

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

February 16, 2022

Jordan Cordell Hudson
#BB8017
PO Box 2349
Blythe, CA 92226

RE: Hudson v. Warden
USAP9 No. 20-16288

Dear Mr. Hudson:


The application for an extension of time within which to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-entitled case was originally postmarked June 22, 2021 and received again on February 15, 2022. The application is returned for the following reason(s):

On March 19, 2020, the Court extended the deadline to file petitions for writs of certiorari in all cases due on or after the date of that order to 150 days from the date of the lower court judgment, order denying discretionary review, or order denying a timely petition for rehearing. This is the maximum extension allowed by statute and rule, so the Court will not docket extension requests with respect to cert petitions covered by this order.

During the period in which you submitted your extension of time, the Court was not docketing extensions of time due to the Court's order of March 19, 2020. You had 150 days in which to file your petition. Your petition was due July 9, 2021. Your petition was postmarked September 28, 2021 and received October 5, 2021. It was returned to you on October 5, 2021 for being out-of-time.

Sincerely,

Scott S. Harris, Clerk

By: 

Clayton R. Higgins, Jr.

(202) 479-3019

Enclosures

Appx. 1

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

October 5, 2021

Jordan Cordell Hudson
#BB8017
PO Box 2349
Blythe, CA 92226

RE: Hudson v. Warden
USAP9 No. 20-16288

Dear Mr. Hudson:

The above-entitled petition for a writ of certiorari was postmarked September 28, 2021 and received October 5, 2021. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

The petition is out-of-time. The date of the lower court judgment or order denying a timely petition for rehearing was February 9, 2021. Therefore, the petition was due on or before July 9, 2021. Rules 13.1, 29.2 and 30.1. When the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari in a civil case (habeas action included) has expired, the Court no longer has the power to review the petition.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:

Clayton R. Higgins, Jr.
(202) 479-3019

Enclosures

APP. 2

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 9 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JORDAN CORDELL HUDSON,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

WARDEN, CALIFORNIA
REHABILITATION CENTER,

Respondent-Appellee.

No. 20-16288

D.C. No. 2:19-cv-01522-KJM-GGH
Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: McKEOWN and BUMATAY, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not made a “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *see also Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

~~Notice of Filing Claim~~

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JORDAN CORDELL HUDSON,

Petitioner,

v.

CALIFORNIA REHABILITATION
CENTER, Warden,

Respondent.

No. 2:19-cv-01522 KJM GGH P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 11, 2019, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 14. Petitioner has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 15

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

////

~~APPX. 2~~

1


APPX. 4

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 2 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 11, 2019, are adopted in full; and
3 2. Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied;
4 3. The clerk of court close this case; and

5 4. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability as to either the outcome of
6 straight sufficiency of evidence issue or the related ineffective assistance of appellate counsel
7 issue, as neither issue is subject to any substantial doubt.

8 DATED: March 9, 2020.

9
10 
11 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~APPX. 3~~

2

APPX. 5

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE FORM

INMATE NUMBER: BB8017 INMATE NAME: HUDSON, JORDAN C.

1) Use of Confidential Information.

Information received from a confidential source(s) has been considered in the:

a) CDC 115 Disciplinary Report dated _____ submitted by

STAFF NAME, TITLE

b) CDC 114-D, Order and Hearing for Placement in Segregated Housing dated 04/30/2021

2) Reliability of Source.

The identity of the source(s) cannot be disclosed without endangering the sources(s) or the security of the institution.

This information is considered reliable because:

- a) ☐ This source has previously provided confidential information, which has proven to be true.
- b) ☐ Other confidential source has independently provided the same information.
- c) ☐ This source participated in and successfully completed a Polygraph examination.
- d) ☐ The information provided by the confidential source is self-incriminating.
- e) ☒ Part of the information provided is corroborated through investigation or by information provided by non-confidential sources.
- f) ☐ Other (EXPLAIN)

3) Disclosure of information received.

The information received indicated the following:

On April 30, 2021, a Confidential Source identified you as having enemies at the CRC. The Confidential Source identified you as a potential victim of a battery if you were to remain housed at the CRC, due to you receiving threats of battery from several inmates at the CRC, specifically in Dormitory 205.

4) Type and current location of documentation, (for example: CDC-128-B of 5-15-86 in the confidential material folder).

Confidential Memorandum dated 4/3/2021, authored by Correctional Officer S. Rizvi, located in the Confidential section of the Central File.

