

NO 22-\_\_\_\_\_

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

KARIM CHRISTIAN KAMAL, Petitioner


v.

JOSEPH FARROW et al, Respondent

---

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---



### **APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

To the Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Pursuant to this court's Rules 13.5 Petitioner Karim Christian Kamal prays for a sixty (60) day extension of time, up to and including Monday, May 28, 2022 within which to file his petition for writ of certiorari in this court.

### **JUDGMENT FOR WHICH REVIEW IS SOUGHT**

The judgment for which review is sought is case No 20-55065, Kamal v. Joseph Farrow et al, (September 23, 2021) (Attached as Exhibit 1).

The Ninth Circuit denied Applicant's timely filed petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc on December 28, 2021. (Attached as Exhibit 2).

### **JURISDICTION**

The jurisdiction of this court is to be invoked under 28 U.S.C. ¶1254(1).

In accordance with Rules 13.3, 13.5 of this Court, the petition is being filed more than ten days in advance of the filing date for the petition for a writ of certiorari, which is March 28, 2022.

### **REASONS JUSTIFYING AN EXTENSION OF TIME**

#### **1- Grounds for Certiorari Exist.**

This present case presents a federal issue worthy of a presentation to this court in a petition for writ of certiorari as there is a disagreement between the Ninth Circuit and the Second and the Eight Circuits as to whether attorneys' fees and court costs incurred as a result of a fraud constitute RICO economic damages? Also, does the right to seek compensation from administrative boards constitute a property right for RICO purposes that was impaired and interfered with by Respondent? (Interference with economic prospective advantage)

Did the Ninth Circuit erred by ruling that petitioner, an Arab-American immigrant, is not part of a protected status despite a recent bill signed into law by Governor Newsom forbidding discrimination based on national origins, and therefore that petitioner is not entitled to damages?

2- The need for an extension of time.

- a) Yet petitioner was in proper in the RICO action, his attorney in the previous underlying actions related to the present RICO case, and sister, will substitute should this Court grant the writ for certiorari. Petitioner and his attorney's father is critically ill. He lives in Morocco where petitioner's attorney went 4 weeks ago. Petitioner's sister has requested petitioner to urgently book a flight to visit their father. Petitioner is in the process of fulfilling the requirements of the Moroccan authorities as regard the vaccination mandate in order to enter the country of Morocco.
- b) Petitioner recently discovered that one of an important judicial figure involved both directly and indirectly in the underlying actions, including the present RICO action, had to submit her resignation from the bench to governor of California Gavin Newsom. Petitioner is currently gathering more information as to the role played by said judicial figure in defeating and/or influencing the outcome of all of petitioner's lawsuits.
- c) The present RICO case, which is particularly complex due to the nature of the issues raised and the involvement of certain governmental actors would have gone unnoticed if it were not for petitioner's dedication to pursue justice. Despite the adversity, petitioner never gave up the hope to see justice prevail. Petitioner sincerely hopes and believe that, in the end, the Supreme Court Justices, will take a look at this case of corruption, almost unique given certain facts, will unify the way federal courts rule in order to prevent miscarriages of justice and stop the bleeding on our roads, as Secretary of Transportation Peter Buttigieg has denounced. As well, as recently stated by Chief Justice Roberts in his annual report, there are problems within federal courts judges i.e. conflicts of interested and other issues that must be urgently addressed in order to restore public confidence in our judicial system.

**WHEREFORE**, Applicant-Petitioner respectfully requests that this Court grant an extension of 60 days, up to including Monday, may 28, 2022, within which to file a petition for writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted on March 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022

Karim C. KAMAL  
Petitioner.

