

APPENDIX ONE

Appellant MOTION TO STAY MANDATE PENDING THE FILING OF A
PEITITION OF CERTIORARI IN THE SUPREME COURT

USCA11 Case: 19-11720

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

(Australian) COREY ALLAN DONALDSON, Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

GEO GROUP, INC., et.al., Defendants,

TONY NORMAND, Federal Agent (F.B.O.P.) Defendant – Appellee

**Appellant
MOTION TO STAY MANDATE
PENDING THE FILING OF A PEITITION OF
CERTIORARI
IN THE SUPREME COURT**

11th Cir. R. 41 - Fed. R. App. P. 41

Appeal from the U.S. District Court Southern District of Georgia (Waycross)

5:18-cv-00007-LGW-BWC

**CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS
AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

COMES NOW Corey Donaldson, and files the Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement as follows:

Baker, Ronald G., Attorney for Co-Defendants Brick Tripp and Tracy Johns, and Geo Inc

Baker, Hon. R. Stan, United States District Judge Blanchard, Jason W., Assistant United States Attorney

Boyle, Hon. Terrence W., United States District Judge (EDNC)

Bredenberg, Michael D., Former Attorney for Appellee

Hon. Benjamin W., United States Magistrate Judge

Christine, Bobby L., United States Attorney

Davids, Justin G., Assistant United States Attorney

James C. Stuchell, Assistant United States Attorney

Donaldson, Corey Allan, Appellant

GEO Group Inc., Co-Defendant

Johns, Tracy, Co-Defendant

Tripp, Brick, Co-Defendant

Greenwood, Nancy, Assistant United States Attorney

Jones, Hon. Robert B., United States Magistrate Judge (EDNC)

Normand, Tony, Appellee

Wood, Hon. Lisa Godbey, United States District Judge

INDEX

AUTHORITY	p. 4
THE BOTTOM-LINE	p. 4
The 11th Circuit has enforced a "Blue Code of silence" upon every single uncontested grievance raised by the Appellant to protect dirty Federal Agents.	
BACKGROUND	p. 11
THE 11TH CIRCUIT BETRAYS AUSTRALIA! It's open season upon Australians in America. Watch out!	P. 15
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES	p. 18
QUESTIONS FOR THE SUPREME COURT	p. 19
CONCLUSION	p. 22

AUTHORITY

The Appellant files this Motion under 11th Cir. R. 41-1(a), because it “*shows that a substantial question is to be presented to the Supreme Court...*”.

The Petition for Rehearing En Banc was denied on 3/3/2022, and this Motion is timely filed prior to issuance of the Mandate.

Four substantial questions shall be presented to the Supreme Court.

THE BOTTOM-LINE

The 11th Circuit has enforced a "Blue Code of silence" upon every single uncontested grievance raised by the Appellant to protect dirty Federal Agents.

This Motion requests a Stay of the Mandate pending Certiorari before the Supreme Court, because the 11th Circuit has enforced an alarming "Blue Code of silence" upon every single uncontested grievance raised by the Appellant. There's a “hear no evil see no evil” policy in force, in a protection racket to shield dirty Federal Agents and a mendacious District Judge (*named below*). Grievances with numerous cited and obvious legal redress are censored, but were they granted the slightest oxygen, do result in the Appellant easily prevailing, and the annihilation of those named.

Since 2019, the 11th Circuit has not restated, addressed, cited, reconciled, dismissed, acknowledged, ruled upon, or harmonised even one grievance raised in the Appellants Brief, nor the Reply Brief. Each grievance has been ducked, scuttled, and muted without regard.

The 11th has asphyxiated each grievance with idleness, achieving refuge in silence.

It's as if the Appellant has not filed any Briefs at all.

All grievances are abandoned, boycotted, orphaned at the doorstep.

Each grievance has become unspeakable, untouchable, rendering the 11th Circuit speechless.

No procedural errors to curtail redress have been raised by any court or Appellee.

All protocols to provide redress have been marooned by the 11th Circuit.

There is no evidence on the record that any Appellant Brief has even been read.

Everything submitted by this Appellant was rendered stillborn on arrival. It never had a chance. Nothing was ever going to penetrate the 11th Circuits cloak and dagger “Blue Ring of Steel” that hushed all evidence that condemned “the boys in Blue”.

Aren't there words for this?

