

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NOV 29 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SORAYA MARIA RIGOR,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

DALE CARLSEN; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 21-16396

D.C. No.

2:21-cv-01388-KJM-AC

Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Because the mandate issued in this case on October 8, 2021, the court will take no action on appellant's filings received on October 15, 2021 (Docket Entry Nos. 5, 6, 7).

This appeal remains closed.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By: Delaney Andersen
Deputy Clerk
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7

8 USC 2101 - 1017 & 2101-1017
→ 1017 & 2101-1017
proceedure ch. 133
review and judicial procedure part v
1017 & 2101-1017
DA/Pro Se

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 16 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SORAYA MARIA RIGOR,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

DALE CARLSEN; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 21-16396

D.C. No.

2:21-cv-01388-KJM-AC

Eastern District of California,
Sacramento

ORDER

Before: HAWKINS, WATFORD, and LEE, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record demonstrates that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal because the order challenged in the appeal is not final or appealable. *See Serine v. Peterson*, 989 F.2d 371, 372-73 (9th Cir. 1993) (magistrate judge's findings and recommendations not appealable; premature appeal not cured by subsequent entry of final judgment by district court). Consequently, this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

DISMISSED.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SORAYA MARIE RIGOR,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DALE AND KATY CARLSEN,
15 CENTER FOR INNOVATIVE AND
ENTREPRENEURSHIP, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17

No. 2:21-cv-01388 KJM AC PS

ORDER


18 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a
19 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).

20 On August 11, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
21 which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the
22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 3. Plaintiff has
23 not filed objections to the findings and recommendations, though she has filed a batch of e-mails,
24 ECF 4, and also has filed an amended complaint, ECF No. 8, which was not authorized by the
25 court.

26 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
27 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
28 ORDERED that:

- 1 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 11, 2021, are adopted in full; and
- 2 2. All claims against all defendants are DISMISSED with prejudice and this case is
- 3 closed.

4 DATED: September 20, 2021.

5 
6 _____
7 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**