


NO. 22-20133

IN ~~21~~-8032

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED



MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS - PETITIONER

VS.

INNOCENT PROJECT OF TEXAS;

DONNA IRVIN HILL - RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL G. PETERS
STRING FELLOW UNIT
1200 FM 655
ROSHARON, TEXAS

77583

(281) 595-3413

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. WHETHER ITS LEGAL TO COLLECT DONATIONS AND FUNDINGS TO OPERATE AN INNOCENT PROJECT AND THEN REFUSE TO REPRESENT AN ACTUALLY INNOCENT CLIENT?
2. WHETHER ITS LEGAL TO COLLABORATE WITH STATE AND CORPORATE CRIMINALS TO CONSPIRE AGAINST AN ACTUALLY INNOCENT MAN TO KEEP HIM IMPRISONED TO PREVENT HIS EXPOSING POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES?
3. WHETHER ITS LEGAL TO EXCEPT A BRIEF TO IGNORE ACTUAL INNOCENCE IF YOUR AN INNOCENT PROJECT?

4. WHETHER OR NOT FEDERAL REPUBLICAN
JUDGES CAN IMPOSE SANCTIONS AND
THREE-STRIKES TO PUNISH ANY EXPOSING
REPUBLICAN PARTY POLITICAL CRIMES
AND TO SILENCE ANY FIRST AMEND-
MENT RIGHTS ~~TO~~ TO FREEDOM OF
SPEECH OR TO PETITION THE GOVER-
NMENT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.

LIST OF PARTIES

THE LIST OF PARTIES CAN BE SEEN IN THE RELATED CASES. ALL ARE CONNECTED TO ONE SINGLE COVER-UP FOR POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES. SEE FIFTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 19-20717 FOR:

- A. BRIEF
- B. APPLICATION FOR THE C.O.A.
- C. C.O.A.
- D. ALL CORROBORATIVES (180)+ PAGES OF EXHIBITS/EVIDENCE.

ALSO: INNOCENT PROJECT OF TEXAS
DONNA IRVIN HILL

RELATED CASES

MAIN CASE: SUPREME COURT NO. 21-5439

SUPREME COURT CLERK COVER-UP NO. 22-20094

FIFTH CIRCUIT; BEST BRIEF NO. 19-20717

SUPREME COURT

1. 21-40819, SELECTIVE PROSECUTION
2. 20-8394, STEALING LAWBOOKS
3. 21-20373, SEEKING FEDERAL INVESTIGATION
4. 21-20440, PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS
5. 21-40560, PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS
6. 20-40180 STEALING CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS
7. 21-20546 PRISON PREVENTING LEGAL CORRESPONDENCES
8. 20-40583 CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT
9. 21-40473 OPENING LEGAL MAIL PRISON STAFF
10. 21-40483 REFUSED RELIGIOUS SERVICES IN RETALIATION

11. 21-20601, STATE STEALS MN HOMES
12. 21-20371, JUDGE DEFIES APPEAL
13. 21-40850, STATE SLANDERS MN NAME
14. 21-20669, GOV. GREG ABBOTT COVER-UPS
15. 21-20608, CITY OF CONROE USES COURTHOUSE TO
RIS TRIALS TO SILENCE POLITICAL
INHISTRE- BLOWERS
16. 22-20036, TEXAS CHILDREN'S CORPORATE COVER-UP
17. 22-20055, SENIOR FEDERAL JUDGE TAKES BRIBES
18. 22-20059, FEDERAL JUDGE IMPOSES SANCTIONS
IN RETALIATION FOR JUDICIAL COR-
RUPTION EXPOSURE.
19. 22-20058, TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD COVER-UP
FOR CORPORATE CRIMES FOR POL-
ITICAL FUNDINGS.

FIFTH CIRCUIT

1. 22-20180, FEDERAL JUDGES COVER-UP FOR
THEIR CRONIES
2. 22-20156 RESINAC JUDGE COVERS-UP FOR
CRONIES

3. 22-20166, MORE FEDERAL JUDGE COVER-UPS
BY THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT.
4. 22-20082, FEDERAL JUDGE COVER-UPS
5. 22-20055, FED JUDGE CONSPIRATOR TOOL BRIBE
6. 22-20091, BAYLOR CORPORATION PAID BRIBE
7. 22-20035 DUCKWORTH, CONSPIRATOR CANYER

U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT

1. 4:22-CV-1084, TRIAL JUDGE CIVIL ACTION FOR
REKINS TRIAL
2. 4:22-CV-00273, PLAINTIFF (JUDGE) TAKES BRIBE
3. 4:21-CV-04034, CLERK REFUSES TO IDENTIFY CASE
NUMBERS
4. 4:22-CV-00830, MITAL K. BRAMBHATH CRIMINAL
5. 4:22-CV-00972, INNOCENT NETWORK COVER UP
6. 4:22-CV-00760, ATTORNEY GENERAL COLLUSION
7. 4:22-CV-00766, CHILD SUPPORT HARASSMENTS
8. 4:22-CV-00733 FED. JUDGE COVER-UPS
9. 4:22-CV-00584, DAVID HITTNER COVER-UPS
10. 4:22-CV-00668, " "
11. 4:22-CV-00570, " VI. "

