

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

JOSHUA COLEMAN GDC#1030413, X MOTION FOR AN
PLAINTIFF X EXTENSION OF

X TIME TO FILE A

Y

X PETITION FOR A

X WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

OFFICER MCGHEE, X

WARDEN SPRAYBERRY, X

UNIT MANAGER IULA,

DEFENDANTS

PURSUANT TO U.S. SUPREME COURT RULE 13.5 PLAINTIFF MOVES
FOR AN ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI. IN SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION, PLAINTIFF STATES:

BASIS FOR JURISDICTION: THIS MOTION FOR EXTENSION IS
FILED PURSUANT TO THE 1ST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL AFFIRMING THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN
DISTRICT OF GEORGIA'S DISMISSAL OF MAY 42 U.S.C. 1983
COMPLAINT ON JANUARY 23, 2022.

IDENTIFY THE JUDGMENT SOUGHT TO BE REVIEWED,

THE 11TH CIRCUIT AFFIRMED THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT'S DISMISSAL

OF MY 1983 COMPLAINT FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM WITHIN

THE SCREENING PROCESS. I TOLD THEM THE PROBLEM. THEY

JUST DENIED ME HAVING COUNSEL THEN EXPECTED ME TO

PLEAD MY CASE ON THE LEVEL OF A LAWYER WHEN I AM A

POOR, INSUFFICIENTLY EDUCATED, INEXPERIENCED PRISONER.

ALSO, IF I WOULD HAVE HAD AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING LIKE I RE-

QUESTED MY CLAIM WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FOUND SPECULA-

TIVE OR THE DRAWING OF LEGAL CONCLUSIONS. THE DENIAL

OF COUNSEL WAS ALSO UNEAR-DUE TO A LAW LIBRARY DE-

PRIVATION I AM SUFFERING AT HAYS STATE PRISON.

DUE TO THEM OVERALL STATING THAT MY PLEADINGS WERE

INSUFFICIENT AND DENYING ME COUNSEL AS WELL AS AN EVIDEN-

TIARY HEARING THE U.S. COURTS HAVE HEREBY RENDERED THE

POOR PRO SE PRISONER'S 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO ACCESS THE

COURT MEANINGLESS.

SPECIFIC REASONS WHY AN EXTENSION OF TIME IS JUSTI-

FIED; I AM NOT WELL STUDIED ON THE ISSUES I AM TRYING

TO PRESENT DUE TO A DEFICIENCY IN LAW LIBRARY ACCESS

WHICH I AM SUFFERING AT HAYS STATE PRISON. I BROUGHT THIS

ISSUE TO THE U.S. DISTRICT & 11TH CIRCUIT COURT'S ATTENTION

WHEN I MOTIONED TO BE APPOINTED COUNSEL,

THE LAW LIBRARY IS SUPPOSED TO PROVIDE 2 HOURS WEEKLY,

ACCORDING TO STANDARD OPERATION PROCEDURE, WHEN WE

ARE HOUSED IN GENERAL POPULATION, BUT ~~IF~~ WE ONLY

ACCESS THE LAW LIBRARY HALF OF THE TIME NORMALLY AND

DURING THE COVID EPIDEMIC IT HAS DECREASED TO ABOUT

ONCE EVERY 2 MONTHS,

THE LAW LIBRARY IS SUPPOSED TO PROVIDE US WITH 2

CASES PER WEEK (PER S.O.P.) WHEN WE ARE IN SE-

GREGATION BUT THEY SEEM TO ONLY VISIT ABOUT ONCE


PER MONTH.

I WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT MY ISSUES

AS ADEQUATELY AS POSSIBLE IN LIEU OF THE DEPRIVATION


OF LAW LIBRARY ACCESS WHICH IN MY CONVICTION IS A

VIOLATION OF MY 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of stylized, overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

THE EXTENSION OF TIME IS ONLY BEING SOUGHT FOR

JOSHUA DARNELL COLEMAN GDC#1030413

A handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a stylized 'J' and 'C' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.