The 11th Circuit has greenlit witness tampering against low security immigrants held by Geo Inc by cancelling witness testimony, abandoning exhibit evidence, and strategic apathy.

Kangaroo Court. That's the words for this.

It's what authorities don't want to talk about that deserves the most attention. Censoring means there is something worth looking at that's being covered up.

It is not lost on this Appellant that a second Petition for Rehearing En Banc containing an alarm of grievances unattended throughout this appeal, was received by the 11th Circuit fully eight months ago, and having not read the Petition, they reply with opportunity now with a one sentence denial, when the entire world is distracted by a war in Ukraine. Very smooth. The point of an En Banc Petition is that all active Judges in the Appeals Court review the case.

That all active Judges reviewed the unattended grievances in this case and chose to continue the cover up for and on behalf of dirty Federal Agents and a Mendacious District Judge, speaks to the saturation of scandal infesting this court.

The names of the polemical Wild-West Judge-culprits in the East, who signed off on the cover up outlined in this Motion, and have earned a righteous Australian rebuke, are:

Hon. William H. Pryor Jr. - Chief Judge

Hon. Charles R. Wilson

Hon. Adalberto Jordan

Hon. Robin S. Rosenbaum

Hon. Jill A. Pryor

Hon. Kevin C. Newsom

Hon. Elizabeth L. Branch

Hon. Britt C. Grant

Hon. Robert J. Luck

Hon. Barbara Lagoa

Hon. Andrew L. Brasher

That they would enrich themselves with the prefix Ho. (Honourable) is really *taking the mickey* sardonically too far. Where is the honour in any of this?

What is the point of an appeal where the Judges arrange a "Blue Code of silence" to muzzle each grievance raised, resigning those grievances into the dense abyss of the Rabbit Hole?

The 11th Circuit expects respect as a natural inheritance, but to give none is its nature. The thing is, respect is nobody's natural inheritance, least of all someone who is a politically appointed to posture a slant. Respect comes from facing the cabal, not joining it, service to

others, representing the people, protesting frauds when it is enmeshed in the fabric of those around you, and being willing to pay a price to these ends.

The impeached Judges here have quit the opportunity to garner respect on all grounds, have forfeited credibility, choosing fraud over humanity, supporting human rights abuses over vindication of those abuses.

You failed. You failed as honest Judges. All of you.

The hard lesson is that it is only the appearance of due process and access to courts that matter, not actual due process and access. This is accomplished by allowing a Plaintiff or Appellant to file a case, and filing is the only result that is possible, because nothing is actually read. It's a futile enterprise, a cunning pageant designed to fool the world that the 11th Circuit upholds Constitutional rights, while it routinely gags a breach of those rights in cigar lit mahogany dens with untouched rare book gathering dust, Cognac on the air and reluctant Butlers ushering in the throng of favour-seekers, hangers-on and illiterate Clerks, one imagines. The 11th says nothing and does nothing when confronted with uncontested evidence of Federal Agents gone rogue. To support the illusion of due diligence, the 11th Circuit hosts a stage with malice, actors, costumes, scripts, treatments, blocking, showman, make up, while employing intransigence as its muse and recalcitrance as its own personal Jesus. None of it is real. Now that I'm wise to the toothless pantomime featuring pusillanimous Judicial solipsism and coordinated mutes, there's a whistle to blow about the purchased honest lie that is the 11th's version of conniving American Judge-Craft, where Judges openly abrogate with glee.

Weather the 11th Circuit has been ordered to stand down by the United States Department of Justice, or they have resigned on their own punitive initiative, or have been otherwise bought, the scoreboard reflects the efforts of corruption being a team

sport so that *State sponsored terror against captives of the State* could prevail with indifference.

Human rights violations under color of law upon Australians by American Brass warrant being treated as equally as if an American was the target. But no. The Judicial bent at the 11th dictates that Australians are subhuman knuckle-dragging creatures of the proletariat, unworthy of regard in an American court where the “Blue Curtain” is GOD. That’s the showbusiness that sells tickets at the 11th.

When the two-faced United States has a grievance about human rights events in Ukraine, but suffocates its own sponsored human rights abuses against an Australian on its own soil by annulling stewardship, access and due process, there’s no need to be concerned about the pesky civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibiting discrimination on the basis of national origin. *“Nothing to see here folks. Keep moving.”*

THE DUTY OF CARE TOWARDS INTEGRITY HAS BEEN FORSAKEN AT THE 11TH.

