels? Citizens' vote to elect thinking in the maximum levels of peace and security for the nation they live and stand by. The Homeland Security Mission states : "We rallied together for our common defense, and we pledged to stand united against the threats attacking our great Nation, fellow Americans, and way of life." **Where were the Homeland Security Workers in 2004 when the 911 attack was still smoking?**

O'Neal published many articles during the months prior to the elections of 2004 in the Los Angeles Times, Times Magazine, The New York Times, and any time the petitioner turned the TV on. The petitioner knew long before president Obama was elected that the elections after Bush had to raise a black president. Shaquille O'Neal advertisements would appear on the screen or the channel was automatically changed for the petitioner to see what they like to address as an indirect message. During news watching, the news reporters would make comments about the Lakers and the coming elections or directly let go of a comment that was clearly understood by the petitioner as a reminder to the petitioner that was under the scope. In many of the newspaper articles, O'Neal described himself as a King. If Bush won elections, a United States Kingdom would follow with O'Neal as the first United States king as all articles read.

The following morning, after the threats of murder in Lake El Tahoe, the petitioner decided to go to the lake and ride the boat named "Queen". The petitioner decided to take the bus to go to the Queen trip along the lake El Tahoe.

Laura Bush, the first lady, was in line to the bus. There were as well many Hollywood actors and actresses. None of them greeted the petitioner. Some of them smiled. Laura Bush greeted and hardly spoke. Yet remained looking deeply at the petitioner. During the trip along the lake inside the "Queen", Laura Bush and Hollywood actors, basketball players, mostly blacks including Shaquille

O'Neal remained together in a silent mode. None of them addressed any conversation to the petitioner. The petitioner knew that it was better from the law to stay and remain silent, as the day before was threatened to be murdered. Yet, overheard a conversation about a geological research about the Hollow Earth that the petitioner was conducting long years before. How would topics the petitioner researched in privacy could be topics of conversations of strangers at El Tahoe if the petitioner had not revealed to anyone that was a researcher of the Templar's and Freemasons's History that involves geological plans for the modern centuries.

Petitioner researches, since petitioner is a pre-teenager child? **What motives could exist to see all Hollywood actors and actresses and the First lady**

in the same place as the petitioner? Why threaten to death a being of low economical means without any political affiliation if George Bush was already in power?

During the "Queen" trip on the water, Laura Bush greeted the petitioner again, however did not establish any conversation. On the way back from the lake, Laura Bush sat next to the petitioner, stating? "So you want my chair? Goodbye, then" the next day, were comments at the restaurant, that Hollywood actors at Lake El Tahoe expected the petitioner to request autographs and were upset because the petitioner did not recognize any of them defining the petitioner as antisocial, while the petitioner thought of them as too elevated because actors should greet people and introduce themselves. Petitioner had little time to know many actors yet heard clearly in the hotel room next door the voices of Al Pacino and Robert De Niro. As well as saw at a table afar Matt Sadler, a comedian, and heard the clear voice of Paul Rodriguez, comedians that the petitioner usually watched on the few TV shows watched. Rodriguez must have been seated around in the same restaurant room. The petitioner was under threats of murder. Could not feel as to standing happily to seek from where the voices came, and wondered what connections existed between the actors and the threats of murder received, especially listening to the voice at the other side of the wall of Al Pacino and De Niro. The clear presence of Hollywood actors and actresses was at sight. However, in the persona of the petitioner threats of murder, destruction of the petitioner's educational career, and announcement of a Civil war could not make the petitioner feel that the atmosphere was that of safety and happiness. To the contrary, petitioner thought of the many people that pretend by acting and can jump at any time over the life of one terrorized to murder. Petitioner is to this date an invisible prisoner. *The Violence, abuse of power, alienation, discrimination, deprivation of rights and persecution, are every day.*

Prior to the Lake El Tahoe encounter with the FBI and Bush followers in March 2004, the petitioner was made aware of a forensic investigation on wheels by Forensic workers. The petitioner had observed the cleaning of strange pieces placed at sunlight over very clean mats, while the people performing the cleaning wore gloves. The neighborhood had never been exhibitionist. The petitioner found through hearing people talk that it was Maceration. A bone preparation technique where the bones are cleaned and assist to reveal the identity of individuals as well as the race of the murdered victims in forensic reconstruction. It couldn't be anything else. The petitioner is a teacher. Through listening around at Wendy's restaurant, at the public library (around the petitioner's former residency), the petitioner heard clearly that Carl Robert Benson was committing identity theft, and that his handwriting matched that of Hitler.

That the real Carl Benson was someone else, that the real name of the man the petitioner had married was Karl with a German last name that was serving the United States Army and was claimed dead during a United States war overseas.

That forensic studies were ongoing from Corcoran, Fresno and King City, California, where his wife was someone named Mary Alice. Corcoran, Fresno and King City, California are places Carl Robert Benson served as a deputy sheriff. Carl

Robert Benson had also worked for the EDD in Los Angeles, California as an investigator where he met his former wife while the husband of the former wife

was supposedly killed. The discovery of the real identity of the petitioner ex-husband placed the petitioner in awe and in fear that the petitioner could be hurt at any time. It was revealed that Carl Robert Benson held multiple concubines with multiple children around everywhere. That was a member of the Mormon Church, where he had all his sheriff associates. That at departing the petitioner's home, Carl Benson drove to a nearby garage and from there was transported in a different vehicle to the local airport or somewhere else with mustache and beard

and that behind the Knight Transportation name of Randy Knight was the petitioner's ex-husband. It was also stated that investigators had recorded the ex-husband with judges and clerks from the Riverside County Superior Court and other courts and a multitude of sheriffs and other law enforcement agents at Cabo

San Lucas, Mexican Riviera, in Cruises, dining and talking about architectural projects and transportation contracts. It was stated as well that the petitioner's ex-husband was a big figure in the Hollywood world, hiring actors and actresses as well as singers. That he personally performed many times at The Opera playing the piano or acting. That the ex-husband held contacts around all the United States and the world. The latest telephone bills the petitioner had to pay included calls from all around the United States and overseas, while the tutoring agency that the petitioner created had only contracts in California. The day after the petitioner heard all of this information, grabbed all the ex-husband items and placed them outside the door and called the ex-husband to have him pick up his items and

stated that if he came close, the petitioner was going to place a restraining order.

Social Security announced the death of the ex-husband as September 2015. However, the petitioner hears the ex is alive in sheriff's and court.

The days after, the same chain of indirect discoveries about the real Carl Benson continued. It was clearly stated that Carl Robert Benson held meetings with armed men in the mountains recorded by government investigators and that was heard speaking clear Spanish and obscene vulgar language while smoking marijuana in a big chunky cigar. That held a very close relationship with a famous Spanish singer Isabel, with whom has children and visited the English Queen. The petitioner never heard one word in Spanish spoken by the ex-husband. The ex-husband never drank alcohol, never smoked in front of the petitioner, and never spoke an obscene word. The petitioner's head was a rollercoaster.

That the ex has been seen in Honduras and Las Vegas casinos with former

Furthermore, it was revealed that all his concubines owned mansions in the suburbs of different cities and that the ex-husband had priority on certain of his concubines that accompanied him to his mountain and cruises trips and visits to

the English Queen's affairs such as Mrs. Sullivan a last name from Pasadena society that was revealed as to be Zayda Polanco Arauz a relative if Bill Gates Sr

from Matagalpa, Nicaragua. Carl Benson, described by federals, was not the individual the petitioner had known for various years. It came to the knowledge of the petitioner that Carl Robert Benson is the brother of George E. Bush and various judges around the United States and Canada. Carl Robert Benson, was described by the Riverside Sheriff department as "Perfect" and "Ideal" when the petitioner called for references while dating the ex-husband. These revelations were clearly revealing that an investigation had taken place for a long time before the petitioner became aware of the FBI presence around the neighborhood, and that two opposite currents run in the federal government. Where did the investigation go after the elections were won by George W. Bush in 2004? Furthermore, the real reason the

FBI desperately wanted the petitioner's home in Sun City California is revealed years after to the petitioner by the good side of invisible Federal workers stating that under the house is Canada territory where TAN, a subversive South American armed terrorist groups gather and planned activities that Hollywood was aware of. The name of Denzel Hayes Washington Jr was stated as one of the well-informed sages of Carl Robert Benson. That Hollywood kept people under the petitioner's

house with equipment daily recording the petitioner's doings. The petitioner wanted the Sun City home because it was one story. Petitioner Could have never known that behind the entrance small closet wall resides a door to Canada territory

and the North Pole. The house was acquired from Barbara Williams and her husband. Ms. Williams worked for the Perris Elementary Schools District and never disclosed any information leading to believe that the ground was hollow and

is the boundaries of many countries, including the North Pole. The Real estate agent that closed the sale, never spoke of any hollow territory. Yet, the Mormons especially Pingle, a Riverside Deputy sheriff pastor of the Perris ward visited the house often in a manner of abrupt visits at times stating that the house was very cold inside. The petitioner requested the cancellation of her participation with the Mormon church after Pingle's obnoxious and manipulative visits in the house of the petitioner were too often and too numerous with very hostile speech, always directing his eyes towards different parts of the house, while he was not welcome

by the petitioner.

