


~~LAWRENCE~~ L Crawford aka
Jonah Gabriel ~~Jahjah~~ Fishbite

#300839 F2B Rm 1260

~~LEE~~ CI 990 Wisacky Hwy

Bishopville SC 29010



201 US SUPREME COURT

I know this document is
hand written. I'm asking
for an extension of time
to allow my Ribbons to

ARRIVE. The order challenged
must be deemed final pursuant
to Hall v Hall, 138 S Ct 108 (2018),
and the collateral order
doctrine. I seek extension
of time to place this case
in proper form of US days.
Thank you.

Respectfully
Jahjah
~P

JANUARY 29, 2022

No. _____

In The

SUPREME COURT of United States

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD aka
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.
Jishbite -- petitioner

vs.

Chief Judge LINARES;

1 of 19

The United States et al.,
defendants - appellants

On petition for writ of
certiorari from the
third circuit court of
appeals

affidavit of service

I, Joseph J. White, do

hereby certify, that I
have mailed and or served
a copy of a notice seeking
to file Petition seeking
Writ of certiorari via the
collateral order doctrine;
motion for a stay on case
21-1330; motion for an
extension of time on the
United States Supreme

COURT and Third Circuit
Court of Appeals on this
date JANUARY 24, 2022 AS
REQUIRED by SUPREME COURT
Rule 29 of each party or
that party's attorney and any
other person REQUIRED to be
served, by depositing an
envelope containing the
document in question, in
the mail box properly

Addressed to the US Supreme
Court, the third circuit and
all involved parties.

I declare by penalty of
perjury that the foregoing
is true and correct.

Respectfully

Jonathan The Gishbitt

A stylized handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a large, looped 'J' and a prominent 'G'.

JANUARY 24, 2022

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT of United States

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD
AKA JOSEPH GABRIEL TAKTAK
T. TISHBITZ -- PETITIONER

vs.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPARIS ;

6 of 19

The United States et al.,
defendants - Appellees

On Petitions for Writ of
CERTIORARI from the
Third Circuit Court of
Appeals

Notice seeking leave
to file Petitions seeking

Writ of Certiorari via the
collateral order doctrine;
motions for a stay of case
21-1330; motions for and
extensions of time

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD
aka JONAH GABRIEL JAHNKE
T. TISHBINE

#300839 F2B RM 1260

LEE CJ 990 Wisacky Hwy

Bishopville, SC 29010

In RE Crawford and case

21-1330

To: The United States
Supreme Court,

The 3rd Circuit
Court of Appeals et al.,

The petitioner in the
above captioned matter

gives both the United States
Supreme Court and the 3rd
Circuit Court of Appeals
notice of his intent to seek
heave before the United
States Supreme Court to file
Writ of CERTIORARI under
the COLLATERAL ORDER doctrine
to address the order issued
by the 3rd Circuit Court of
Appeals dated JANUARY

13, 2022. It is the petitioners position that the order meets the criteria of the collateral order doctrine related to the issue of the requirement of paying the filing fee that the 3rd circuit demanded.

The order determined the disputed question, resolves an important issue that is independent of the merits of the dispute and is effectively

UNREVIEWABLE ON APPEAL FROM A
final judgment. Will v. Hallock,
546 US 345, 126 Sct 952, 163
Led 2d 836 (US 2006) 1 IN RE
DRUG *** Litigation, 418 F3d 372
(3rd Cir 2005) 1 U.S. Dept of
TREASURY v. Fuld, 604 F3d 816
(3rd Cir 2010) 1 MOHAWK INDUSTRIES
558 US 100, 130 Sct 599, 175 Led 2d
458 (US 2009).

FURTHER, since the
4th circuit ruled on the

12/8/19

~~SAME~~ ~~EXACT~~ ~~ISSUE~~ and their
now exist and in consistency
in the ruling on the ~~EXACT~~
~~SAME~~ ~~ISSUE~~ between the 3rd
circuit and the 4th circuit.

This too allows review under
based upon RES JUDICATA, FRAUD
and controversy between

two courts of appeals and or
states, Florida v Georgia

138 Sct 2502 (US 2018); STARVES

v BUTLER, 971 F3d 416 (3rd Cir 2020)

SAFE STREET ALLIANCE v HICKS-
LOOPER, 859 F3d 865 (10th Cir.
2017); MISSISSIPPI v JEFFERSON,
2021 WL 4729953 (US Appellate
Brief). Collateral estoppel attaches.

FURTHER, THE ISSUE OF THE
USE OF THREE STRIKE RULE IS
PRESENTLY FILED UNDER CASE,
CASE 9:21-cv-02526-TW-MHE
IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISTRICT
COURT EXERCISING MY CONSTITUTIONAL
DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO HAVE

140819

the issue placed before the jury attacking the constitutionality of the very statute the 3rd circuit used to issue the order in question without these multi-district sought cases. Thus, the 3rd circuit's order produced a ruling on the issue as to whether the plaintiff had a right to have this issue heard

by a jury and not the 3rd
circuit creating structural
error. A right that the
appellant never waived
also allowing US Supreme
Court review, Curtis v Loether
415 US 189, 94 S Ct 1005 (US 1974);
West Point Auto & Truck Center,
Inc v Kutz, 2021 WL 4504711
(D Neb. 2021); IN RE Tribune
media company, 903 F3d 384
(3rd Cir 2018); Ortiz v.

Fiberboard Corp, 527 US 815,
119 Sct 2295 (US 1999). You ruled
on the merits by your actions
making use of that statute.

Therefore, the Appellant
motions before both courts for
a stay of case 21-1330 until
the United States Supreme
Court determine whether
or not they will hear the
merits on the Appellant
seeking to file petition
for writ of certiorari from
17 of 19

the third circuit and their
motions for an extension
of time to pay the filing
fee over the United States
Supreme Court determines
whether or not they will
hear the petition seeking
writ of certiorari. The
third circuit's actions has
triggered a judgment

pursuant to Hall v Hall, 138

set no (US 2018). The
petitioner motions to the
United States Supreme Court
for an extension of time
of (US) days to file the
petition before the Supreme
Court.

Respectfully,

Jonah The Fishbiter



JANUARY 24, 2022

21-1330

Lawrence L. Crawford
#300839
Lee Correctional Institution
990 Wisacky Highway
P.O. Box 1000
Bishopville, SC 29010
