

NO. 22-20135

IN THE

21-7966

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

MICHAEL GEOFFREY PETERS - PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF TEXAS

DAVID HITTNER - RESPONDENT

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAY 16 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL G. PETERS

STRINGFELLOW UNIT

1200 FM 655

RUSHDRON, TEXAS 77583

(281) 595-3413

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. SHOULD FEDERAL JUDGES BE ALLOWED TO COVER-UP FOR EACH OTHER TO PREVENT THE DUE COURSE OF JUSTICE?
2. IS IT ETHICAL FOR JUDGES WORKING IN THE SAME COURTHOUSE TO COVER-UP EACH OTHERS INVOLVEMENT IN CORPORATE COVER-UPS, COLLUSION AND THE TAKING OF BRIBES.
3. CAN FEDERAL JUDGES IMPOSE THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION TO SILENCE ONE FROM EXPOSING; POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES AND LIABILITIES, JUST BECAUSE THEY THEMSELVES ARE INVOLVED IN THE COVER-UP FOR BRIBES?
4. IS A FEDERAL JUDGE SUPPOSED TO BE INVOLVED IN COVERING-UP POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES.

LIST OF PARTIES

THE LIST OF PARTIES CAN BE FOUND IN ALL THE RELATED CASES. THIS CONSPIRACY TO COVER-UP POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE RACKETEERING CRIMES IS TEN (10) YEARS OLD AND ~~CONTINUES CONTINUING~~ CONTINUING.

RELATED CASES

SUPREME COURT:

21-7816,
21-7797
21-7795
21-40819
20-8394

21-20374
22-40473
21-20601
21-40850
21-20669

22-20091
22-20152
22-20058
22-20039

21-20373

21-20446

21-20560

20-40180

21-20546

20-40583

FIFTH CIRCUIT:

22-20082

22-20133

22-20156

22-20055

U.S. SOUTHERN DIST

4:22-CV-1084

4:22-CV-06273

4:21-CV-04034

4:22-CV-00830

MORE SUPREME COURT

4:22-CV-00760	
4:22-CV-00766	22-20058
4:22-CV-00733	22-20059
4:22-CV-00584	22-20055
4:22-CV-00668	22-20036
4:22-CV-00570	21-20608
3:21-CV-00339	21-20669
3:22-CV-00108	21-40850
3:22-CV-00107	21-20371
4:22-CV-01038	
4:22-CV-00785	
4:22-CV-00802	
4:22-CV-617	
4:22-CV-00893	
4:22-CV-00062	
4:22-CV-00767	
4:22-CV-00973	
4:22-CV-00762	

TABLE OF ~~CITATIONS~~ AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NO.
Divorce; 12-08-09259-CR	8-18
Criminal Case Fifth Cir 19-20717	10
Criminal Case Associated with 19-20717	
DistRICT Court No. 1407-08207-CR	
Respondent Dismissed	
4:22-CV-00080	12
4:22-CV-00257	12
plus 19-20717	
<u>Smith v. Indiana Dept. of Corrections</u> , 883 N.E. 2d 802 (2nd 2008)	14
Legal Service Corp. v. Velezquez 531 U.S. 533	14
542-43 121 S. Ct. 1043 (2001)	
Rule 60(b) Fed. R. Civil P.	15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	2
CONSTITUTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	6-21
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	22- 23
CONCLUSION	23.

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX "A"

APPENDIX "B"

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgments below.

Federal courts: OPINIONS BELOW

U.S. Fifth Circuit Court's decision found in
Appendix "A".

U.S. Southern District Court's decision found in
Appendix "B".

Due to cover-ups no publications were made.

JURISDICTION

Federal Courts

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 25, 2022.

Appendix "A"

The date on which the United States Southern District decided my case was February 28, 2022

Appendix "B"

No rehearings were requested due to judicial corruption and political cover-ups.