CHRIST could have authored Briefs on my behalf, but to no more avail than my own authorship. Authorship is moot when the product is discarded into the scrap heap to form dust and barnacles upon receipt.

To provide further proof, later in this Motion I will dare the 11th Circuit to charge me with perjury. They will recoil in fright, as will the craven US Attorney for Southern Georgia, who’s office hosts a poltroon culture.

The Appellant must now awkwardly ask the Supreme Court to do what the 11th Circuit has defaulted upon; address the grievances preserved for and raised on appeal for the first time.

It's one thing for a party in a case to be told they are legally wrong on an issue, but in this case, the answer to every question is hush hush from the 11th Circuit, nothing, zero, there's just vacant space everywhere reconciliation ought to be.

"Silence in the face of evil is itself evil: Not to speak is to speak. Not to act is to act."
Dietrich Bonhoeffer.

Who benefits from the 11th Circuits silence upon uncontested evidence?

Behind the scenes, the submission of the present case resulted in the closure of two Geo Inc prisons by the F. B.O.P. At Geo Inc's D. Ray James Prison in Folkston Georgia, dirty Warden Tracy Johns lost his job, and at Geo Inc's Rivers Correctional Facility in North Carolina, dirty Warden Brick Tripp lost his job. F.B.O.P Federal Agent and traitor to the United States, Tony Normand lost his job at D. Ray James Prison.

When prisons get shut down and Wardens lose their jobs and an FBOP Agent gets kicked out of his job, because they were all caught in violence upon foreigners, through one Australian's lawsuit, there's a word for that.

Reliable sources also reveal the demotion of Assistant US Attorney James C. Stuchell as the Chief of the Civil division at the US Attorney's Office for Southern Georgia (*It is uncontested that Stuchell committed 18 USC 205 felonies on the Appellant record, unlawfully representing the interests of Geo Inc and impersonating a Geo Inc Attorney without standing or notice. However, Stuchell remains the Attorney of record for Normand. That's the custom of integrity on show by the US Attorney for Southern Georgia and the 11th Circuit*), and has been replaced with a new Chief of the Civil Division, Assistant US Attorney Shannon Heath Statkus.

The full bird US Attorney Bobbie L. Christie for the Southern District of Georgia at the time of Stuchells fraud upon the court, actually signed off on Stuchells violation of 18 USC 205,

on the record. Once this Appellant brought this to the attention of this court, this court did nothing, but Christie lost his position at Southern Georgia, and was transferred elsewhere, straight out of the Catholic Church playbook – where transferring the problem is the answer. In a cruel twist of fate, Stuchell is now responsible for answering criminal appeals from those in prison. That's right, the man who committed uncontested crimes on the Appellant record in the present case, crimes the 11th Circuit refused to address or deny, crimes worthy of imprisonment, is now helping to keep others in prison. You could not make this stuff up. All this, but the 11th Circuit enforces an organised "Blue Code of silence" upon the whole of it, carefully NOT restating any of the presented grievances, as if the Appellant had not submitted any Briefs at all, violating the court's own Misprision of felony statutes, and others, in the process (18 USC 4).

Two private prisons close down from participating in a kidnapping, Warden lose their jobs, an Assistant US Attorney is demoted for lawless conduct on the record, and the 11th's position is, *"nothing to see here folks. Keep moving."*

All equally positioned cases coming out of the 11th Circuit contain a restatement of the grievances, but NOT in the present case! Such a restatement would breach the "Blue Curtain", exposing those "in Blue", involved in lawlessness.

Had I not experienced this authorised void, I would not have believed that such idleness upon uncontested evidence by the 11th Circuit was even an option. But deafness is now exposed as a method which applies cover for Federal Agents and a District Judge thumbing their nose at the law.

Is it any wonder that Justice of the Supreme Court Sotomayor warned of the *'Troubling Tableau' in 11th Circuit's Prisoner Cases?*

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/06/15/us/politics/appeals-courts-habeas-corpus-prisoners.html>

So, who benefits? Who *enjoys the silence*?

Geo Inc.

Warden Tracy Johns.

Warden Brick Tripp.

F.B.O.P Federal and traitor Agent Tony Normand.

SDGA Assistant US Attorney and traitor James C. Stuchell.