Sergeant (A)
STAFF SIGNATURE, TITLE

4/30/2021
DATE DISCLOSED

DISTRIBUTION: WHITE--Central File; GREEN--Inmate; YELLOW--Institutional Use

~~APPL 4~~ APPL 6



ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION UNIT PLACEMENT NOTICE

INSTITUTION NAME CRC-Facility B	INMATE'S NAME HUDSON, JORDAN C.	CDC NUMBER BB8017
------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------

REASON(S) FOR PLACEMENT (PART A)

- ☒ PRESENTS AN IMMEDIATE THREAT TO THE SAFETY OF SELF OR OTHERS
- ☐ JEOPARDIZES INTEGRITY OF AN INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGED SERIOUS MISCONDUCT OR CRIMINAL ACTIVITY
- ☒ ENDANGERS INSTITUTION SECURITY ☐ RETAINED IN ASU AS NO BED AVAILABLE IN GENERAL POPULATION

DESCRIPTION OF CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH SUPPORT THE REASON(S) FOR PLACEMENT:

On Friday April 30, 2021, you Inmate Hudson, Jordan (BB8017 205-33U) are being transferred from the California Rehabilitation Center (CRC) to California Institution for Men (CIM)/Facility B and being placed in Administrative Segregation Unit (ASU) Higher Custody for Enemy/Safety concerns at CRC.

On Friday April 30, 2021, at approximately 1330 hours, a confidential source (CS) indicated that you were a subject for assault by multiple Inmates at CRC. CS state you're multiple encounters with custody staff for your refusal to program and go to your assigned job has brought unwanted attention to your Dorm. Additionally the CS indicates Inmates in your Dorm that are participating in illegal activities feel the attention you are getting by custody staff will bring unwanted searches to your Dorm. (Refer to 1030 authored by Correctional Sergeant J. Robles).

Therefore, based on the aforementioned information, your presence jeopardizes the safety and security of the institution and unsuitable for open dorm housing at CRC NDPF

Per CCR Title 15, Section 3272, your custody level will increase to Maximum Custody as a result of this placement. Your visiting, canteen, and exercise privileges will be adjusted to be consistent with your new custody status.

You will remain in Higher Custody pending administrative review for appropriate housing and program needs. The CRC Institution Classification Committee will see you within 10 days of this transfer.

You are not identified as a participant in the Mental Health Services Delivery System at any level of care. Your T.A.B.E score is above 4.0.

☒ IF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION USED, DATE INFORMATION DISCLOSED: 04/30/2021

DATE OF ASU PLACEMENT 04/30/2021	SEGREGATION AUTHORITY'S PRINTED NAME F. Halton	SIGNATURE F. Halton	TITLE LT
-------------------------------------	---	------------------------	-------------

DATE NOTICE SERVED 04/30/2021	TIME SERVED 15:30:00	PRINTED NAME OF STAFF SERVING ASU PLACEMENT NOTICE F. Halton	SIGNATURE	STAFF'S TITLE LT
----------------------------------	-------------------------	---	-----------	---------------------

<input type="checkbox"/> INMATE REFUSED TO SIGN	INMATE SIGNATURE	CDC NUMBER BB8017
---	------------------	----------------------

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW (PART B)

The following to be completed during the administrative review by Captain or higher on the first working day following placement

APR 5 APR 7

STAFF ASSISTANT (SA)

INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE (IE)

IS THIS INMATE:

LITERATE?

☒ YES ☐ NO

FLUENT IN ENGLISH?

☒ YES ☐ NO

ABLE TO COMPREHEND ISSUES?

☒ YES ☐ NO

FREE OF MHSDS NEEDS?

☒ YES ☐ NODECLINED FIRST STAFF ASSISTANT
ASSIGNED?☐ YES

Any "NO" requires SA assignment

☒ NOT ASSIGNED

STAFF ASSISTANT'S NAME

N/A

TITLE

ASU IS FOR DISCIPLINARY REASONS

☐ YES ☒ NOEVIDENCE COLLECTION BY IE IS
UNNECESSARY☒ YES ☐ NO

INMATE DECLINED ANY IE

☒ YES ☐ NO

DECLINED FIRST IE ASSIGNED

☐ YES

Any "NO" may require IE assignment

☒ NOT ASSIGNED

INVESTIGATIVE EMPLOYEE'S NAME

N/A

TITLE

INMATE WAIVERS

☒ INMATE WAIVES RIGHT TO 72 HOURS PREPARATION TIME☐ INMATE WAIVES OR DECLINES INTERVIEW WITH ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWER☒ NO WITNESSES REQUESTED BY INMATE

INMATE SIGNATURE

x refusal to sign

CDC NUMBER
BB8017

DATE

05/02/2021

WITNESS REQUESTED FOR ICC HEARING


WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER	WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER
WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER	WITNESS' NAME	TITLE/CDC NUMBER

DECISION

☐ RELEASE TO UNIT/FACILITY☒ RETAIN PENDING ICC REVIEW☒ DOUBLE CELL☐ SINGLE CELL PENDING ICC

REASON FOR DECISION:

Retain ASO pending IEC Review for enemy concerns,
at CRC, Double cell approved. Effective Comm
achieved by reading slowly, reading. Pending
Adj of the RVR process.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWER'S PRINTED NAME	TITLE	ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	REVIEW DATE	TIME
S. Arcellano	Capt (A)		05/03/21	1235

APPROX. 8

CORRECTIONAL ADMINISTRATOR'S PRINTED NAME (If necessary - same date of review)	CO-SIGNATURE	DATE OF REVIEW
---	--------------	-------------------

CDCR SOMS ISST180 - Administrative Segregation Unit Placement Notice

~~APPX 7~~ APPX 9