The jurisdiction of this Court is revoked under U.S.C. Section 1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

FIRST AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT VIOLATES MY FIRST AMENDMENT BY SUPPRESSING THE EVIDENCE OF THE; POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES I AM EXPOSING BY: (A) UNLAWFULLY DISMISSING MY "ACTUAL INNOCENCE" CASE NO. 4:18-CV-645 AND CONTINUING TO DISMISS CIVIL ACTIONS; NO 4:22-CV-00253 AND 4:22-CV-00080 (B). HE DENIES ME REDRESS TO GRIEVE GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION.

FIFTH AMENDMENT: RESPONDENT DEPRIVED ME OF LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW.

SIXTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT DENIES ME COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING DEFENSE WITNESSES EXPOSING THEIR COVER-UP AND CONSPIRACY.

EIGHTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT DENIES BAIL AND INFLECTS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT ON ME AS AN INNOCENT PERSON TO PUNISH ME FOR EXPOSING: POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CRIMES ME'S INVOLVED IN TO SILENCE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH.

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT: THE RESPONDENT DEPRIVES ME OF LIFE, LIBERTY AND PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW AND DENIES ME THE EQUAL PROTECTIONS OF THE LAWS TO: (1) PRESENT THE EVIDENCE OF MY ACTUAL INNOCENCE; DEPRIVES ME MY DEFENSE EXPOSING HIS COVER-UPS; DENIES ME DEFENSE WITNESSES (CORROBORATING THE STATES WITNESSES CRIMES ME'S COVERING UP; DENIES ME ALL DEFENSE EVIDENCE

SUBMITTALS TO COVER UP HIS INVOLVEMENT
IN POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE
CRIMES COMMITTED BY THE REPUBLICAN
PARTY.

THE RESPONDENT SILENCES MY FREEDOM
OF SPEECH TO COVER UP REPUBLICAN PARTY
R.I.C.O. CRIMES HIS INVOLVED IN, TAKING
BRIBES FROM HOUSTON, TEXAS BILLION
DOLLAR CORPORATIONS TO COVER UP REP-
UBLICAN PARTY COLLUSION, RAISE IMPRI-
SONMENT, RIGGING TRIALS, CHILD AB-
DUCTION, CONSPIRACY, COLLUSION, RACKET-
EERING AND ORGANIZED REPUBLICAN
PARTY CRIMES, AND COVERS IT UP BY
FURTHER IMPRISONING WHISTLE-BLOWERS
EXPOSING THEM.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THE MAIN CASE CONTAINING THE EVIDENCE OF THE RESPONDENTS CRIMINAL COVER-UPS IS SUPREME COURT CASE NO. 21-5439 ALSO DISMISSED BY CORRUPTED SUPREME COURT CLERK; SCOTT HARRIS.

I.

THE BEST LAID-OUT VERSION IS 11TH CIRCUIT NO. 19-20717. SCOTT HARRISON FORCED ME TO DELETE IMPORTANT INFORMATION. HE IS A PART OF THIS REPUBLICAN PARTY POLITICAL COVER-UP.

II.

THIS IS AN ACTUAL INNOCENCE CASE WHERE ANY JUDGE OR LEGAL CLERK COULD DETERMINE THIS. THIS CASE CONCERNS THE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS OF BAYLOR AND TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL LOCATED IN

III.

THE RESPONDENT IS A SENIOR FEDERAL JUDGE SO HE GETS FIRST CHANCE OF THE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATE BRIBES. HE HAS LIKE MINDED REPUBLICAN PARTY JUDGES LIKE; NANCY F. ATLAS IMPOSE SANCTIONS AND THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION TO AID THEIR COVER-UP TO SILENCE MY EXPOSING THEIR COVER-UPS FOR THESE BILLION DOLLAR CORPORATIONS IN COLLUSION WITH THEM AND FUNDING GOV. RICK PERRY'S PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN IN 2012.

IV.