Former US Attorney for Southern Georgia, Bobbie L. Christie

Upon the downfall of Stuchell, SDGA AUSA Shannon Heath Statkus got promoted to Chief.

District Judge Lisa G. Wood.

The United States does not have to explain their dirty Agents and dirty Judges.

Who has the power to cover it up?

The 11th Circuit Court of Appeals!

Now the 11th Circuit does not have to explain how dirty federal actors are able to prosper on their watch, within their jurisdiction.

BACKGROUND

The 11th Circuit Court of Appeals is a sanctuary, providing cover through “Blue” silence upon the evidence on behalf of Geo Inc, dirty Federal Agents and a dirty district court.

But first, some background.

From the Appellant Brief p.3-4

Former (low security) inmate, (Australian) Appellant Corey Donaldson was held at a Geo Inc Prison, D. Ray James Correctional Facility in Folkston Georgia in 2015 (doc. 18,19 Amended Complaint).

Corey Donaldson had penned a letter to the New York Stock Exchange detailing the abuses of Geo Inc, who is listed on its exchange (doc. 18 Exhibit A). This letter was paid for and sent by certified US mail using the US mail system provided by the D. Ray James prison (doc.18 Exhibit B).

It was later determined, by verifying the tracking number, that the letter was never sent out by Geo Inc's D. Ray James Correctional Facility. The letter had been destroyed and US mail fraud had been committed by Geo Inc. This fact has never been contested.

Despite protests lodged by inmate Donaldson, his complaints fell on deaf ears.

Inmate Donaldson later commenced a hunger strike in protest of US mail fraud, and detailed the reasons to Warden Tracy Johns who had supervision over the handling of US mail inside the prison (doc.18 Exhibit C).

Inmate Donaldson was immediately placed in the HOLE, and in retaliation and punishment for a free speech hunger strike, was denied grievance procedures, showers, shaving, toothpaste, tooth brush, telephone access, all legal files, legal library, and severe drinking water restrictions for fifteen straight days, as the hunger strike endured.

During these fifteen days Donaldson was visited several times by Bureau of Prisons Agent Tony Normand, whereupon he issued physical threats in punishing retaliation for Donaldson's free speech hunger strike (doc.18 p10-11), not the least of which was to force inmate Donaldson from the prison.

At no time had Donaldson been accused of any rule infractions relating to the events of this case. But when Donaldson persisted in noting the federal criminal infractions of his overseers, such notation were met with wrath.

After fifteen straight days on the hunger strike, Tony Normand made good on his punishing retaliation threats. Donaldson was hogtied in chains and inserted into the rear of a Geo Inc van and kidnapped across three state lines with a deliberate "rough ride" for 11-12 hours, to another Geo Inc facility, Rivers Correction Facility in North Carolina. This trafficking occurred outside of the normal Bureau of Prisons transport network.

Official reasons for the kidnapping were fabricated as being for "adjustment purposes" (doc. 18 p13-14), resulting in the deliberate falsification of federal documents.

Had the reasons for the kidnapping been reflected accurately they would have reported thusly, RETALIATION KIDNAPPING FOR INMATES' FREE SPEECH HUNGER STRIKE WHO WAS PROTESTING DESTRUCTION OF CERTIFIED US MAIL.

The Amended Complaint (doc.18,19) details the willing coordination of Geo Inc, Warden Tracy Johns at D. Ray James Correctional Facility, Warden Brick Tripp at Rivers Correctional Facility and Federal Agent Tony Normand, who was the ring leader.

No timely admissible evidence has ever been presented in this case by any party to dispute the background or exhibits or "cruel and unusual punishment" facts here presented (Amended Complaint (doc.18,19)).

There has been no "finding of facts" in this case by the District Court in Southern Georgia.

NOTE: The issues raised herein are also workplace issues because Donaldson was required to work as an employee for Geo Inc. as an inmate.

This testimony was supported by exhibits and affidavits.

From the Appellant Brief p.24-25

NOTORIOUS D. RAY JAMES PRISON

Under Tony Normand's watch as the BOP guardian at D. Ray James Prison (where the events at issue in this Appellants Complaint occurred), in 2016 The Office of Inspector General released a Review of the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Monitoring of Contract Prisons:

(<https://oig.justice.gov/reports/2016/e1606.pdf>)

That review revealed that in 2012 the BOP issued a "CURE NOTICE" to D. Ray James prison due to the sheer volume of "significant performance issues on its contract".