27216
Paloma
Way
Sun City
CA

As soon as the petitioner returned from Lake El Tahoe, law enforcement persecution started at all corners. The petitioner couldn't try to get on the freeway because the petitioner was followed by multiple patrols along the road everywhere,

at times stopping the petitioner for no reason and accumulating false tickets everywhere. Traffic tickets never existed before 2003. There were no reasons why to see on the road patrols of all sizes speeding behind the petitioner's vehicle with headlights and high beams on not once, but many times the petitioner attempted to drive and apply for work especially when the petitioner drove south on the 215 freeway towards Temecula or Escondido, placing job applications. There are times of pretended high speed pursuit where the petitioner did not receive citations.

However, the human tension and the knowledge that a man on the hunt was on the daily terror, makes the psyche of a human being to recognize that there is no freedom, but invisible bars. Indeed, a P.O.W because the petitioner was in no way siding with projectors of war making. Bush was not the petitioner's candidate to vote.

The petitioner had to spend the leftovers of her personal cash at the Travelodge by order of the FBI. The hotel remained occupied all the months prior to elections by

FBI agents and possibly Secret Service, as their jackets are different. Secret Services wore bomber jackets and a different cap. The petitioner could observe electronic equipment in the rooms as many monitors along the walls as the petitioner walked very slowly and close to the walls to observe. The petitioner saw her own feet on one monitor and her upper body on a second monitor. O'Neal occupied many times the room upstairs where the petitioner slept downstairs and the petitioner could hear that O'Neal directed the speeches of president Bush from the room upstairs and also watched the speeches coordinating that the sensory activity upstairs had to do with the movements and speech spoken by George Bush. While upstairs there was a cough, on TV the speech was interrupted to drink water.

There were no doubts that the entire city and everywhere was overtaken. The people at the places the petitioner used to go around the city normally, spoke now to the petitioner reading from a computer screen rather than being themselves.

Limousines with all the Heat, Lakers players, especially black men were daily around the Travelodge and at Wendy's restaurant next to the hotel. There was sleep deprivation at the Travelodge Hotel. Many times the weight lifting equipment that O'Neal or whoever was upstairs was dropped heavily on the floor keeping the petitioner awake all night, the fire alarms were often activated at midnight and after midnight so many times as to hurt the brain of a person, sharp, acute laser sounds were activating hurting the hearing body system as to destroy the fibers

inside the ears of the petitioner. FBI and other government workers in the adjacent rooms were kicking the walls and tossing heavy objects around their

*(Tortures)
decrepit
Holdin
a prisone
in
desquise
way's*

rooms during the midnight and after intending to keep the petitioner terrorized. As soon as the petitioner went to apply for work, police patrols and police motorcycles as well as sheriff patrols were in all corners. The Lakers, the Heat players, and other sports team players such as baseball and soccer players in leather attire, got into the sheriff patrols so many times late at nights at the hotel the petitioner was forced to stay. Obscenities and vulgarities were suddenly spoken by anyone at crossing any place intentionally to force the petitioner to hear the most vulgar and non educated sounds of human wretches. At times, the petitioner would drive to the gym at night and could see Bush workers at the corners of buildings in the city dressed in black coats and hats obviously to let the petitioner know that was a prisoner under control. They described the petitioner after Bush won elections in 2004 as a P.O.W. that was needed for the new elections to get a black president. "We have to keep her like this" was stated many times by black unknown people. Songs through radio were immediately activated as soon as the petitioner turned on the radio or the TV. "So I Heard You Like Your Freedom" "It Is A Game I Hate To Lose", The Police song: "Every breath You Take" Once, the petitioner got extremely mad about the persecution and in a loud tone declared inside the room that refused any vulgar player around, and any Bush workers hunting the petitioner. Violence increased against the petitioner. The songs changed from Islanders music and Barry White songs to play country music, using the word beach and margarita to infer derogatory words. Other songs were played as "I Am the Lucky One" and " Tequila Makes Her Clothes Fall Off" and "Jesus takes The Wheel." as such, all was a psychopathic production mixture of indirect terrorism in lyrics and law enforcement persecution, as well as any strange could toss objects on the petitioner or suddenly yell obscenities or simply raise the voice and abuse verbally the petitioner while reading the script from a computer screen. People were directed on what they answered and how they behaved towards the petitioner or as today inventing ^{defamation} ~~02/14/22. The principal of Callie Kirpatrick Elementary claimed that someone saw me yelling & stomping my cane, while the class students testified that is false. See Video link~~

While in the quality of direct prisoner, The petitioner waited until the elections were successfully won by George Bush, hoping that a civil war never erupted. The day before the election day, new equipment was brought to the Travelogue hotel (Sun City, California) and all rooms were busy. The following day, after the elections were won, all the crew that had remained at the hotel disappeared. The petitioner thought was now free to seek work and go back to normality. However, it was a silent war and crime planned against the petitioner that followed to this date. The petitioner was denied the right to work.

Everywhere the petitioner went to ask a question or apply for work , one of the black sport players was mentioned as the petitioner was verbally abused by anyone at any time the petitioner asked a question. Derek Fisher was a name always

mentioned any time someone insulted the petitioner. Others would do the same as Kobe Bryant, Gary Payton, Dwayne Wade and most of all players. The petitioner was called a Jew, a hopeless lost canine, using the derogatory names that included profanity and pornographic plans of how the petitioner was going to be waxed and liposuctioned to sell the petitioner to the highest bidder. The lowest wrecked words

spoken that the petitioner had never heard before were used, directed to the petitioner. Petitioner heard clearly that Bush workers were authorized by Bush to obtain DNA from the petitioner and impregnate women to deliver children of the

A+ race to use them in political campaigns. The petitioner holds both ova and spermatozoa in the body of one. This could only have been known by the federal government. As the petitioner never had revealed her life, neither had authorized anyone to use the product of her body.

The maceration of the professional individual in the persona of the petitioner started the same day that petitioner heard the threats of murder, or before. To this

date the petitioner has been psychologically tortured using anyone in the environment as has been deprived of the rights to housing, to work, to live in peace, to perform a professional life in peace, deprived of the rights to procreate as the petitioner has been kept busy solving almost everyday false court citations. The petitioner has been many times poisoned through direct medication, foods, water.

The petitioner has been hit many times in accidents directed to injure the petitioner, has been defamed in the workplace and placed out of the professional life while the local police has defamed, kidnapped the petitioner and has falsely charged the petitioner to deprive the petitioner of any possible economical means.

See case No. in the United States Supreme Court. 21-6841¹
and Appeals Court 9th Circuit 21-55549- Defamatory Evidences.
App. XXX 3 pages.

The petitioner's speech is no to exist by now. The capacity of thought and self-expression are supposed to have been disintegrated by this time. No one is supposed to believe today that an educated and rational woman exists inside the leftovers that is today the petitioner. The total destruction of the self, social destruction, social alienation, the disintegration from the professional life, are crimes lived by the petitioner that should be by now demolished.



Once the elections were won by George Bush, the petitioner already used as a camel by the ex-husband had no further funds to continue the facade forced by the FBI. The petitioner was forced into a shelter CIRCLE OF HOPE - (Homeless Shelter) 420 W. Harrison, Corona 92880 because of lack of resources and because

deprived of working in her educational profession. The ex-husband, after changing locks on all doors of the tutoring agency, also displaced the petitioner's only son from the work the petitioner has assigned at the agency filing and communicating with tutors. The petitioner's son was soon in 2004 placed in the Riverside County jail while never before being arrested, while the FBI and all local law enforcement kept the petitioner as a victim of the Holocaust segregated and alienated to this date. To today's dismay, the petitioner's remnant of the sole money saved in the Union Bank Of California, Menifee branch at Ralph's Market, was confiscated from the petitioner by the bank workers after the petitioner had filed for divorce stating that petitioner needed permission from the ex-husband to access her own money.