12. 3:21-CV-00339, PRISON MAIL MISAPPROPRIATIONS
13. 3:22-CV-00108, CRIMINAL CONSPIRATOR NITRE
WIRES LAWYER - DIVORCE
14. 3:22-CV-00107, CORRUPT JUDGE COVER-UPS
15. 4:22-CV-01032, " "
16. 4:22-CV-00785, CORRUPT JUDGE COVER-UPS
17. 4:22-CV-00802, " "
18. 4:22-CV-617, UNITED STATES CIVIL ACTION
CONSPIRACY
19. 4:22-CV-00893, REPUBLICAN PARTY COVER-UPS
20. 4:22-CV-00062, THE STAR, DR. ZORNNY E. BREWER
CRIMINAL
21. 4:22-CV-00767, PRISON MAIL ROOM CONSPIRATOR
22. 4:22-CV-00973, ACTUAL INNOCENT CLINIC

THE COVER-UP STARTED IN CASE NO. 12-08-
09259-CR THRU 14-07-08207-CR MONTGOME-
RY COUNTY, TEXAS, CITY OF CONROE DURING
GOV. RICK PERRY'S PRESIDENTIAL BID
2012.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE

CASES:

MAIN CASE NO.

U.S. SOUTHERN DIST.; 4:18-CV-645 . . . 5

FIFTH CIRCUIT NO. 19-20717 . . . 5

SUPREME COURT NO. 21-5439 . . . 5

Smith v. Indiana Dept of Corrections,
ME. 2d 802 (2nd 2008) . . . 12

Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S.
542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001)

OTHER

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b) . . . 13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5- 10 15
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	16
CONCLUSION	17

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX "A" U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT
COURTS DECISION

APPENDIX "B" U.S. FIFTH CIRCUIT
DECISION

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

Federal courts:

The opinion of the U.S. Southern District court appears in Appendix "A".

The opinion of the U.S. Fifth Circuit appears in Appendix "B".

Due to cover-ups everything was unpublished.

JURISDICTION

Federal courts:

The date on which the U.S. Southern District court decided my case was on April ¹³~~27~~, 2022.
Seen in Appendix "A"

The date on which the U.S. Fifth Circuit court decided my case was on April 27, 2022.
Seen in Appendix "B"

Due to Political, Judicial and Corporate crimes cover-ups no rehearing was sought.

The jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1254(V).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

FIRST AMENDMENT: FREEDOM OF SPEECH, FREEDOM OF THE PRESS, RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES.

THE GOVERNMENT HAS IMPRISONED ME FOR EXPOSING ~~EXPOSING~~ THEIR POLITICAL FUND RAISERS WHO FABRICATED MY SON'S MEDICAL RECORDS TO DECEIVE A DISTRICT COURT ~~JURY~~ JUDGE, AND ALL PARTIES HAVE JOINED THIS COVER-UP TO KILL ME BY FALSE IMPRISONMENT.

FIFTH AMENDMENT: THESE CONSPIRATORS REFUSED ME A PUBLIC TRIAL TO HIDE WHAT THEY WERE DOING. THEY DENIED ME ALL DEFENSE WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE SUBMITTALS CORROBORATING THEIR CRIMES AGAINST MY FAMILY AND MYSELF.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT: THE CONSPIRATORS DENIED ME BAIL TO PREVENT ME OBTAINING HELP EXPOSING THEM.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: THE STATE DEPRIVED ME OF LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY FOR EXPOSING GOV. RICK PERRY'S COLLUSION CRIMES WITH BAYLOR AND TEXAS CHILDREN'S CORPORATION GIVING THEM CRIMINAL PROTECTION IN EXCHANGE FOR POLITICAL FUNDINGS. THESE CONSPIRATORS DENIED ME EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.

THE RESPONDENT KNEW Z WAS INNOCENT AND REFUSED TO HELP ME, JOINING THIS POLITICAL COVER-UP AND OBTAINING FUNDS UNDER THE PRETENSE OF PROTECTING THE "INNOCENT".

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS CASE AND ALL RELATED CASES START FROM THE COVER-UP FOR THE STATES WITNESSES CRIMES IN MONTGOMERY, COUNTY CASE NO. 12-08-09259-CR AND CONTINUED TO SILENCING ME FOR EXPOSING ~~TO~~ THOSE INVOLVED IN THE COVER-UP FOR THE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS OF BAYLOR AND TEXAS CHILDREN FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDING FOR THE REPUBLICAN PARTY PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN FOR GOV. RICK PERRY & WHO EXPOSING, CASE NO. 14-07-08207-CR

I.