After that CURE NOTICE was issued, the human rights abuses cited in this Appellants Complaint took place (doc.18, 19). And yet, when the evidence is presented in the District Court for Southern Georgia, ALL the evidence is omitted and concealed from review, and remains uncontested by any party with admissible evidence.

From the 2016 OIG Review: "We found that, in most key areas, contract prisons incurred more safety and security incidents per capita than comparable BOP institutions and that the BOP needs to improve how it monitors contract prisons in

several areas.”

Knowing the OIG report criticised the BOP for its monitoring deficiencies in contract prisons, the Courts are all that remain for redress. But when even the Court omits and conceals review of the exhibited evidence and acts without jurisdiction to dismiss a Plaintiff, what is to be done, except coax intervention by the Eleventh Circuit?

The crimes committed by Geo Inc staff against low security immigrants are violent and worse than the low-level non-violent offences they are being held for.

Covering up the witness testimony of these events by the 11th Circuit is sadistic, and an act of violence against Australia.

Geo Inc has been instructively quite about this case, but is keen to address other public issues that affect them on their phony new alliance with other immigrant abusers at <https://day1alliance.org/>.

Given the exposure of Geo Inc in these events, is it a surprise they would block me on twitter without my initiating twitter contact whatsoever?

GEO Group News Team
@WeAreGeo

You're blocked

You can't follow or see @WeAreGeo's Tweets. [Learn more](#)

There is a Petition for Writ of Mandamus by this Appellant before the 6th Circuit Court of Appeals (<https://dockets.justia.com/docket/circuit-courts/ca6/21-5739>) where the Federal government is doing all they can to suppress their refusal to investigate uncontested industrial-scale sex crimes at Core Civics' McRae Correctional Facility in Georgia, though they are mandated to do so under Qui Tam provisions.

This story has been covered by the Guardian: <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/may/29/private-prison-staff-voyeurism-corecivic-georgia>

I have invited that court to charge me with perjury, since I am the chief eye witness. They cannot do that because they know my testimony is legitimate, but they will not review the evidence either, if they are anything like the 11th Circuit.

Do apples taste differently when they fall from the same tree?

"Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will." Frederick Douglass

THE 11TH CIRCUIT BETRAYS AUSTRALIA!
It's open season upon Australians in America. Watch out!

The United States SPITS on Australia!

What does it say about the way the United States views its alliance with Australia, when its own Federal Agents order violence upon an Australian Citizen in thug retaliation for free speech, and its Federal Judges organise silence upon the whole of it?

What would it be like if the United States saw Australia as a nothing, as an enemy?

Australians are a nothing to the United States, a non-entity, a "smudge of excrement", as demonstrated by the 11th Circuit.

The alliance is false.

Human rights of Australians in America do not exist.

Australia and Australians are expendable to the United States.

Australians do not qualify for regard by American courts, being relegated to subhuman status.

This Foreign Relations insight alerts all travelling Australians to the fact that while the United States has civil rights laws to protect freedom, when they are violated by rampaging Federal Agents, actors from the highest courts in the land will mobilise to annihilate the vindication of those rights, no matter what further laws must be shredded to do so.

It is now unsafe for any Australian to be in the United States.

It's open season upon Australians in America. Watch out!

American law is a costume. Nothing is real. Everything is false.

How Appellant Judges regard the violation of the rights of one Australian by multiple Federal actors, it's a reflection of how they value all Australians.

"...when you did it to one of the least of these my brothers and sisters, you were doing it to me!"

Little by little, this is how America will forfeit its alliances and credibility globally. The internal elements of deceit that permit what has not occurred in this case bleed into everything else, penalising anyone who is not American, soiling views towards American Brass, and the world is wising up.

America is losing friends among the common man and woman on the street in foreign lands because they resent the coercion, bullying, injustice, and double-talk that America wields over their families and leaders. Privately, foreign leaders posing as allies are expanding their hatred for American Brass because of a pervasive culture manifest in US foreign policy, so duplicated in the present case, which states – ADVANTAGE FOR ONLY AMERICA – everyone else can get stuffed.

You cannot go on calling someone an ally while treating them as a street trash.

"In the end, we will remember not the words of our enemies, but the silence of our friends."
MLK Jr.

