

Moses Clark[#] BP1401

California Rehabilitation Center

P.O. Box 3535 C-304/27^{low}

Norco, California 92860

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RE: MOSES CLARK,

Petitioner,

v.

CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

SUPREME COURT CASE No.: 21-8081

CA 2nd District COA B309944

PETITIONER REQUEST REHEARING FOR WRIT
OF CERTIORARI DENIAL PURSUANT TO RULE 44:

TO: THE HONORABLE JUSTICE AND ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES; PETITIONER MOSES CLARK,
request that the honorable court reconsider a Rehearing Pursuant to Federal
Rule of Appellate Procedure, rule 44. (b)

1. RULE 44. (b) REVIEW OF CERTIORARI:

A petition for a writ of certiorari seeking review of a judgment of a lower state court that is subject to discretionary review by the state court when it is filed with the Clerk after entry of the order deny discretionary review. Final judgment or decrees render by the highest court of a State in which a decision could be had, may be reviewed by the Supreme Court by writ of certiorari where

(1)

1 validity of a treaty or statute of the United States is drawn in
2 question on the ground of its being repugnant to the Constitution,
3 treaties, or laws of the United States, or where any title, right, privilege,
4 or immunity is specially set up or claimed under Constitution or any
5 commission held or authority exercised under the United States.

6 Rule 44.(b), Constitutional Challenge to State's Statute.

7 "If a party questions the constitutionality of a statute of a State in
8 a proceeding in which that State or its agency, officer, or employee
9 is not a party in an official capacity, the questioning party must
10 give written notice to the circuit clerk immediately upon the filing
11 of the record or as soon as the question is raised in the court of
12 appeals." The clerk must then certify that fact to the attorney general
13 of the State.


14 Rehearing, en banc, granted by Alcazar v. Corptle Catholic
15 Archbishop of Seattle, 617 F. 3d 1104, 2010 U.S. App. LEXIS 16308 (9th
16 Cir. Wash., August 5, 2010).

17
18 CONCLUSION

19 Petitioner request the Court in the Interest of Justice consider
20 the writ of certiorari and grant a Rehearing to its claims. I declare
21 under penalty of perjury that the above entitled is true and correct.
22

23 Dated: November 01, 2022

BY:



24 Moses Clark

25 In Propria Persona
26
27
28

1 **Proof of Service by Mail** (CCP § 1013(a) & 2015.5; 28 USC 1746)

2
3 I declare that: I am a real party of the below entitled matter.

4 I am a resident of the County of Riverside, California. I am
5 over the age of eighteen years. My residence address is:

6 California Rehabilitation Center in the
7 city of Norco, CA. 92860

8 On November 1, 2022 I served the attached Petitioner's Request Rehear-
9 ing For writ of Certiorari Denial Pursuant to Rule
10 44(b):

11 on the Suprem Court of the U.S. in said case, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed
12 in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully paid in the United States mail at P.O.

13 Box 3535 Norco, CA- 92860

14 addressed as follows

15 OFFICE OF THE CLERK

16 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

17 WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLOMBIA

18 20543-0001

19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
20 true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on (date) November 01, 2022,

21 at Norco, California.

22 Type or print name: Moses Clark

23 Signature: [Signature] In Propria Persona