In 2004
the
remaining
balance
was no
more
than
50,000

The petitioner was escorted outside the bank and threatened with further law enforcement use if the petitioner returned to request her money. To this date, Union Bank has refused to return the money to the petitioner. Petitioner hasn't stopped from requesting from Union bank the money saved. Union Bank claims that at the time the petitioner opened the account was done through manual files, and they cannot find the account. The petitioner contacted the State of California and the United States Controller of the Currency. The State doesn't have records of unclaimed property, and the Currency Controller requested the account number. The ex-husband detached the petitioner from all personal documents and changed the locks at the petitioner's tutoring agency to avoid that the petitioner could get access to her personal documentation during the time of the divorce. See

Appendix XXII 3pgs

Once inside the Corona, California shelter, various federal workers moved in as well and installed all sorts of equipment. At night laser sounds so acute were activated rushing the petitioner and everyone inside the shelter outside while heavy rain fell on top of the shelter residents. The every night deprivation of sleep and the indirect speeches of assailing words directed to the petitioner. The petitioner got very ill and ended in the Corona emergency room with ear infection and dizziness. The treatment assisted and the ear infection recovered. Petitioner walked every day to the local EDD offices to apply for work through the computer network and also continued the geological research in the public library in Corona.

False mental therapists or social workers at the shelter were obviously federal worker mandated people that wanted to instill in the petitioner that any failure in the petitioner's life was a failure of her own. That was incapable of holding a relationship, that was incapable of holding a job, that needed retraining to exist. Most of them were Hispanic blacks. Bush's federal workers gained confidence that never no one would know of their criminal activity and disclosed their names as the petitioner, as well-developed mental capacity to recognize them through the indirect ways they use while they use others to convey messages to their victims.

#9 of Bush called Latania. A black woman that in indirect ways stated to be the directing the shelter's mental health therapy in forms and the ways the petitioner was handled.

On April 02, 2005 (Fool's Day) the petitioner's son was placed out of the Riverside County jail. The petitioner's son arrived at the shelter to pick up his mother and asked if the petitioner wanted to move to a trailer the son had rented with the petitioner's daughter. It was a poor and clean place. The petitioner's children had never worked. Their salary was low, and they could not afford to maintain a high style of living. However, the petitioner was accommodated in a tiny room. The trailer was located behind a corner of Scott Road in Winchester, California, while the address was the corner house. The petitioner's children were provided with food at their workplace in addition to work, while at the trailer food was scarce. The petitioner was at times starving. Work was not allowed. The petitioner walked miles applying for work. Meanwhile, limousines and all Bush workers circulated around the corner of the Scott Road's address, especially on weekends. The sports players drove around many times. Carl Benson, the ex-husband crossed many times in a limousine full of women dressed as vedettes.

2004, 2005, 2006 (and thereafter) are the beginning years of all tortures that affect the psyche in different forms. Sleep deprivation was intentional and daily everywhere and wherever the petitioner tried to sleep. Contaminated food and over the counter medications followed in the P.M pills swelling the veins of the petitioner, often blocking the main oxygen passages. The petitioner collapsed so many times out of oxygen. The trapezium, the sinus passages were blocked precluding the petitioner from breathing while screamed at, that petitioner ought to have dementia, was stated. (profiling) Various times the petitioner called 911 and ambulances arrived, taking the petitioner to the local emergency room in Murrieta, California. The hospital beds were kicked to avoid the petitioner from closing her eyes while diagnosing the petitioner with anxiety, referring the petitioner to a mental facility if the petitioner wanted to survive. One injection could open the air passages, but no further prescriptions were provided.

Re-contamination occurred because the water pipes were intercepted, because the petitioner could not afford to buy food and had to eat what was provided, or any P.M pill the petitioner tried to take was contaminated. The petitioner's ambulance trips to emergency were symbolized by Hollywood actresses taken to hospitals in ambulances close to the same days the petitioner requested medical help. A DVD, "Eye For An Eye", was handed to the petitioner on the road as the petitioner walked. The petitioner wondered what crime had the petitioner committed to be handed further threats.. Once at Moreno Valley Hospital, at Cactus ave around the

*Food
deprivation
and
Water
contaminant*

year 2006, the petitioner had obstructed air passages. Was attended at the Moreno Valley, California Hospital, treated as indigent. X-Rays were performed, not to assist the petitioner. It was to see what further level of poison was needed to completely close the air passages. A pineapple syrup was handed. Upon arriving at the place the petitioner's children were renting, the petitioner collapsed out of oxygen. However, locked doors and forced vomiting, drank oil, lemon and milk to further eject whatever substance had gotten in the body. Raised her arms and pulled so many times as to stretch the veins and muscles. The petitioner laid over an ice rock as the entire back was protruded with the vessels swollen as an effect of further poisoning. If the petitioner holds a belief, it is that a power other than man directs the destiny of man.

Through the trips to the hospitals after calling 911 that the petitioner heard at the emergency rooms that Carl Robert Benson is the brother of George W. Bush and various judges and that each was raised in different families and different parents and that Carl Robert Benson has associates relative everywhere, being the owner of many casinos in Las Vegas and Laughlin, Nevada, and that were directing the harm done in the petitioner.

The Country musicians were obviously ordered to circulate around and through radio and TV, and it was announced that every weekend country artists would be crossing Scott road prior to the scheduled shows at the McCallum theater in Palm Springs and the local casinos. At times, the petitioner heard people at the locations if the announced shows were forced to perform activities unwelcomed by the performers. The year 2006 is remarkable for the open way in which all collar crime activity that was going on was announced at the Revival Church, radio and TV. The petitioner walked to attend services and heard clearly the people attending speaking of FBI directed activities in the locality where the petitioner was residing. Petitioner heard clearly that federal crime was open going on the week of May 16, 2006 at all Casinos and theaters in Southern California, especially Harrah's, Pechanga, Morongo casinos, and the McCallum Theater. The petitioner was undergoing poison and was precluded from working as if keeping the petitioner from being seen. Seems someone else was pretending to be the petitioner and was besides the ex-husband as it was spoken everywhere. However, the petitioner kept the news radio and TV on to keep updated in all ongoing activities. Petitioner heard that there were boxes of Kleenex at each of the places mentioned by different people victimized and forced by the FBI workers of president Bush to perform what they did not voluntarily wish. Petitioner locked herself every night.

The subsequent law enforcement daily persecution, willful wanton and negligence from 911 operators, accidents, contamination, and the scapegoating and

Presented
as
Aileen
Benson

abuse of the petitioner and her adult children by the Riverside County Superior Court of California and law enforcement, is therefore an orchestrated crime. Every pitfall that has followed the plaintiff after filed for divorce and the FBI threats of murder are pre-orchestrated. A conspiracy 28 U.S. Code § 455 - Carl Robert Benson's divorce attorney, as well as the Riverside County Superior Court "unduly favored one party, sided in a suit or controversy, rather than another; prejudiced; biased; against the petitioner and consciously made a display of inclination toward the protection of one party, denying clearly, that leaning tendency was to favor the ex-husband, a sheriff and friend of the court rather than complying with the ethical law enforcement position to abuse power on the petitioner assisted by California Law State Workers.

The group-based prejudice is manifest and unjustifiable. The Riverside County Superior Court as well as the Riverside County Sheriff Department have made a display of antipathy, hatred, scorn and the very clear biased wish to see the petitioner converted in a slave, inside an invisible bars prison where the petitioner can be further demolished as an individual, abusing the color of law status because of the petitioner's particular race, ethnicity, class, gender, or because the petitioner is a main carrier of the A+ race.

The plaintiff unique existence as an Androgyny being, male and female, of the A+ race makes the petitioner's persecution a genocide against a race. Petitioner is a woman yet a man in one having capability to generate life as a man and woman in one. Section 1091 of Title 18, A genetic fact that had to be known by collar criminals. The hatred has extended to the petitioner's children, that became persecuted and often abused by law enforcement immediately after the petitioner filed for divorce.

The private life of the petitioner does not exist since the petitioner filed for divorce. The work that arrived to the petitioner in 2006 was as it had been announced prior. Three hours wrapping burritos and dishwashing at Del Taco Restaurant in Benton Rd, French Valley, California, while the petitioner was out of breath with the trapezium and sinus passages blocked. Initially, Del Taco at Scott Road in Menifee, California allowed the petitioner to work three hours knowing the petitioner was sick and lacking oxygen, which was visible. The pressure to wrap burritos forced the petitioner to become an automaton. It was an obvious Hollywood show of bad taste going on to demonstrate how individual decadence forces the humanity of the needy to perform as automaton under the need of subsistence. In less than a minute, 10 burritos had to be wrapped and bagged to be in pace with the drive through orders. Although the restricted air passages, the petitioner performed under pressure. Carl Junior provided another

To this date, the petitioner is persecuted everywhere threatened with using force, lack of disappearance and tends to be nothing

three hours of work where the petitioner was projected to be disfigured while a worker (Jamie) splashed purposely a basket with potatoes inside the boiling oil. Vedettes were sent to have the petitioner serve potatoes at Carl's Jr restaurant at Benton Road in French Valley, California. Traffic Court hearings and community services were imposed on the petitioner, keeping the petitioner forced to pay large amounts from the low income earned. However, work allowed the petitioner to regain some health by wrapping every drop of water and food the petitioner could consume to avoid further contamination. Sheriff patrols would stop the petitioner on the road while the petitioner walked to work and use verbal abuse treating the petitioner as if under probation stating that the petitioner had to get inside the patrols on the back transporting the petitioner to work so the co-workers could see the petitioner arriving in a sheriff patrol. At times, some sheriffs would get out of the patrol simply to verbally abuse the petitioner while walking over Leon and Scott Road in 2005 and 2006. It was a demonstration of the power of the color of law.