US power and influence is on the rapid decline on the world stage, having forfeit the initiative and surrendering morality at each opportunity. The word of American Brass is increasingly devalued. Correction, it's not devalued, it's worth zilch. The jig is up. Whenever American Brass propose a costume of words, "I give you my word.", that is code to mean the

exact opposite of the rehearsed monologue, stage front. I witnessed this trick employed time and again by gum chewing Brass like Tony Normand in ghetto Concentration Camps ruled by Geo Inc and Core Civic.

The United States is on track to claim superpower status for the shortest time ever in history because the dark elements that have propped it up are becoming exposed more and more, and the world is sick of it. Nations that were formally at odds, are forming alliances in their mutual nausea towards the United States, and the events in the present case make such alliances the more credible.

My only redeeming thought for America is the few Americans I know and don't know, who I love, who are conscious of the misdeeds in their courts and the double dealing of its Brass. Were it not for those few good people, those few wonderful Americans interested in a just world of peace and harmony, America would be destitute. I thank those Americans now, they know who they are, for the cause they represent, and that were it not for them, Sodom might be reduced to salt.

ALERT: This lawsuit is representative of the hundreds of lawsuits that have been filed by low security Immigrant Inmates from Geo Inc's D. Ray James Prison in Folkston Georgia. The District Court for South Georgia under Judge Lisa G. Wood has guaranteed that Geo Inc has prevailed every single time, even if she has to fabricate evidence to shield Federal Agents, as she has done in the present case. No matter what horror of human rights abuses are testified to, Judge Wood rules within a bent wrist over a fictional enterprise masquerading as being real, with cover from the 11th Circuit. No matter what abuse is proven, it is all covered up under the "Blue Curtain", while America calls itself the protector of worldwide human rights values.

All crimes against Immigrants at Geo Inc are dissolved by the 11th Circuit. That's the order.

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

Since the 11th Circuit shrouds the uncontested grievances of this case in silence, I am compelled to ask the Supreme Court to do the job assigned to the 11th Circuit, and attend the grievances lawfully presented by this Appellant.

Results show that no matter what uncontested evidence is presented, which procedures are followed, how much respect is shown, how much courtesy is displayed, how wordsmithing is imprinted, or which bulletproof legal applications are cited, that a tunnel-vision pact is in force by this court to uphold an organised siege of silence upon on the whole of it.

This Court has not restated, reconciled, addressed, dismissed, or cited even one of the four uncontested grievances raised in this appeal. Not one.

Why?

Because addressing the uncontested grievances preserved for and raised on appeal leads to exposure for the protected, resulting in the in imprisonment of Federal Agent Tony Normand for kidnapping, imprisoning an Assistant US Attorney James C. Stuchell for breaching conflict of interest statues, and lay bare sitting District Court Judge Lisa G. Wood who manufactured evidence on behalf of a kidnapping Federal Agent.

The admissible evidence in this case does not dispute any of these facts. There is only silence. All the facts around these matters remain both uncontested and unattended by this court or by any defendant. Instructively, no court has even provided a 'finding of facts', (mandated by Rule 52 (a) (1)), just so that the uncontested facts would not be acknowledged upon the record.

Rather than face the uncontested facts head on, this court has selected to shrink behind silence upon every single grievance raised on appeal by this Appellant, in order to avoid the inevitable result.

There's a word for that.

What is it called when 11th Circuit Court of Appeals Judges arrange complete silence on uncontested grievances raised by an Appellant, where if they ruled on the uncontested grievances, would imprison a Federal Agent for kidnapping, imprison an Assistant US Attorney for breaching conflict of interest statues, and expose a sitting District Court Judge of manufacturing evidence to support a kidnapping Federal Agent?

There's a word for that.

What is it?

Either my testimony in within my Affidavits must be honoured, or I must be charged for perjury! CHARGE ME WITH PURJURY. I DARE YOU! No? I thought so.

But the empty shirt of this court does not have the minerals to charge me with perjury because it knows my testimony is authentic, and all its efforts to silence the facts in this case would be for nought were they to go public with anything.

QUESTIONS FOR THE SUPREME COURT

Although versions of these questions have been preserved for and raised on appeal, the 11th Circuit has been silent upon all versions, unwilling to decide upon the conflicts, leaving facts and law uncalibrated. The questions are here recast again for clarity.

