

Case No. 21-969

**THE SUPREME COURT  
OF  
THE UNITED STATES**

Jean Coulter, Petitioner

v.

Gerri Paulisick and Joseph Paulisick,  
Respondents

**On Petition for Certiorari  
to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania**

**Petition for Reconsideration  
of Denial of Writ of Certiorari**

Jean Coulter, Petitioner  
3000 Chestnut Street  
P.O. Box 8094  
Philadelphia, PA 19101  
412-616-9505

It is hard for "civilians" to believe the extreme and overt displays of **blatant Bias** - and the tactics utilized by members of both the State and Federal Judiciary in furtherance of their Unconstitutional goals! But, if This Honorable Court is uncertain of the Public's views on the "State of the Courts" - one need only pick up a newspaper (or even take a straw poll of passersby the front of the Supreme Court's building), which will confirm that **majority of the citizenry believe that there is not even one consistently honest Jurist at any level of the Justice System - including the Justices in this, the most revered court in the world!** And, of course, this skepticism is obvious from the recent calls for sanctioning of Justice Thomas, as the result of his wife's role in the events of January 6, 2021 in conjunction with Justice Thomas's vote to permit Mr. Trump to continue to conceal various records. And, despite their incredulity, **even the most jaded portions of the Public continue to be astounded** as the Judiciary's overt and even blatant displays of bias continue with willful decisions and actions by all members of the "Just Us System"!

Indeed, what happens each day in courtrooms across this country, results in a level of **conspicuous and unmistakable corruption which is and has been undertaken in a manner which is no less terrifying than the attack on the Capitol on January 6, 2021 was.** In many ways, because of the depth and breadth of the cases affected, what is happening each day in local

courthouses makes the January 6, 2021 attack on the Capitol look like a Social Studies Field Trip.

But what is truly even more frightening is the fact that the Justices of this, the most revered court in the world, continue to look-the-other-way when such blatant examples of corruption are brought to their attention - as is the situation in the Instant Matter.

The Necessity for Reconsideration

The State Court Judge who Dismissed Petitioner Coulter's Complaint against the Paulisicks (Respondents), did so following his personal Extra-Judicial research to learn the details of widely-rumored (in judicial circles) yet blatantly Unconstitutional Order imposed on Petitioner Coulter - simply because Coulter had had the audacity to file suit against the Allegheny County Bar Association (after the Association's "Special Fee Determination Committee" demanded that Coulter participate in proceedings which Coulter only agreed to on the basis that it would be held as Mediation). However, upon completion of the proceedings, the Committee produced a decision identified as the result of "Arbitration", despite the fact that there was NO Arbitration Agreement between Coulter and the attorneys - and Coulter also had not subsequently agree to Arbitration!

While there is significant Case Law (much of it the result of decisions in prior cases by This Honorable Court), which explains that while the question of Bias is generally one where the circumstances must be carefully weighed - **this is**

**not supposed to be the situation (in cases such as this) when the Extra-Judicial Source Rule has been blatantly violated by the Trial Court!**

Still though, this matter requires Reconsideration, as the blatantly Unconstitutional restriction on Petitioner's Right to Due Process has been "passed-on" by This Honorable Court - and the same highly biased State Court is again acting as Counsel for Coulter's adversary despite that Judge again doing so while still clothed in his judicial robe!

In that other matter before the same State Court Judge (Judge Yeager) - the jurist has become so emboldened that he has freely referenced his *ex parte* discussions with Coulter's new adversary.

**While the "transcript" of the proceedings have been "scrubbed", it is disconcerting that these "modifications" are officially endorsed as "rewriting of history" is made possible only by the Local Rule of the Butler County Courts, that requires that the judge be informed of a request for transcript before the Court Reporter is permitted to produce the document! I cannot imagine any legitimate reason why the judge should need to learn of the request for a transcript, before it can be produced!)**

In that other matter, the Judge was both audibly and visibly angered that (during what were obviously *ex parte* discussions with Coulter's adversary) Judge Yeager was not previously informed that there was a written agreement for

purchase/sale of Real Estate. Not unexpectedly, that portion of the transcript has been "cleaned up" - but there still exists in the docket of that other case (being heard by that same highly biased State Court), a Hand-Written Order requiring Coulter's adversary to personally appear in the courtroom during any/all proceedings, including Motions Court - evidencing the extreme anger displayed by Judge Yeager!

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF BUTLER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

JEAN E. COULTER, : CIVIL DIVISION  
Plaintiff : A.D. No. 2017 - 11015

v.

JAMES P. COULTER, :  
Defendant. :  
:

ORDER

AND NOW, this 8<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2022, in response to Plaintiff's For Special Relief/Motion to Transfer the Case Back to Philadelphia or, in the Alternative, Motion ~~to~~ and Defendant's Response thereto, it is hereby Ordered that Plaintiff's Motion is Denied.

It is further Ordered that pursuant to the Court's Order of December 7, 2015, present for ALL future Court proceedings, including, but NOT Limited to, motions by the Honorable John C. Reed in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, at Docket No. C.A. 15-002176, the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed, with prejudice.

\* For Recusal and Assignment  
BY THE COURT:  
to a Jurist From Outside of the  
Western Pennsylvania Area,

*John C. Reed, P.J.*

PROTHONOTARY'S  
OFFICE, BUTLER CO.  
ENTERED & FILED  
MUL FEB 8 2022  
1:05

*"... It is further Ordered that the Defendant James P. Coulter is to be personally present for ALL future Court proceedings, including, but Not Limited to, Motions Court proceedings.*

BY THE COURT : ..."

While Judge Yeager was clearly angry that he had been "set-up" by the Defendant (and was therefore left to improvise, the end result remains the same) - Judge Yeager denied Specific Performance of their written purchase and sale agreement. The purpose of the denial of completion of the sale (at the price offered by James Coulter), was clearly to permit Defendant to proceed with a Partition Action, so that either James could be paid more than their agreed upon price (or even permit James to "steal the property" out-from-under his sister who had paid-in-full his demanded payment) - and indeed, the full amount of the sale price, was retained interest-free (by James), for a period of more than two years!

The State Courts are Almost Certain to Repeat Their Violation of Coulter's Rights

The fact that the State's Highest Court has chosen to "pass" both on the original Order (from the Senior Judge sitting in Allegheny County) as well as in the Instant Matter, proves that the State Courts do not consider the protection of "civilians" Rights to be as important as their goal of protecting members of the Justice System (at any cost), continues to be.

Just as This Honorable Court's authority depends upon the Public's perception of the legitimacy of the determinations being produced - the actions and decisions of the Lower Courts (both State

and Federal) significantly affect the Public's perceptions of the legitimacy of This Honorable Court. Of course, the understanding of this fact is why this court's "Rule 10. Considerations Governing Review on Certiorari", lists as the first compelling reason which might result in this court accepting a matter for review, is when a decision has "... has so far departed from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power ...".

I trust that you will agree that it is crucial for all Jurists to comply with both the Spirit and the Letter of the Law, and will either reverse the decision for the State Courts - or accept this matter for your full review of the policies and procedures which continue to result in violation of individuals rights - particularly when it is done for the benefit of members of a specific group (the Just Us System)..

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,



Jean Coulter, Petitioner