In January 2007, as the petitioner acquired a different small job with a little better pay as a Health Aid worker, the petitioner's adult children were clearly forced to move to a different place where there was no space for the petitioner. The petitioner then started to sleep inside her vehicle, sometimes under thirty degrees iced temperature, and cops knocked on Windows at midnight to verbally abuse the petitioner to maintain the sleep deprivation. The heart of the petitioner started to fail. Arrhythmia, ear infections, dizziness and stiffened limbs were the result of continuous poisoned waters, foods and over the counter pills to this date. At any place where there was a septic tank, the water was undrinkable. The petitioner got sick with swollen vessels many times at septic tank locations where a job was assigned. Vehicles followed on the road, screaming that a peacemaker was to be placed in the petitioner. Vehicles on the road would roll down the windows and scream obscenities to the petitioner including canine species names, skin color names such as tez (light beige skin), beggar, fake, and any denigrating and profane names that could minimize or disintegrate the humanity in the petitioner. Simultaneously, all the credit the petitioner had paid in full in 2003 and 2004, was placed back in the petitioner's credit files as owed, to avoid that the petitioner could acquire a small home. Obvious federal activity. To this date (2022) housing has been denied.

Up to the
 date
 flogging
 doors
 with
 violence

(mocca) p.g

The announcement of a tragic accident started in January 2007 stating that a big rig was to hurt the petitioner to justify a hospital stay where the heart was going to be extracted, and a peacemaker was to be placed with a remote control from the White House. The petitioner rushed to create a Health Directive signed by a

witness named Lora Maddox resident if 14141 Stonehurst Dr. Moreno Valley, Ca on 03/28/2007 and Sally Danny of 18321 Wood Rd. Perris, California 92570 on March 29, 2007. The Health Directive stated that the petitioner refused any transplant of organs and that was not a donor, as well as stating that refused any implantation of devices inside the body to avoid becoming a controlled robot of *See e-mail Attached APP'111*
XXXX111
Mary Patro
03/17/22
3 years

collar crime. To this date, at any time the petitioner parks to sleep, anyone anywhere flogs doors or opens the vehicle's trunk and hoods and drops them with fury purposely to further damage the petitioner's health. The false tickets throughout the years are intentional to deprive the petitioner of any form of economy. The petitioner requested to be moved from the job in Corona and transferred to Hemet, California, because of the flat geographic shape of Hemet, to minimize the risk of a fatal accident.

The petitioner has established complaints to IEHP on found medical defamation in medical files with false damaging information about the petitioner to label the petitioner as drug and alcohol user and active sexually. The petitioner has remained a celibate and has never used drugs, neither uses alcohol unless is indoors in a special occasion under normal social circumstances. Petitioner has never been seen drunk outdoors. Although inside a vehicle, the petitioner has kept her professional stand. Although housing applications have been filed with the housing authority under low income, the housing authority has discriminated against the petitioner.

Disabilities are the present stage of the petitioner as a result of the 2003 filing for divorce and treason by federal workers. Cataracts, glaucoma, hypertension (arrhythmia), musculoskeletal deficiency, dyslipidemia, are a few of the many health problems the petitioner suffers as well as broken bones that have not healed. The spine of the petitioner was injured in April 2020 during an assault with defamation in the workplace. Petitioner has been bleeding since then with acute pain and difficulties to perform any bendable movements nor can lift weight above 30 pounds. See Appendix XXXIII 8 pgs

Williams } The United States Social Security has abused and discriminated against the petitioner

A Social Security worker in the Hemet, California's office yelled and abused the petitioner for applying for benefits. SSI and SSA have been refused in spite of qualifications. The Main Site States Social Security office has mocked the petitioner. Orders were received from Social Security requesting the petitioner to arrive for an interview at Moreno Valley, CA, for final interview. At the petitioner's arrival, there was no one in the building. Petitioner holds a video and

the last letter sent to Social security that has not answered. The social security has refused claims on broken leg in 2017, broken clavicle in 2018, vestibular disbalance (inner ear vertigo caused by violence), see **Appendix XXIII 8 pgs**

Samples of polluted medications were sent to the Department of Justice, Secret Services, and White House as soon as President Obama won elections detailing clearly that treason was a plan with certified and registered mail. App.

XXXIV 2pgs. None of the agencies ever responded. Petitioner has in sealed boxes the USPS receipts. Due to disability, cannot haul the boxes. Hypothesis on

the research was notified to Secret Services. Violence against the petitioner increased instead. Petitioner attempted to file Political Asylum for Canada and mobs outside increased, creating violent blows everywhere the petitioner walked, as law enforcement persecution became extreme, with police and sheriff's verbally assaulting the petitioner everywhere, stating that it was a mandate to see green all

assaulting the petitioner everywhere, stating that it was a mandate to see green all day. Traffic Tickets increased. The petitioner heard clearly that defamations were provided to the Canadian-French government. Groups from Matagalpa, Nicaragua followed the petitioner in their last names: Zelendry, Sacrenz, Chavinda, from Palxila-Nicaragua.

Came to petitioner's ears that Secret Service moved to France and England taking with them law enforcement officers from Riverside County, while impersonating singers and actors in the way to claim that it was a Secret Service Research never the petitioner. That the petitioner is to be found as dementia or inside an Arizona prison. The name of a wife if the Secret Service director was released as Andrea Clancy directing harm to the petitioner while working with the black Hispanics number sevens group, especially someone named Crystal Rivera a Brazilian, and

Serena Williams, a sport player. The petitioner's research includes findings of massive fulminating gold around all earth, secret passages under the earth core, concealed territories under the earth that all doors underground lead to the United

States, decoding by the petitioner in medicine hypothesizing cures for HIV, Hepatitis. Sick O cell Anemia, Cancer, diabetes and many other ailments including the use of gold, glass and electricity to return vision to the blind. Petitioner heard that writings and publishing of books was taken place because many hackers claim that are the authors of such research. The petitioner hears that many blind famous people are now able to see, because of the decoding made by the petitioner.

Research on how to create diesel and gasoline by recycling old tires was also solved, as to convert the sand of the desert in bricks to plant in the Middle East desert. The petitioner has been kept under the lenses of Hollywood, Warner Bros and many others. The Right to Privacy Act has no meaning when collar workers authorize public plagiarism. However, it is known by the petitioner that her readings and decoding were copied. The petitioner attempted to continue

researching to see if at last can find the Earth Core destructors. Obstruction of
The mobs of Necerograms asked indirectly "What's your name?"
"Ade Meane" or "Ade Meane"?

Justice is clear. Every time the petitioner opened a book to research, sirens were activated. Horns if vehicle sounds in unison were activated and a rain of doors of vehicles flogged followed, affecting the health of the petitioner. *Vulgar nature sent to call the petitioner public restroom. Men of*

The petitioner was placed in Administrative leave by San Jacinto School District falsely accused of misconduct in October 2012. The State of California investigators provided the petitioner with information that defamations were provided by the former employer about the petitioner and that double personnel files existed. It is how the petitioner knew that the student, a black child that was used to claim that the petitioner had requested to comply with doing the student activity, is the son of a Hollywood actor, Denzel Hayes Washington Jr. and that the defamatory principal of the De Anza school in San Jacinto is a outside worker of the Riverside County Sheriff Department, citizen from Honduras that seems was using the petitioner's identity and professional documents in the position of principal while had been seen falsifying the petitioner's signature. The State of California EDD investigators on the phone, providing the information, did not write the story told to the petitioner. **The EDD in writing only States that the petitioner is clear of defamation**, as stated in writing that motives other than misconduct from part of the petitioner exist from the defamatory former employer. See App. XXX.

pages The petitioner to this date has been held alienated from working in the educational field. Although the EDD investigation was done in 2012-2013, the EDD did not hand the investigation to the petitioner until 2020, after the petitioner

Substitute for a local school district called to request it. Petitioner just started to

Petitioner has seen Denzel Hayes Washington Jr with open windows in limousines circulating around wherever the petitioner has been working. In 2007 the petitioner signed for any extra work on weekends and while swaying signs in a Temecula, California corners with sunglasses could see great activity of federal workers and Hollywood as in the days of Bush elections. Petitioner saw Denzel Hayes Washington Jr, slowly passing by with many black dressed black men. The election of Obama was long before anticipated, if it was not to be Shaquille O'Neal.

