

[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 19-11723

---

D.C. Docket No. 6:18-cv-00032-JRH-BWC

WASEEM DAKER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant - Appellee,

TIMOTHY WARD, et. al.,  
Assistant Commissioner,

Defendants.

---

No. 19-11849

---

D.C. Docket No. 6:18-cv-00073-RSB-BWC

WASEEM DAKER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
HOMER BRYSON,  
Former GDC Commissioner,  
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
WARDEN,  
Facilities Director,  
STEVE UPTON,  
Deputy Facilities Director, et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Georgia

---

(August 16, 2021)

Before JORDAN, JILL PRYOR and TJOFLAT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Waseem Daker appeals the district court's *sua sponte* dismissal without prejudice of two actions under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act ("RLUIPA"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000cc-1(a), alleging various constitutional and statutory violations relating to the Georgia Department of Corrections' ("GDC") grooming policy.<sup>1</sup> Daker is a practicing Muslim. As part

---

<sup>1</sup> Daker proceeded *pro se* in the district court in both cases. We consolidated the appeals when we determined they presented the same question and appointed counsel to represent Daker.

of his religion, he must wear a beard at least as long as the width of his fist, about three inches. GDC requires prisoners to have beards of no longer than half an inch. Along with challenging this policy, Daker alleged in both complaints that GDC has a custom and practice of forcibly shaving him with unsanitized clippers and using excessive force. Daker maintains that this practice puts him at risk of contracting infectious diseases and sustaining serious injury.

Daker moved to proceed *in forma pauperis* at the time he filed each complaint. The district court *sua sponte* dismissed both suits under the Prison Litigation Reform Act's "three-strikes" provision, which prohibits inmates who have had three previous civil actions dismissed "on the grounds that [they are] frivolous, malicious, or fail[] to state a claim" from proceeding *in forma pauperis*. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). On appeal, Daker argues that the district court erred because his complaints alleged an imminent danger of serious physical harm—an exception to the three strikes provision. *Id.*

After we ordered that this case be orally argued, another panel of this Court held that an essentially identical complaint in another of Daker's appeals failed to allege an imminent danger of future harm under § 1915(g). *Daker v. Ward*, 999 F.3d 1300, 1311–13 (11th Cir. 2021). Daker himself described the claims in *Daker v. Ward* and the instant cases as similar, and our review confirms that the complaints in all three cases are substantially identical. Given this similarity, we

conclude that this appeal is foreclosed by our decision in *Daker v. Ward*.<sup>2</sup> We thus affirm the district court's dismissal.

**AFFIRMED.**

---

<sup>2</sup> This is not to say that Daker is foreclosed from proceeding under § 1915(g)'s imminent danger of serious physical injury exception for any claim challenging GDC's grooming policy. But on the complaints before us, as in the complaint in *Daker v. Ward*, Daker has not sufficiently alleged that the GDC practices create such a risk.

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 19-11723-GG

---

D.C. Docket No. 6:18-cv-00032-JRH-BWC

WASEEM DAKER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant - Appellee,

TIMOTHY WARD, et. al.,  
Assistant Commissioner,

Defendants.

---

No. 19-11849-GG

---

D.C. Docket No. 6:18-cv-00073-RSB-BWC

WASEEM DAKER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

COMMISSIONER, GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
HOMER BRYSON,  
Former GDC Commissioner,  
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
WARDEN,  
Facilities Director,  
STEVE UPTON,  
Deputy Facilities Director, et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Georgia

---

ON PETITION(S) FOR REHEARING AND PETITION(S) FOR REHEARING EN BANC

BEFORE: JORDAN, JILL PRYOR, and TJOFLAT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

The Petition for Rehearing En Banc is DENIED, no judge in regular active service on the Court having requested that the Court be polled on rehearing en banc. (FRAP 35) The Petition for Rehearing En Banc is also treated as a Petition for Rehearing before the panel and is DENIED. (FRAP 35, IOP2)

ORD-42