The full legal analysis was included again in the second En Banc Petition filed on June 29th 2021. But since that document was subject to the “Blue Curtain” of silence, I do not resubmit the legal grievances here in their entirety, doing so would be futile. However, the questions posed for the Supreme Court below organically contain the ingredients of the unattended legal conflicts.

ONE

When acting on the orders of Federal Agent Tony Normand to commit a kidnapping in retaliation for First Amendment speech, and acting as contract US Postal workers (39 USC 1008 (a)(b), 39 USC 102 (5), 39 USC 404 (1), 39 USC 404 (4), 39 USC 102, 404, 409, 1011, and 2805,) and where here is no other remedy, is a private prison and staff liable under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S. Ct. 1999 (1971)?

(That a free speech retaliation kidnapping occurred against the Appellant while hogtied in chains in the back of a van across three States, is undisputed by any court or defendant.)

TWO (Integrity of courts issue conflicting with due process & access to courts)

Is it permissible that District Judge Lisa G. Wood fabricated evidence on the record (This is undisputed by defendant Normand) for defendant Federal Agent Tony Normand in order to allow an untimely second Answer outside the jurisdiction of the originating District Court in North Carolina, violating jurisdiction and Civil Rule 12 (g) (2), which unlawfully thwarted plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgement, overruling *Ferens v. John Deere Co.* 494 U.S. 516 (1990) and *Rojas v. Florida*, 285 f.3d 1339, 1341 (11th Cir. 2002) PUBLISHED?

THREE

Judge Lisa G. Wood's unsupported claim (upon which the Panel has been silent) that "kidnapping is an act, not an injury" conflicts with the published opinion that kidnapping is a crime of violence because of its connection to injury (*USA V. Gillis* 11th Cir 2019). **Is kidnapping an injury or not?**

FOUR

Is it permissible for Assistant US Attorney James C. Stuchell to commit 18 USC 205 crimes on the Appellant record without consequence?

That Assistant US Attorney James C. Stuchell committed 18 USC 205 crimes on the Appellant record (*This event and applicable laws are undisputed*) for and on behalf of Geo Inc., without consequence, conflicts with heretofore stated 11th Circuit Rules and Criminal Statutes. Assistant US Attorney James C. Stuchell, in his Appellee Brief (p.17), impersonated as an attorney for Geo Inc, represented the interests of Geo Inc in court documents without a Notice of Appearance, without standing, without permission, in a case the United States has an interest in; clearly a textbook violation of 18 USC 205.

THE 11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS REFUSES TO RESTATE, ADDRESS, CITE, RECONCILE, DISMISS, ACKNOWLEDGE, RULE UPON, OR HARMONISE EVEN ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS. EACH QUESTION HAS BEEN DUCKED, SCUTTLED AND MUTED WITHOUT REGARD.

As it stands now, the 11th Circuit has issued a premature Sua Sponte Remand order on an insignificant matter without mentioning or reconciling the presented conflicts of law. It is uncontested that conflicts were preserved for and raised on appeal, leaving this Appellant awkwardly with no alternative but to seek due process from the Supreme Court with its own original review of the District Court for Southern Georgia's inaction.

If this case is remanded without these questions being settled, they will be forfeited, which is the fraudulent plan of the 11th Circuit.

With no other option, this Appellant will request the Supreme Court to Remand back to the 11th Circuit to develop the record on these questions for the first time; and to ORDER the application of *Ferens v. John Deere Co. 494 U.S. 516 (1990)* to the second question

presented, relating to Tony Normand, because it "is Supreme Court precedent, and we are bound to follow it", (Greater Birmingham Ministries et al v. Secretary of State for The State of Alabama 11th Cir 2021 En Banc).

For a full discussion on the unattended legal conflicts and authorities presented, please see the Appellant Brief, the Reply Brief, or the Petition for Rehearing En Banc summarizing each unattended grievance, filed by this Appellant on June 29th 2021.

CONCLUSION

This Motion will be summarily denied by this court because it will not be read at all. As a result of cowardice, the single Judge-author of the denial may not be named. However, I must submit this document for procedural purposes, because I cannot approach the Supreme Court unless I do. Upon the denial of this Motion for Stay of the Mandate, it can be renewed in the Supreme Court under its Rule 23, and will be so done.