Petitioner holds the belief that behind the real identity of Denzel Hayes Washington Jr., is a name Victor Ingrand from Bluefields, Nicaragua from where president Obama has been stated to have been born. According to the stranger's speeches, Edgard Ingrand, a ship captain is a relative of Denzel Hayes

Washington Jr. and are a group of relatives associated to a Lakers player, Kobe Bryant and his wife Vanessa Torres from Los Angeles California who is also stated as user of the petitioner identity and plagiarism. Petitioner does not hold espionage devices to verify. However, under the **18 US Code § 4** is petitioner is a mandated reporter whether the result is true or false.

The petitioner has been bleeding for more than a year after the spine was fractured in a physical attack and defamation at the workplace in April 2020 while the employer robbed most of the petitioner's wages and refused to pay workers' compensation. On May 26 through 28, 2021, the petitioner was hospitalized unable to contain bleeding. See case **United States Supreme Court Case number: 21-6451**

Petitioner has a history of victimization by Hit and Run drivers, leaving further health ailments caused by all the physical and mental damages to the petitioner since 2003. See Allstate Case Ninth Circuit number 21-55833. See case in Appeals Ninth Circuit 21-55147 hit in a gas station while pumping gasoline, but the case sits dormant in Appeals as well as the infamous social security case, with all the disabilities of the petitioner ignored, discriminated bluntly in plain violation of the ADA Act. See case 21-55358 Ada Benson v. Kilolo Kijakazi, In Appeal court, See Exhibits Doctor letter of disability and musculoskeletal exam. App. XXIII pg 4.

The acts of violence against the petitioner are planned and spoken aloud by strangers anywhere. It is stated that is a replay of the acts against the petitioner of the past years. Just On January 21, 2022 the petitioner had 11 days after hired to work as a Substitute Teacher with the Perris Elementary School District when a First Grade Hispanic student turned around and yelled at the petitioner "You are garbage", "You are trash", repeatedly and jumping attacking a classmate inside the classroom terrorizing all the First Grade students in the class at Enchanted Elementary School. See Private videos:

<https://youtu.be/ncrpDqLa1B8>

<https://youtu.be/zeGH7oGSz5M>

The principal of Enchanted Elementary School and the Superintendent of Human Resources of the Perris School District disregarded all Federal and State Educational Laws, allowing the attacking student to remain inside the classroom where he attacked again a few hours after, repeating the acts of violence. The Superintendent requested the petitioner to forget the incident and to think that no violence had taken place, placing the petitioner on Administrative Leave, violating all the educational codes in this matters. California

Education Code § 49079 outlines the "duty to warn of violent propensities." Title 2. Public Education Subtitle g. Safe Schools Chapter 37. Discipline; Law and order Sec. 37.001. STUDENT

CODE OF CONDUCT 37.105(h). (b) In this section: (1) "Bullying" has the meaning assigned by Section 37.0832. (2) The United States Educational Code states that teachers that report violence should not be retaliated against. However, petitioner was placed on administrative leave with unemployment in zero balance.

Educational code Section 37.001 reads: "A school district may not discipline a teacher on the basis of documentation submitted under this subsection." Before departing the school on January 21, 2022, the petitioner heard that the Superintendent of Human Resources in the Perris School District is the sister of the De Anza school principal that posted defamation in the petitioner's educational files in 2012 in San Jacinto Unified School District, and are associates of the Riverside County Sheriff department. That many of the school teachers in Perris are also law enforcement officers. Therefore, collar crime has expanded and continues as the profiling of the petitioner within the school system is obvious an act of crime on wheels, that may intend to suspend the petitioner's California Teaching License. Petitioner contacted Child Protective Service, the School District's Board and State of California as mandated by the Educational laws on reporting incidents of violence in school sites. The petitioner knows that can be directives of the same chain of collar crime. The school Board and the Child protective services were advised. However, the EDD response is not what the petitioner filed.

The petitioner's son since 2004, has been arrested various times, has been injured in what seems to be planned violent accident demolishing the door of the driver (the petitioner's son), has had his teeth and clavicle broken and petitioner was notified that the petitioner's son was stabbed in November 2021 by

a Riverside County Superior Court Judge named Augustus Hughes, resident of Perris, California. The petitioner emailed the Department of Justice requesting to apply to the 18 U.S.C. Section 242. The petitioner has not seen her son since September 27, 2021. The petitioner's son refuses to let his mother see him. The petitioner knows are acts of collar crime and fears that the Department of Justice could not be assisting the son in applying justice. The

petitioner's text messages are obviously posted by someone else calling the petitioner dementia and out of mind in need of psychotic medication. **See App.** XXX When the petitioner has seen her son, has noticed almost tears at times. The petitioner's son is used to speak words that the petitioner's son never spoke before. The petitioner's son's body is now a mural. Tattoos have gradually populated the

petitioner's son's body after 2004, while the petitioner hears that soon more tattoos will populate the son's head and neck. The petitioner's daughter does not call the petitioner. Petitioner drives to see if the daughter is alright. However, many times the daughter is not at home, or has been rushed out before the petitioner arrives to visit while the petitioner hears that various women are to be transformed with the petitioner's face through plastic surgery and the petitioner is to become quadriplegic by forcing further accidents. Petitioner has reported at least two dogs attacks at the public park in San Jacinto, Ca, mockery and lack of respect by 911 operators hanging on the petitioner's calls, hacking of the petitioner's online account, as well as has reported the ~~USA~~ States passport lost, after the petitioner's wallet was robbed from inside her own vehicle and the vehicle was broken from underneath the engine, while parked at Walmart, San Jacinto, California, forcing the petitioner to donate the vehicle to a local charity organization and spend money to acquire a different vehicle. Other vehicles past owned by the petitioner have been gas contaminated, or the windows have been broken with bats while the petitioner was inside the gym. Ice was purposely dropped along the road in 2010 while the petitioner was driving to Colorado to visit a foster sister. The petitioner's vehicle started to slide over the ice towards a Dow cliff. The petitioner was able to save herself and the vehicle by holding hard the wheel drive. Pumping the brakes and twisting the wheel drive with force in opposite direction to the cliff ending on the middle shoulder of the opposite road. The petitioner was assisted thereafter by truck drivers to return to the paved road: *App. Sec. App. XXXIX*

As petitioner has been typing this petition, on January 31, 2022, heard at the Starbucks in San Jacinto, Ca : "No one hands our real names without us doing something" Obviously the speech must be from the Riverside County Superior Court watching what the petitioner does while threatening with further damages.

Before the petitioner started to work in January 2022, to stop the petitioner from working, an oriental man resident of Don Carlos Ct , San Jacinto, Ca, *App. XXXI* named Sang Hoon Yi went to hit the petitioner's vehicle while the petitioner was correctly parked on January 08, 2022 along Noga near the intersection of Don Alberto at San Jacinto, California, seriously affecting the petitioner's vehicle that is the sole form of survival for the petitioner. Petitioner had to follow the hit-and-run driver. To this date, the hit-and-run driver refuses to provide the insurance information to pay for the damages inflicted on the petitioner. The sheriff nor the DMV have provided the insurance information. Therefore, constitutes an additional crime against the petitioner that forces the petitioner to file a complaint in court. The Riverside County sheriff revealed the driver license as B8918595 , date of birth of the hitting driver as 05/16/1981 , address as 1106 Don Carlos Ct,

San jacinto, Ca but not the insurance number. Neither provided a report. Seems concealing an important aspect that leaves the petitioner's vehicle in pieces, obstructing justice. To this date the DMV has not responded to the report made by the petitioner.

SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (PUB. L. 107-204) Sec. 805. REVIEW OF FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES FOR OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND EXTENSIVE CRIMINAL FRAUD

"All persons are endowed with natural rights to life, liberty and property and that rulers who fail to protect those rights, may be removed by the people, by force if necessary." John Locke

PETITIONER'S RESEARCH

Concealment of the results of the petitioner research exists by different organizations at the state and federal level in spite of the Petitioner circumstances, knowing that geological destruction of the earth core is a plan on wheels by subversive movements. The petitioner decided to continue reading and decoding in spite of the continuous law enforcement assaults on the petitioner's and civilians

used to persecute and abuse the petitioner in every form.
 Notwithstanding that newspapers have stated the explosions of all mines occurred during Bush's presidential term.

Long before 2004, large groups of educated people were obviously spying on the petitioner, while the petitioner visited libraries and selected research material.

They were obvious federal workers and scriptwriters. Warner Bros vans and many other names of acting hiring company vans as well as Hollywood faces inside

Three box vehicles were circulating in Sun City, Corona, and Hemet, California and of books everywhere the petitioner sought for books and literature material to research.

