

21A445  
No. \_\_\_\_\_

In The

Supreme Court of the United States

LAKE ARROWHEAD ASSOCIATION,  
Respondent.

v.

ESTATE OF OPAL STRAUB;  
GARY HARROP, SPECIAL  
ADMINISTRATOR,  
Defendant  
PRESTON STRAUB,  
Petitioner,

FILED  
FEB 15 2022  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

**ORIGINAL**

---

**APPLICATION TO THE HON. AMY CONEY BARRETT  
FOR A 60-DAY EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE  
A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED  
STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT**

---

Pursuant to Rule 13.5 of the Rules of this Court, Applicant Preston Straub moves for an extension of time of 60 days, up to and including April 15, 2022, within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari.

1. Applicant will seek review of the judgment and findings in Lake Arrowhead Association v. Preston Straub (Wis. Adams County 19SC148 & Wis. Appeal 20AP1860). Copies of the decisions are attached as Exhibits 1, 2, & 3. The current deadline for filing a petition for writ of certiorari is February 15, 2022. This

RECEIVED  
FEB 23 2022  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

application is filed less than 10 days before the date the petition is due.

Sup. Ct. R. 13.5. The jurisdiction of this Court is based on 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

2. Good cause exists for an extension. Applicant has preceded Pro Se and seeking assistance of counsel and therefore seeks a 60-day extension unto April 15, 2022, to secure same, study any relevant case law, which is very scarce and prepare a proper petition.

3. An extension is further justified. Straub is the President of his condominium association and has been assisting counsel in Illinois appeals 2<sup>nd</sup> 2-21-0098, Illinois appeals 2<sup>nd</sup> 2-21-0579 and DuPage 20SC1601.

4. In addition, an extension is warranted because this case presents substantial questions of law on which a Wisconsin small claims court required the appointment of a special administrator over 10 years after the fact, denied Straub proper hearing as guaranteed by the U. S. Constitution and an opportunity to be heard and defend claims against real estate in Wisconsin of which he is more than an interested party.

Also, this same small claims court issued judgement on claims which were not in keeping with the laws of the state of Wisconsin but because Straub was denied hearing, the issues were not allowed to be presented.

Further, Straub sought review which was denied because of differences in the State of Wisconsin's accepting U.S. Post Marks in some matters and not in others.