Other than all of the Appellant grievances being wholly ignored, how else do I know this Motion will not be read? On page 10-11 of the Reply Brief, I included a sex crime alert in red, where in I announced knowledge of sex crimes

18 USC 4 SEX CRIME ALERT: I possess documented proof of sex crimes by senior Geo Inc staff with senior staff witnesses, and the Geo Inc falsification of government documents to cover it up. What can I do with this proof when Geo Inc has sanctioned immunity for crime, and evidence of crimes submitted to a Federal Judge are rendered stillborn on arrival? Due to documented retaliation already submitted and ignored, it is wholly unsafe to submit evidence of crime to Judge Lisa G. Wood. What are my options?

Why is this relevant?

Tony Normand's lawyers are the Department of Justice responsible for prosecuting such crimes, and the Judges reading the document are responsible for Judging such crimes through 18 USC 4.

Not one of them asked a single question or made any comment at all about these sex crimes.

The Reply Brief, and the Appellant Brief was not read.

There is no law if that law threatens the "Blue Code".

The law only exists for those outside the "Blue Curtain".

Nevertheless, here is the conclusion:

It is appropriate in a grant of justice that a STAY be granted because:

1. Substantial questions are presented for the Supreme Court.
2. The facts surrounding these questions are not in dispute.
3. The 11th Circuit has been unwilling to attend these questions without cause given.
4. This Motion for Stay outlines a case of inequity, satisfying *Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 255 (1936), going forward because:
 - a. Remand to the district court has already been decided without addressing these substantial questions.
 - b. Deciding these questions before Remand takes place eliminates the need for a trial upon Remand with defendant Normand because Summary Judgement is due.
 - c. Defendants Geo Inc and named staff will be regrafted into this lawsuit if the facts and law are applied, but will get away with retaliation federal kidnapping if the facts and law are not applied.
 - d. The Appellants right to appeal (FRAP 3 & 4) has been compromised because substantial grievances preserved and raised remain unattended by the 11th Circuit.

This Motion demonstrates good cause for stay of mandate, because the undisputed facts, laws, and grievances preserved for and raised on appeal by the Appellant have not been attended by the 11th Circuit, amounting to a denial of due process and access to courts for the Appellant. The Appellant will ask the Supreme Court to decide legal conflicts on an original basis, since the 11th Circuit has been unwilling do so, without cause given.

I sincerely request a Stay of the Mandate for ninety days or until the Supreme Courts final disposition, without a bond or security, as this Appellant has IFP status before this court.


There is a reasonable expectation that, upon Supreme Court review, the facts and substantial law of this case will result in reversal of the judgements of the District Court for Southern Georgia. There is no other supportable decision possible, once the facts have been calibrated with the record, Supreme Court Precedent, and 11th Circuit Published Opinions.

The world is a worse place today.

The world is less because of the 11th.

Humanity has groaned.

Sincerely Submitted,



Corey Donaldson

PS The result of what this Motion discloses means that immigrants will continue to suffer violence at the hands of Judge Lisa G. Wood, Tony Normand, James C. Stuchell and Geo Inc.

PPS I apologise to you now, my immigrant friends still in custody. I have failed you, for the moment. I have persisted in this fight for seven years, combatting dismissal after dismissal, having been resurrected several times with a legal prowess granted to me from the Gods, reversing those dismissals, only to be rebuffed by the dirtiest of Cops who feign Judgeship at the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals. Nevertheless, on to the Supreme Court we will go, and beyond. I will not give up, for your sakes. Perhaps it will take another seven years? Giddy-up. That is unless the CIA has other arrangements in place?

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

March 9th 2022 – Australian time.

RE: 19-11720

March 9th 2022 a copy of this Motion goes in the mail to:


ATTORNEY FOR TONY NORMAND

James C. Stuchell
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
Post Office Box 8970
Savannah, GA 31401
USA

&

ATTORNEY FOR GEO INC, BRICK TRIPP & TRACY JOHNS

Attorney Ronald Baker
Post Office Box 1027
Kitty Hawk, NC 27959-1027
USA



APPENDIX TWO

Stay of Mandate DENIED by the 11th Circuit.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-11720-GG

COREY ALLAN DONALDSON,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

GEO GROUP, INC., et.al.,

Defendants,

TONY NORMAND,
Federal Agent (F.B.O.P.)

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Georgia

ORDER:

The motion of Appellant for stay of the issuance of the mandate pending petition for writ of certiorari is DENIED.

DAVID J. SMITH
Clerk of the United States Court of
Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

ENTERED FOR THE COURT - BY DIRECTION