The spying was obvious. Comments made on the pages read or decoded by the petitioner were made outside libraries. Sudden conversations were held by

strangers in the petitioner's surroundings, with an intentional tone for the from the petitioner to understand that was observed. The topics of the conversations were

related to the petitioner's decoding and petitioner's reading. The educated groups did not cause violence prior to 2004. Violence against the petitioner started when president Bush and the FBI agents in the petitioner's surroundings announced an

increase in troops. However, the increase around the petitioner were mobs of civilians that were not at the height of the educated research the petitioner was conducting and started to hurt the petitioner in different ways. Certain groups stated that the petitioner was not cute, nor tall enough, that the petitioner is a Jew, a canine (using the vulgar name), to hold such quality of research, that fits better in

the USS groups that includes Mexican, South Americans and others. That the research was to be presented as a team work by cops, sheriffs, teachers, etc associated with the USS-Hispanic groups., and whoever else became to watch and to know what the petitioner was doing inside the petitioner's vehicle or inside the library by the petitioner's oneself, without ever have said a word to anyone.

The depth of the research came to surface between the years 2011 and 2012. The petitioners had placed together binders with hypotheses that were not yet proved. The petitioner was seeking on how to contact the authors or relatives of the authors of the books from where the petitioner had obtained information to request permission to reproduce a page or to request further information in certain aspects of the research that required the authors of the books to divulge in depth information regarding the places they had visited and photographs as well as for the explanation in the hypothesizing. This could not take place. The petitioner started it to suffer convulsions caused by contaminated pre-made foods obtained at Hemet, Ca, WinCo's store, sodas, sandwiches, medication that forced the petitioner to collapse and lack oxygen with all the trapezium blocked as well as the Circle of Willis to prevent the passage of oxygen to the petitioner's lungs and brain. It was July 2012 when the petitioner came across deep, profound tunnels that ran under the Giza plateau. The petitioner was at the Hemet library when decoded. As soon as the petitioner arrived to L.A Planet Fitness in Hemet, California, petitioner heard that federal workers from the United States and military men from all around the world had jumped inside the Gizah pyramid, and had it started to open passages that had no end, but we're hollow throughout, and they had decided to stick to continue opening until they could find what was at the end. It was 2020 or the beginning of 2021 when the petitioner heard that finally was opened and is as a rose that opened doors to different places. Mummies, chests, gates, old artifacts, and ancient bones were among the findings the petitioner heard as found. The petitioner acknowledged, by listening, that Gizah passages presented access to different countries and different planets. That all planets, as well as all places found in the underground, have in common the United States as the center of their gates. The petitioner heard clearly that many public figures that were declared dead in the past were participating in the opening of the Gizah tunnels, including certain universities. Meanwhile, there were verbal assaults and further poisonings suffered by the petitioner. Strangers inside the gym showers were used to insult the petitioner and to speak loud that as the sound of the walls falling in Gizah, were going to be the blows around the head of the petitioner. As such, every time the petitioner walked towards her vehicle, the petitioner was awaited by the drivers of the vehicles next to the petitioner's van with all doors, hoods and trunks open flogging in unison the doors causing serious internal injuries. The petitioner's

vestibular disbalance increased and could not walk any longer without a cane, and was forced to seek medications for the imposed tinnitus. The women in the showers stated clearly that the cops and sheriffs were the owners of the research.

Using the word research. Other mobs, stated that the Russian Czar was the absolute owner of any findings and large groups of people started to use the term USS to state that the earth resources belong to USS, using extreme violent speech and floggings of vehicles around the petitioner. The petitioner was described by such mobs with profane, pornographic and vulgar language, using words that the

*The petitioner was going to be wrecked by rape and further
abuse clients.*

The petitioner heard that the ex-husband Carl Benson with all his Hollywood partners, also FBI agents, started to travel around the world publicizing the petitioner's incomplete research with his concubines, Hollywood actors and actresses on years after the Social Security office notified the petitioner that her ex husband was dead and death occurred in 2015. That among the women seen with Carl Robert Benson are the Kardashian sisters, the Lakers players, the Heat players, certain members of the Los Angeles sports team, and many others. That a special edition show of Jeopardy on TV was held with questions and answers based on the petitioner's research material. The petitioner came to know that the ex-husband, with the same group of people at the Jeopardy game and traveling around the world, attended and Univision show where they received a trophy and other recognition objects in the program "El Premio Lo Nuestro" of Univision in gratitude for the research they had presented, in which they were seen as heroes for attempting to save the earth. The petitioner holds the belief that forces that are not in human shape are the only ones capable of have allowed the petitioner to decode in such ways, words that are straight in books but have multiple meanings as well as they contain a map in each word providing exact directions and arrows pointing where a door opens or a door closes. The gratitude of researching is owed

The petitioner heard that Microsoft developed a Software program as well, based in the petitioner's Cryptographic decodings.

The 2008 change of president did not cause any changes in the petitioner's conditions. A package was sent by the petitioner to the White House informing of the potential danger in the earth as per research, stating that was a hypothesis with a multitude of authors writings that could affirm the petitioner's hypothesis. Secret Services was also contacted with the same information via Certified and Registered mail USPS. None of the federal directors of investigative agencies answered. They

Mooted the existence of the petitioner's mail. In those days, the petitioner was indirectly informed that the ex-husband was fully using the petitioner identity and professional documents in many women that the ex-husband presented as Ada Benson, especially in politicians and actress or in school districts where the

employees worked with the petitioner's transcripts and whited out the petitioner's name in the documents used. Petitioner stated that petitioner had no way to verify, but that the large groups around, all stated the same information. In the mail sent to Secret Services and the White House, the petitioner requested assistance in unveiling who the petitioner's real parents are, as discovered that was a foster child taken as an infant from the United States to Nicaragua, raised by a foster mother-rural school teacher. Through strangers' speech in the surroundings, the petitioner heard a response to the packages sent: "Did I answer to the package you sent me?" It was obvious any of the directors of the federal agencies the petitioner had contacted.

The abuse towards the petitioner was now increased by the large black mobs. The petitioner was assaulted inside the Hemet library by black men while the petitioner was reading books in French. The black men grabbed the French books and tossed them on the ground, pushing the petitioner's computer. Outside, the petitioner was insulted by black people, who were obviously directed by the large number of black presidential workers as explained in the treason section. Clearly, very invasive teenagers and not well-educated people from Honduras, South America, Asia, Mexico, surrounded the petitioner every time the petitioner was reading or decoding inside the library or anywhere, stating that now the government had handed the petitioner to Honduras. That they were paying large amounts of money to have access to see what the petitioner was doing. That they have rights to copy and see what the petitioner does because it is not small amounts of money they pay. That it is very expensive to see the petitioner's intellectual work. The abuse against the petitioner increased. People that could not understand the words in an ancient book stated aloud that they were the owners of every place the petitioner researched and called the petitioner the most denigrating vulgar names and used pornographic gestures at times demonstrating how they were planning to shove and (loud stated) rape the petitioner with intentions to break inside the petitioner's vehicle to rape. The threats have been very loud and exhibiting the gestures of masturbating in public. These non educated people used the blows of vehicles to state that to force the petitioner to "Hap pen" they blew in a form to demand further decoding. Petitioner heard that a Number 3 female worker of the Press Enterprise is among those who believe that by violence on the petitioner, information can be obtained. That the amounts paid give them the rights to torture the petitioner and see how they can transport the petitioner as prisoner to their country to enforce decoding. The petitioner has been placed as an object in which any criminal has released their inner violence. See App. XXVI.

Anyone, Anywhere approaches tries to shove, hit and run on blows, tanks and doors of Vehicles sakeaming

During the same year 2012, when the petitioner found the running tunnels under the underground of Gizah, the petitioner was very ill with paralyzed limbs as a result of three contaminated immunizations injected at 880 North State Street, Hemet, California. Furthermore, the petitioner was poisoned with harsh chemicals to affect the passage of oxygen through the body that were polluted in any food item obtained outside or any pre-made foods, as well as prescribed medications,

Intention
Forces
increase
weight
by
chemicals

that further paralyzed the petitioner limbs causing a slow walking. The petitioner's arms are to this date stiffened, unable to lift weight. During the years 2010-2018 the petitioner could hardly hold a pen, because all fingers were paralyzed. The petitioner gross and fine motor skills were completely affected, destroying the capacity of writing. The petitioner's vision was affected, generating glaucoma and cataracts. The petitioner speech was dramatically distorted due to

the extreme levels of poisoning, emitting a tone of voice that was not the usual petitioner's voice. Through anything the petitioner could purchase to eat, drink or seek relief in over the counter medication to alleviate pain, additional pollution of harsh chemicals was found. The petitioner, knowing psychology, used knowledge to rehabilitate her limbs as much as it could, under extreme levels of pain. In April

2008, the petitioner became aware that Pope Benedict was made aware of the ongoing research by the petitioner. The pope was brought to Hemet, California and driven by a Hispanic man along Lyon Street and Acacia where the petitioner was walking at the corner. As such, there have been visits in the location where the petitioner has been researching or working of famous people that are driven from a distance.

