

21-8078

No. 1:11 cv 00099

20-1816

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

NOV 29 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Daniel Taylor — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Leslie Fleming et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U S COURT OF APPEALS FOURTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Daniel Taylor
(Your Name)

PO Box 159 or 272 Dogwood
(Address)

BIG STONE GAP Va 24219
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

If this CIVIL ACTION was DISMISSABLE as
THE CONSTITUTION does not mandate comfortable
PRISON condition why the JUDGE wrote back THE COURT
assumes I have a claim. ~~Q8~~ the QUESTION ~~WNO~~ the QUESTION of
APPOINTMENT of COUNSEL and if the JUDGE thought I had a claim
why I was denied COUNSEL by the COURT being PRO SE

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Harold Clark

Sensabaugh

Craft

RELATED CASES

7:17 cv 00099

20-7816

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

U.S. COURT of Appeals

APPENDIX B

U.S. District COURT for Western of Virginia

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

Petitioner

Taylor

RESPONDENT

Leslie FLEMING et al

the PRISONERS at Wallens Ridge STATE PRISON
a facility located in the mountain RANGE do not
receive hats scarfs, boots or gloves for the
frigid temperatures in this REGION. THIS in turn
spiraled to my brief education with ACCOUNTING

and BUSINESS Math. and the fact that any

SUCCESSFUL BUSINESS keeps a record of ACCOUNTS
RECEIVABLE and ACCOUNTS payable. The tags on the
coats TEES and pants have Virginia Connections

Enterprise marked on them. Enterprise is a

word strongly ASSOCIATED with BUSINESS. Plus

the fact that only LEVEL THREE appeals to the

IN THE US SUPREME COURT

Petitioner

Taylor

RESPONDENT

Leslie Fleming et al

DIRECTOR include EDUCATION or VISITATION as far as I remember being list in the GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE. IM including the fact there are no experienced paraLEGAL or law clerks to ASSIST with the draFTING of documents filed to the COURT

and the ASSIGNED INSTITUTIONAL ATTORNEY does not

help with this either. PLUS the fact there are no COMPUTER or typewriters for draFTING LEGAL documents at this PRISON either. A REQUEST

for APPOINTMENT of COUNSEL was likewise

DENIED.

THE focus of this CIVIL ACTION ORIGINALLY

IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

Petitioner

Taylor

RESPONDENT

Leslie Fleming

STARTED ~~off~~ as the deprivation of LAUNDRY causes
problems that are a risk to inmates WELL BEING
THE DEFENSE for that was the CONSTITUTION does
not mandate comfortable PRISON conditions AND
THESE are some of the situations I DESCRIBED as
being a risk to PRISON health.

ONE instance would be the fact that inmates at Waller Ridge
STATE PRISON are deprived of the thermal purchased off
their PERSONAL PROPERTY form while they are in RHU because
the cold air is on all day and NIGHT and oftentimes

Laundry is not distributed until days after being

ASSIGNED to RHU

IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

Petitioner Taylor

RESPONDENT

FLAMING et al.

Another example GIVEN was whenever an individual has moved to POPULATION from R+U and only has one scrub set and does not receive their laundry in POPULATION for over a week this causes cell DISEASES

AND the deprivation of SHEETS and blankets for an indefinite timespan because of stolen or lost laundry being otherwise IGNORED laundry term can create sickness

I also explained about the time I fell sick and the

DOCTOR explained it was a viral. I also explained the definition of a viral and this could be attributed to the reduction of white clothes ISSUE BEING reduced

from seven pair EVERY eight months down to four

pair ONCE a year. AND the answer I RECEIVED

was the CONSTITUTION doesn't mandate COMFORTABLE

PRISON conditions

IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

Petitioner Taylor

RESPONDENT Fleming et al

CONCISE STATEMENT OF CASE

The U.S. District COURT was PETITION on SECTION 1983 for PRISON CONDITIONS to include the deprivation of laundry in various scenarios resulting in sickness and sometimes violence. THIS CIVIL ACTION was AMENDED to conform to the PLRA and the NINDER Rule.

The COURT wrote back and explained the COURT will

ASSUME I have a claim. MOTION for APPOINTMENT of COUNSEL was DENIED. I asked THE US COURT of APPEAL for REVERSE and REMAND and APPOINTMENT of COUNSEL

because without the COURT'S ASSUMPTION I would have

withdrawn the CIVIL ACTION

RESPECTFULLY

D. Taylor


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Extreme sickness ensued to include vomiting and defecation simultaneously along with extreme head ache and backache documented as MARCH SECOND two thousand sixteen.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 3 / 11 / 22