STAY THROUGHOUT MY APPROPRIATE PROCESS IN CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645, 19-20717 & 21-5439

THE GOVERNMENT'S REPUBLICAN PARTY HAS
CONTROLLED MY CASE FROM THE TRIAL
COURT TO THE SUPREME COURT CLAIMS
OFFICE, SCOTT HARRIS. ALL CONSPIRED
TO COVER UP MY

1. ACTUAL INNOCENCE
2. CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATIONS
3. STATES WITNESSES CORPORATE CRIMES
4. THEIR LIABILITIES BEING COVERED-UP
FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDINGS
5. POLITICAL, JUDICIAL, AND CORPORATE
RACKETEERING, COERCION, CHILD ABDUCTION,
PROPERTY THEFTS ETC. ETC. FALSE IM-
PRISONMENT,

II.

NO EDUCATED TRIER OF THE FACTS COULD HAVE OVER LOOKED THESE FACTS AND ITS EVIDENCE FOR A DECADE WITHOUT BEING PART OF THE BIGGEST GOVERNMENT COVER-UP SINCE J.F.K. DEATH.

III.

THE RESPONSIDENT WORKING WITH THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S ORGANIZED CRIMINAL COVER-UP OPERATIONS TOOK A BRIBE FROM THESE BILLION DOLLAR ~~CORPORATIONS~~ CORPORATIONS THROUGH THE REPUBLICAN PARTY COURT MEMBERS TO COVER-UP AND IGNORE MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE AND KEEP ME SILENT BY KEEPING ME INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED.

IV.

SEE (EXHIBIT NO. "A"); THE RESPONDENT
PROPOSES THEIR AN ORGANIZATION DEDICATED
TO INVESTIGATING CLAIMS OF ACTUAL INN-
OCENCE BY TEXAS PRISONERS AND "EXON-
ERATING" THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN CONVICT-
ED OF CRIMES THEY DID NOT COMMIT.

V.

THEY THEN STATE AFTER REVIEWING MY
CASE THEIR UNABLE TO ASSIST ME.

VI.

SEE CASE NO 19-20717, BRIEF, APPLICA-
TION FOR A C.O.A., THE C.O.A. AND
(180 F) EXHIBITS PROVIDE MY "ACTUAL
INNOCENCE." THE RESPONDENTS ARE
FALSE ADVERTISING, WHILE THEY MAY

BE A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION, DOES NOT MEAN THEY DO NOT OBTAIN DONATIONS AND FUNDINGS FROM THE GOVERNMENT.

VII.

THESE DONATIONS ARE MEANT FOR PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF WHO ARE ACTUALLY INNOCENT. THE RESPONDENTS TOOK A BRIBE FROM THE STATE BY THESE CORPORATIONS TO COVER-UP MY EXPOSING POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES AND LIABILITIES.

VIII.

THE INNOCENT PROJECT OF TEXAS DISCRIMINATES AGAINST DEMOCRATS (PEOPLE) EXPOSING REPUBLICAN PARTY CRIMES. THEIR POLITICALLY BIAS AND PREJUDICE.

IX.

THE RESPONDENTS ARE PROFITING OFF THE INNOCENT VICTIMS OF FALSE IMPRISONMENT, COLLECTIONS FUNDINGS AND DONATIONS AND DOING NOTHING TO HELP THE VICTIMS THEY PROFIT TO HELP.

X.

ITS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER PROVING MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE IS VERY EASY. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE, THE TRIAL WAS RIGGED, MEANING THAT THE RESPONDENTS KNEW THIS, WERE AWARE OF THE POLITICAL NATURE AND DECIDED NOT TO HELP DUE TO THEIR POLITICAL BIAS, HENCE FALSELY ADVERTISING AND VICTIMIZING THE INNOCENT.

XI.

SEEN IN APPENDIX "A" THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT RUN BY REPUBLICAN PARTY JUDGES WHO ARE PART OF THIS COVER-UP. SEE CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645 COVERED UP BY REPUBLICAN SENIOR ~~REPUBLICAN~~ JUDGE, DAVID HITTNER. HIS CO-CONSPIRATOR, JUSTICE, NANCY F. ATLAS IMPOSED SANCTIONS AND THE "THREE-STRIKES" CITING CIVIL ACTIONS AGAINST THESE CORPORATIONS AND CORPORATE CRIMINALS AND STATE GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS INVOLVED (TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD).

XII.

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

THE SUPREME COURT HAS SAID THAT SANCTIONS MAY NOT BE IMPOSED AGAINST PERSONS WHO BRING LITIGATION UNLESS

THE LITIGATION IS BOTH OBJECTIVELY AND SUBJECTIVELY WITHOUT BASIS. RIGHT TO PETITION THE COURT IS PROTECTED FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT.