A personal goal of the petitioner has been, to seek further for who are the criminals that had the plan to destroy the core of the Earth. In that quest, the petitioner struggled with the law enforcement persecution and the federal crime of harassment in the invisible form of using anyone in the surroundings to injure in any form the petitioner that was decisive in seeking the Earth's enemies. During

the same year 2012, the petitioner began using an unknown form of the cryptographic decoding, reading in ancient books, especially books in Latin and French from ancient eras. The petitioner decoded the name of major and minor volcanoes around the Earth finding that, within the name of each volcano resided the existence of fulminating gold that was produced after the massacred victims of hatred, especially those who are from the A race, and the skin is a light beige tone, because are considered the best gold material and the best solidity for alchemical transformation. Such victims are called Jews. The despicable hatred in speech was deployed against the petitioner. The petitioner has heard speeches of hatred that were heard during the Holocaust. At times, stating that the petitioner was going to be used to make a gold bracelet and the petitioner's eyes for two red radars.

Petitioner overheard that through the petitioner's researching in the Pleiades Library online. Military found a human grinder in New Mexico and other states processing gold for trophy and jewels.

The petitioner was forced to drop the PhD in Forensic Psychology, because all the entries made by the petitioner in the bulletin boards for each class were deleted, and the whole program platform was changed a day before the finals' semester, displaying that the petitioner had failed to post, while the petitioner saw her own writing responses divided in four different people with a grade posted in the bulletin boards. A complaint to the Department of Education Board ruling such online universities did no good. Therefore, the petitioner exited the program to avoid assisting plagiarism to plagiarist students. Simultaneously, during October 2012 the petitioner was assailed by a principal of De Anza School in the San Jacinto Unified School District, California, defaming the petitioner in public files, giving negative references. The State of California EDD investigators found the San Jacinto School District statements against the petitioner as defamatory and false, providing evidence of the defamation to the internal EDD court. The petitioner obtained the evidence in 2020. Furthermore, the petitioner became, aware by the EDD investigators on the phone that the De Anza principal had other motives that were not to be in writing, to affect the petitioner such as the use of the petitioner's identity and personal documents. It seems the San Jacinto School District was also involved in the copying of the petitioner's decoding as petitioner understood with assistance from the Riverside County Sheriff Department and Hollywood actors, especially Denzel Washington who had a child in the De Anza School classroom the petitioner last worked in the San Jacinto Unified School District. This is a case sitting in Appeals Court Ninth Circuit No. 21-55549. The informants of the plagiarism have been strangers sitting at tables next to the petitioner anywhere. While the petitioner has seen many times in the surroundings, Washington, Denzel (actor) with a crew of black people in limousines with windows open or in common vehicles. It has been made clear that every word the petitioner reads is displayed in the White House screens and in each federal investigative agency throughout all these years after 2003 and probably before.

The petitioner believes that the French government became involved in the extracting of the gold from the volcanic zones, because the petitioner was fully immersed in the reading and decoding of antique French books in PDF format. While decoding volcanology, the petitioner heard that the fulminant gold was extracted by French professionals in the field of Geology, traveling in airplanes to each volcanic area. Listening that massive rocks of pure fulminant gold were

transported every day and every time the petitioner decoded a new volcano name. It came to petitioner's ears that the gold was tested and found the product of mass murders. That at each gold level value resides the race of the victims used in the production. That each caract value describes the race used to produce the metal. Meanwhile, the petitioner continued getting sicker and abused. Further ailments generated while medication was polluted with harsh inflammation chemicals.

Travels to Mexico by the petitioner have been to seek clean medicine under limited economical means. The petitioner musculoskeletal structure started to decay, causing extreme pains and limitations to function. A Luna Scan Reading reflects the extreme fragility of the petitioner's bones along the spine. The day of the Musculoskeletal exam, the petitioner heard a man stating outside the testing place:

“Do you see anything wrong outside?”

Simultaneously, as ailments have increased, the petitioner has heard that the preserved and safeguarded gold marked by the French authorities by the zones they had collected it, was overtaken during a summer assault of United States Secret Services armed men from Mexico, Nicaragua, South America and Spain among them, a double of Celine Dion (singer) relatives of Bill Gates Sr that were once revolutionaries in Central and South America, Donald Trump, the petitioner's ex-husband with all his crew, FBI workers blacks, whites, orientals, Hispanics and all had gone to distribute the gold, opening jewelry stores and designing jewels with gold and massive diamonds found.

Petitioner heard that a displacement of the Secret Service, FBI, CIA agents took place taking positions around all Europe disguised as singers, designers and politicians. Among them, Candidate in France, Le Pen, Marina, was described as a Nicaraguan from Sebaco. French president Carron was described as Max, a black seven from Nicaragua-Brazil, relative of the number 8 of President Bush. That President Donald Trump's real identity is a former professor of the petitioner, from Matagalpa, Nicaragua named Carlos Alonso, who taught Revolutionary History, and was director of the department of Education in his city. That president Trump's wife is the niece of Bill Gates Sr; Melania Polanco Gomez (Blandon). The daughter of a rural teacher in Matagalpa, Elba Blandon (or Gomez). That Elba's partner is an FBI agent, Come. Also from Matagalpa, Nicaragua. That the Trump's gold coins announced to the public are the result of the petitioner's decoding in the fulminant gold.

The pulling of the gold from the Circle of Fire in the Philippines, produced a massive social movement around the petitioner. Mobs of Philippinos terrorized the petitioner. Especially in the restroom areas where it was clearly stated that the

United States Bank was now to be under the Philippines' hands because the petitioner is the "Gold thief." The different people from the different countries the gold was extracted, were obviously sent around the petitioner to establish terror and coercion. Spain used Spanish people to state in Spanish that it is Spain the sole proprietor of the gold because it was a result of the Conquerors during the armed ships' history. That the petitioner was a Jew, that could only add another bracelet for the masses of gold. Simultaneously, students from the United Kingdom were used around the petitioner, stating that in History, any treasures were the property of their kingdom. That was not for commoners, to aspire to such richness. Doors of vehicles blown, objects tossed too close to injure, were done around the petitioner, maliciously stating that the petitioner's head was going to be under a mortar to place a ring in the queen's finger.

It has been extremely obvious that the United States federal workers were all in the same train of surveying day and night on the petitioner's doings, catching every breath while plagiarizing and abusing powers entrusted to each investigative agency. Donating the petitioner's privacy to the paid mobs to injure the petitioner. The mobs stated that they were told that the petitioner was paid to decode. That it was an obligation from the petitioner to decode and provide because the petitioner was an Extra-terrestrial that has no rights to reside on the Earth, that is the reason why the petitioner has been denied housing and work. The petitioner has struggled to survive since 2003. The petitioner doesn't know what the gold found looks like. The petitioner was very supportive economically of her foster parents. Has been unable to assist the petitioner's foster mother that is now a widow, for lack of enough resources to provide.

The petitioner continued collapsing and vertigo inhabited the petitioner's body as result of all blows of heavy objects and vehicle doors everywhere and throughout these decades the petitioner has moved around, forcing the petitioner to extreme disabilities and to suffer permanent vestibular dysfunction and with a damaged spine. The public vehicles have been used daily in inflicting health damages to the petitioner. The sirens of ambulances and paramedic units are used as soon as they come across the petitioner's vehicle swaying right and left of the petitioner's vehicle as if intending to cause an accident. Police patrols have crossed the red light and have crossed a stop sign when it is the petitioner's turn to cross, in purpose to induce a serious accident and hurt the petitioner further. Petitioner has clearly heard that a splash accident to deform the petitioner's face has been on wheels all these years to transform someone else in the petitioner and take the petitioner from an ICU unit as a prisoner per life. The history of accidents Hit and Run goes

By speakers around, seems the ex-husband has performed plastic surgery on various women with the petitioner's face calling them "Ade" and "One" "Unique" See in the white

up from 2004 to January 08, 2022. Leaving the petitioner injured, with the vehicle damaged, and refusing to pay for damages.

Petitioner was made aware, that every time the petitioner decides internally within her own silence, faxes were received by military bases in the Middle East and India and the military soldiers acted upon the faxes received verifying the content of the information, stating that findings are furthermore than what the petitioner imagines. Therefore, the petitioner proceeded to use the petitioner's imagination to help people around the world. The petitioner researched for a German recipe on how to use the desert sand to create bricks and to use the sand of the desert to make an inter-oceanic bridge. Seems the petitioner's idea was presented by president Obama and a group of black federal workers as an original idea, as it was stated loud around the petitioner. However, the legs of the bridge were not presented because they had no idea how to mount the bridge. The petitioner rushed to create a recipe for the bridge legs and design the look, using melted iron with quarry stone in massive pillars shaped to allow the melting polar waters to run smoothly as roses swaying softly at falling. The idea of a dam came as well. The military seems to have run to use the military equipment and placing heavy metal containers they stopped the water to shape the pillars from the foot of the ocean. It has come to the petitioner's knowledge that there exists a functional inter-oceanic bridge, an immigration port and a submarine station for all around the world that gives access to other oceans. The melting of the poles was another concern in geological research. The petitioner has no selfishness in the research. It is an act of nature that operates in human beings to know and to assist. Decoding is all nature's work.

