

21-8011

No. _____

FILED

MAY 18 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington, D.C. 20543

DAVID NATHANIEL REESE — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Florida

RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DAVID NATHANIEL REESE

(Your Name)

Florida State Hospital, P.O. Box 1000

(Address)

P.O. Box 1000, Chattahoochee, FL 32324

(City, State, Zip Code)

850-663-7612

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. How Can the Lower Courts Rule Against My Motions that I had Filed in their Courts And Say that My Allegations is Frivolous When the Documents that I had Filed to them is Filed in the Jacksonville Florida Duval Clerk of Court Office by the State Attorney.

2. How Was my kids taken from me without me Signing documents to give them away when the document from the Jacksonville Florida Clerk of Court Office, Marked, Exhibit-B-Plea of Guilty And Negotiated Sentence, is Not my Name or my two daughters Full Names.

3. How Can I be Charge with a Crime And Prosecuted When the kid Name on the document Marked Exhibit-A, is Not my first minor daughter Name My first minor daughter Name is, Nadia Nicole Star Branch, Not, Nadia Susan Branch

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Judge David M. Gooding
Judge Virginia Norton
Judge Chief Donald Moman Jr.
Judge Charles W. Arnold Jr.
Judge Russell L. Healey
Sheriff John H. Ruthenford
Detective D. L. Borsley
State Attorney Angela B. Corey
Public Defender Matt Shirk
Assistant Public Defender Senovia Alice Lance Portis

RELATED CASES

DAVID NATHANIEL REESE
VS.

CASE NO: 16-2022-CA-000708-XXX-MA

Judge R. Anthony Salem

DAVID NATHANIEL REESE
VS.

CASE NO: 16-2020-CA-004853-XXX-MA

Joseph B. Licandro
Florida Assistant State Attorney

DAVID NATHANIEL REESE
VS.

CASE NO: 1D21-3933

State of Florida

LT. NO: 20-2021-MH-0446A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<u>Decision of the Circuit Court, Fourth</u>
APPENDIX B	<u>Decision of State Court of Appeals, First District</u>
APPENDIX C	<u>SUPREME COURT OF TALLAHASSEE, Florida</u>
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

U.S. V. ESPINOSA-HERNANDEZ, 918 F.2d 911.

11 Fla D-50

U.S. V. SJEKLOCHA, 843 F.2d 485.

11 Fla D-50

STATUTES AND RULES

938 (1). In General-C.A. 11 (Fla.) 1990.

Fed. Rules Cr. Proc. Rule 33, 18 U.S.C.A.

C.A. 11 (Fla.) 1988

Fed. Rules Cr. Proc. Rule 33, 18 U.S.C.A.

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Florida Supreme court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

1.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 3-22-2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 3-22-2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

It is my belief that my Sixth Amendment,
And my Eighth Amendment, And my Fourteenth Amendment
Was Violated And these laws was Violated

1. F.S. 839.13 (2)(A)

2. F.S. 893.13 (7)(A). 11

3. F.S. 837.02(2)

4. F.S. 837.021(2)

5. F.S. 777.03(2)(A)

6. F.S. 838.022

7. F.S. 787.02.

8. F.S. 787.01(1)(A) 2

9. F.S. 787.01(1)(A) 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

My two daughters was Raped by their white Uncle who NAME IS, David Branch, But the Jacksonville Florida Sheriff Office Detective D.L. BEASLEY Arrested ME in the year 2010 for the crime, THEREFORE my two daughters testified in Family Court without ME and their Mother in Family Court that it WAS their white Uncle who had Raped them both My daughters testified to Family Court Judge David M. Gooding, And to SARAH THOMAS of Children Legal Services, THEREFORE ALL CHARGES WAS DISMISSED AGAINST ME in this CASE MATTER, (16-2010-CF-12213-AXXX-MA) But the Family Court Judge did Not order for my RELEASE FROM JAIL And he did Not order for the Arrested of David Branch After my daughters testified Against him, THEREFORE the Jacksonville Florida Courts Family And Criminal did Conspired with the Jacksonville Florida Department of Children And Families to use the Dismissed Family Court in their Criminal Courts to Protect the white Children Rapist And did falsified some Documents to force me too take a charge for Child Abuse And the Florida Courts used the falsified Documents to Kidnapped my two daughters AWAY FROM ME.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE JACKSONVILLE FLORIDA DUAL COURTS USED THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS MARKED EXHIBIT-A, AND EXHIBIT-B, AND EXHIBIT-2ND AMENDED TO COMMIT FEDERAL AND STATE CRIMES, THEREFORE IF IT WAS NOT FOR THE FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS BY THE DUAL COURTS MY TWO MINOR DAUGHTERS WOULD HAD BEEN LIVING WITH ME AND I WOULD NOT HAD BEEN CHARGED WITH ANY CRIMES AND THE CHILDREN RAPIST WOULD OF BEEN IN JAIL AND PRISON, THEREFORE I AM NOT A LAWYER AND IF THE JACKSONVILLE FLORIDA COURTS WOULD HAD GIVEN ME A LAWYER THAT WAS NOT CORRUPTED THEN THIS CASE WOULD NOT BE IN THIS COURT, THEREFORE I AM ASKING THIS COURT TO UNDERSTAND ALL THE YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN FIGHTEN THE STATE OF FLORIDA COURTS TO TRY AND GET JUSTICE FOR MY TWO MINOR DAUGHTERS AND MYSELF

THEREFORE IS A VERY GOOD REASONS TO GRANT MY PETITION, BECAUSE MY TWO DAUGHTERS RAPE CASE IS STILL UNRESOLVED AND THEY WAS ILLEGALLY TAKEN FROM ME (KIDNAPPED)

IF THESE LAWS WAS NOT VIOLATED,

F.S. 837.021(2)

F.S. 839.13(2)(A)

THEN THIS CASE WOULD NOT HAD MADE IT TO THIS COURT
THEREFORE I AM NOT A LAWYER BUT I AM TRYING MY BEST
TO GET JUSTICE FOR MY TWO MINOR DAUGHTERS,
THE RAPE VICTIMS AND THE KIDNAPPED VICTIMS.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID NATHANIEL REESE

Date: MAY 19th 2022

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**