XIII.

Smith v. Indiana Dept. of Correction, 883 N.E. 2d 802 (Ind. 2008) (three-strikes unconstitutional) Open Courts Clause. Advocacy in litigation is speech.

Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S. Ct. 1043 (2001) (holding that legal representation is speech).

THE BODY OF LAW REQUIRES THAT RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION BE NARROWLY TAILORED TO THE PROBLEM THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO SOLVE.

IX.

APPLIED TO THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION IS THE "BREATHING SPACE" PRINCIPLE WOULD MEAN THAT PRISONERS COULD ONLY BE SANCTIONED FOR CONDUCTS THAT WERE NOT ONLY OBJECTIVELY WITHOUT "MERIT" BUT WERE ALSO KNOWN BY THE PLAINTIFF TO BE MERITLESS.

FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 60(b).

X.

THE REPUBLICAN JUDGES AT THE U.S. SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT KNOW THESE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATE C.E.O.'S IN HOUSTON WHO ARE THE ("MOVERS AND SHAKERS") OF HOUSTON, TEXAS. POLITICAL FUNDINGS BY THE CORPORATIONS IS BUSINESS TO

SEEK AND OBTAIN ILLEGAL CONCESSIONS
AS IN THIS CASE (NO. ~~19-20717~~ 19-20717).
THE EVIDENCE PROVES THE POLITICAL,
JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE COVER UP OF
THESE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS
EVEN TO THE POINT OF MY FALSE
IMPRISONMENT TO SILENCE ME.

XVI

THE RESPONDENTS ARE JUST ONE
MORE CORRUPTED ORGANIZATION AIDING
THE GOVERNMENT AND THEIR CORPORA-
TIONS IMPRISONING THE INNOCENT
TO SILENCE THEIR FREE SPEECH
IN A CHANGING AMERICA WHO ACCUSES
POLITICAL CRIMES AND GIVES PROTECTIONS
FOR BRIBES AND POLITICAL FUNDS.

RELIEF

1. I SEEK A FEDERAL INVESTIGATION INTO THESE POLITICAL CRIMES
2. \$300,000,00 DOLLARS DAMAGES SOUGHT.
3. THIS CASE BE REVERSED AND REANNOUNCED
~~TO~~ TO THE FEDERAL COURT (NOT IN TEXAS)
TO DETERMINE THE TRUTH AND FACTS.
NO REPUBLICANS TO BIAS AND PROMOTE
THEIR POLITICAL VIEWS AND COVER-UP.

Respectfully submitted

Michael G. Pitar

Dated: May 23, 2022

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. AN INNOCENT PROJECT THAT WILL NOT HELP THE INNOCENT, SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO OPERATE, COLLECT FUNDINGS UNDER THE PRETENSE OF HELPING THE INNOCENT, NOR OBTAIN DONATIONS, NEEDED FOR THE ACTUALLY INNOCENT.
2. THE RESPONDENTS KNEW Z WAS INNOCENT, KNEW Z WAS A POLITICAL PRISONER, KNEW Z WAS BEING SILENCED ILLEGALLY AND DID NOTHING. THEY COLLECTED DONATIONS MEANT TO HELP ME AND DID NOTHING.

CONCLUSION

IN CONCLUSION, IF YOU GOING TO ADVERTISE THAT YOU; ("THE INNOCENT PROJECT OF TEXAS") AND YOU SPEND THE FUNDS AND DONATIONS GIVEN TO PROTECT THE "ACTUALLY INNOCENT", THEN YOU NEED TO HELP THE INNOCENT. THE RESPONDENTS HAD THE EVIDENCE OF MY INNOCENCE BUT REFUSED TO HELP ME BECAUSE MY CASE INVOLVED TEXAS REPUBLICAN PARTY CRIMES, POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE ROCKETEERING CRIMES, THEY REFUSED TO HELP ME BECAUSE THEY RECEIVE GOVERNMENT FUNDINGS, AND WERE CONTROLLED BY STATE CRIMINALS TO COVER-UP THEIR POLITICAL CRIMES BY COVERING-UP MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE,

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS DEPRIVATIONS TO
PRESENT MY DEFENSE, DEFENSE EVIDENCE,
DEFENSE WITNESSES, OBTAIN DEFENSE DIS-
COVERY ETC ETC ETC SEE CASE NO. 19-2077.

THEY JOIN EVERY OTHER INNOCENT PRO-
JECT IN THE STATE AND SHARE INFO-
RMATION.

THEY JOINED THE CRIMINAL COU-
ER-UPS THAT HAVE CONTINUED FOR A
DECADE.

The petition for a writ of carbonaro should be
granted.

Respectfully submitted

Michael L. Petrus

Dated: May 23, 2022