In 2020, petitioner acknowledged that access to the United States from Puerto Rico Stadium Roberto Clemente, was opened through the underground after reading an article inside the petitioner's van. Decoding a French author, found that under the oldest cathedral in Italy was a prior cathedral holding ground passages to Venus. That Venus people were living over an overly heated surface, describing them as dark Middle Eastern physiognomy. The doors to the Moon were opened from Catalina Island and a very old Cathedral in South America. That the NASA flights to the moon have been a facade to the earth while destroying the Earth's atmosphere, because gates to explore the entire universe are all under the grounds of the United States. Petitioner acknowledges from people's comments that the latest gates opened are Asia, New York, Russia, India on February 10, 2022, from the Mt. San Jacinto College Menifee, California seals at the ground level. That in August 2021, the gates towards Central and South America were opened, being Hemet, and San Jacinto, California the opening doors to isolated

(Signature of Camille Flammarion)

That fine moon Palace is used by the Spanish Conquistador Robert Benson, Isabel and politicians from USA and around the world.

tribes and poor people that are now witnessing the building of Roads using the same technique as in the Middle East to increase the size of the traversing mountains.

It has come to the petitioner's ears that submarine criminal activity has been taking place. That submarines from Central America and others broke inside Dracula's Castle, and looting has taken place in different parts of the world. That from the underground, submarines have the grids marked to open any space of the earth at any time, anywhere. The names of people from Matagalpa, Nicaragua in the 1970s came as Aurora Soto, Monica Baltodano, and others inside the submarines. That through the breaking inside teh Dracula's castle in Romania holds a gate that connects Romania with the United States. That a door in Masaya, Nicaragua connects to the planet Saturn that has also been invaded and assaulted. That an armed group broke into Masaya, Nicaragua with weapons murdering people in cold blood, tossing the bodies to the volcanoes and the residents were forced to silence.

The petitioner's research has not been limited to geological research . The petitioner has heard that the University of Riverside, California students of medicine as well as other universities from around the world have benefited from practicing creating new medicine that are cures for cancer, HIV, sickle cell anemia, Hepatitis, venereal diseases, Corona Virus, Anthrax. AVA (BioThrax), Cholera. Vaxchora, Diphtheria. DTaP (Daptacel, Infanrix) , Chicken Pox, etc and that thesis have been presented by those who have watched the decoding as well as laboratories have been testing the results of the compounds based in extracts of animals cells or plants. The petitioner has come to know that the Middle East as well as many other parts of the world have created oil from the petitioner's idea of using oil tires to generate gasoline and diesel. That many blind people are now able to see and are learning to read. The petitioner thought of the fusion of gold and electricity and glass to achieve vision in the blind.

Because the petitioner lacks resources to verify the results of the petitioner's research, **the petitioner makes these declarations under the 18 US Code § 4, and the 28 U.S. Code § 1746** expecting that all researched has been for the benefit of a better society and to see justice where justice belongs.

GENOCIDE

The petitioner is of the strong conviction that the contaminating of the petitioner's blood through medications and any edibles after the petitioner was threatened by the FBI President's Bush #One man and the federal workers around since 2004, has been an intentional act of hatred, as the daily violence suffered by the petitioner includes violent attacks with the specific intent to destroy, in whole, the very existence whether national, ethnic, racial, of the petitioner, including attacks during the petitioner's performance of religious beliefs. There is Federal jurisdiction. The offense of destroying the petitioner's DNA while destroying the bone's structure of the petitioner is committed within the United States. There is also Federal extraterritorial jurisdiction when the offender is a national of the United States. **18 U.S. Code § 1091 - Genocide** " (a) Basic Offense.—Whoever, whether in time of peace or in time of war and with the specific intent to destroy, in whole or in substantial part, a national, ethnic, racial, or religious group as such—

(7)

- (8) causes serious bodily injury to members of that group;
- (9) causes the permanent impairment of the mental faculties of members of the group through drugs, torture, or similar techniques;
- (10) subjects the group to conditions of life that are intended to cause the physical destruction of the group in whole or in part;
- (11) imposes measures intended to prevent births within the group.

Section 2340A of Title 18 United States Code, prohibits torture, committed by public officials under color of law against persons within the public official's custody or control. Torture is defined to include acts specifically intended to inflict severe physical or mental pain or suffering. (It does not include such pain or suffering incidental to lawful sanctions.) The statute applies only to acts of torture committed outside the United States. There is Federal extraterritorial jurisdiction over such acts whenever the perpetrator is a national of the United States or the alleged offender is found within the United States, irrespective of the nationality of the victim or the alleged offender.

02/13/2022 At Starbucks, San Jacinto, California, threats spoken; "We can cause you a brain stroke by pressing a button." has been stated, while the crowd makes obvious that federals and others are with the eyes stacked in the petitioner's screen as petitioner is trying to complete this Petition.

The petitioner has been held in the quality of a prisoner called P.O.W by presidential and federal workers, as well as state workers that has been

*(On the street
Sleeping inside
the petitioner's
Van and
wants clean up)*

deprived of exercising a normal life without procreating further children, deprived of privacy, deprived of housing, deprived of professional work that can provide the means to survival and to raise a family. Because the petitioner is an extreme minority well known by the presidents and their federal workers, jurisdiction to prosecute criminals exist under the 18 U.S. Code § 1091

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The United States Supreme Court grant review of the Court of Appeals' decisions because the Appeals Court has clearly disregarded the usual course of judicial proceedings by establishing further bias after the federal District Court biased and stated clear negligence on violations of Human Rights clearly stated and evidenced.

The Supreme Court has stated in Mathews V. Eldridge. 424 US 319 (1976) Supra) 5, 25, 27 . In Scheuer V. Rhodes, 416 US 236 (1974) "High State officers are not absolutely immune from suits for Constitutional Violations." USAM 9-27.220.

The United States Supreme Court defined the Standard for Review "A finding is 'clearly erroneous' when, although there is evidence to support it, the reviewing court on the entire evidence is left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed. The finding in this case meets the standards. 1) There are district and appeals courts errors 2) the errors are clear and obvious 3) the errors affect substantial rights, and 4) the court's decisions seriously impair the fairness, integrity, or public reputation of the judicial proceeding. **United States v. Ríos-Hernández, 645 F.3d 456, 462 (1st Cir. 2011).**

" Over the years, the United States Supreme Court and Congress have further narrowed the scope of what constitutes treason: To be guilty, you must have the specific intent to betray the U.S. on behalf of an enemy and then commit an overt act of such betrayal."

Today, the U.S. criminal code defines sedition as part of a broad category that includes treason. The actual crime is called "seditious conspiracy." This involves **using—or planning to use—physical force against the U.S. government**, as well as efforts to "seize, take, or possess" government property, or "delay the execution of any law of the United States" by force. The punishment can be up to 20 years in prison.


CONCLUSION

The trust and confidentiality placed by the citizens of the United States in the officers representing the government at the state and federal level has been violated. Open crimes of treason have been committed by federal workers wrestling the nation and world Resources. Crime slavery and public torture have been conducted in the United States on citizens of the United States by federal and state workers ignoring and disregarding the sacred constitutional rights of our nation. Federal agencies such as FBI, CIA and Secret Services have participated in concealing the crime of planning a civil war, while placing the petitioner in the invisible position of prisoner while walking gradually the criminal profiling of the petitioner and her children to erase their crimes committed. There is clear violation of most of the Constitutional Amendments of the United States, Trespass of the 42 USC 1983, Trespassing The Chairman Antitrust Act , Trespassing Of The Clayton Act, Trespassing of The Federal Trade Commission Act, Trespassing of the ADA section 504 act and Trespassing Of Human Rights.

The District Court and the Appeals Court have biased the petitioner's cases. There has been no neutrality in the judiciary up to this date. Just as in criminal and quasi-criminal cases, an impartial decision-maker is an essential right in civil proceedings as well. "The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law. At the same time, it preserves both the appearance and reality of fairness by ensuring that no person will be deprived of his interests in the absence of a proceeding in which he may present his case with assurance that the arbiter is not predisposed to find against him." Chambers v. Florida, 309 U.S. 227 (1940), Evans v. United States, 504 U.S. 255, 265, 112 S.Ct. 1181, 1188 (1992)

This petition for a writ of certiorari should be held pending this Court's based in the U.S. Supreme Court decisions made under the protection of the 28 U.S.C. § 1292, under the protection of Rule 52(a) of the Rules of Civil and Criminal Procedure, under the United States

Respectfully Submitted


 Benson, Ada Maria (Persona Propria)
 February 14, 2022

02/14/2022

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**