GOV. GREG ABBOTT WAS GOV. RICK PERRY'S ATTORNEY GENERAL IN 2012 AND TOLD THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY COURTHOUSE

OFFICIAL TO SILENCE ME, BECAUSE I
WAS MAKING YOUTUBE VIDEOS EXPOSING
GOV. RICK PERRY, THE PLAINTIFF (19-20717)
JUDGE SILBERT (BAYLOR GRAD.) FOR COLLUSION
AND BRIBE-TAKING IN 2012. TO PROTECT
THE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S COLLUSION THEY
RIGGED A CLOSED-DOOR TRIAL TO SILENCE
ME BY DURING THE JURY; SEE QUEST-
IONS DURING DELIBERATIONS, CASE NO.
19-20717.

V.

THESE COURTHOUSE CRIMINAL CONSPIRATORS
DENIED ME ALL MANNER OF DEFENSE
TO HAVE ME SILENCED BY: ("INTEN-
TIONAL FALSE IMPRISONMENT").

VI.

THEY SANCTIONED ME TO PUNISH ME FOR
EXPOSING THEM, THEN IMPOSED THE THREE-
STRIKES TO FURTHER SILENCE ME. THEY
ALL QUOTE THE PRISON CITIZENSHIP REFORM
ACT (P.C.R.A.) CITING 20 FILED FRIVOLOUS
CIVIL ACTIONS AGAINST THOSE PEOPLE AND
CORPORATIONS THEY ARE CRIMINALLY
INVOLVED IN COVERING UP FOR. CASES
SUCH AS:

PETERS VS BAYLOR

TEXAS CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

TEXAS MEDICAL BOARD

DR. JOANN E. BREYER

MITCHELL K. BRAHMBHATT

RICK PERRY

GREG ABBOTT ETC ETC.

VII.

THE RESPONDENT DISMISSES CASES NO. 4:22-CV-00080 AGAINST JUDGE; NANCY R. ATLAS WHO IMPOSED SANCTIONS AND THE THREE-STRIKES TO COVER-UP HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS CRIMES. HE ALSO DISMISSES THE CIVIL ACTION AGAINST MONTGOMERY COUNTY ASST. D. A. PHIL GRANT; CASE NO. 4:22-CV-00253 WHO FACILITATED MY WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT. THIS "PROVES CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AS I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT AND THEY 'ALL' COVERED-UP SAID CERTAIN CORPORATE CRIMES AND LIABILITIES FOR BRIBES."

VIII.

THE NINTH COURT OF APPEALS "RULED"

THAT THE (P.L.R.D.) RESTRICTIONS DID NOT APPLY TO FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS AS FIRST AMENDMENT ENTITLES A PRISONER TO RELIEF REGARDLESS OF ANY PHYSICAL, MENTAL OR EMOTIONAL INJURY SOUGHT.

IX.

MERITORIOUS CLAIMS

THE SUPREME COURT HAS SAID THAT SANCTIONS MAY NOT BE IMPOSED AGAINST PERSONS WHO BRING CITIGATIONS UNLESS THE CITIGATION IS BOTH OBJECTIVELY AND SUBJECTIVELY WITHOUT MERIT. THE RIGHT TO THE COURT IS PROTECTED BY THE FIRST AMENDMENT.

Smith v. Indiana Dep. of Corrections, 883 N.E. 2d 802 (Ind. 2008). (Three-strike unconstitutional). Open Courts Clause. Advocacy is litigation is speech.

Legal Service Corp. v. Velazquez, 531 U.S. 533, 542-43 121 S.Ct. 1043 (2001). (Holding legal representation is speech).

X

THE BODY OF THE LAW REQUIRES THAT RESTRICTIONS ON EXPRESSION BE MORE narrowly TAILORED TO THE PROBLEM THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO SOLVE, NOT TO COVER-UP ORGANIZED REPUBLICAN PARTY CRIMES.

XI

APPLIED TO THE THREE-STRIKE PROVISION IS BREATHING SPACE PRINCIPLE, THAT

WOULD MEAN THAT PRISONERS COULD ONLY
BE SANCTIONED FOR LAWSUITS THAT WERE
NOT ONLY OBJECTIVELY WITHOUT MERIT, BUT
WERE ALSO KNOWN BY THE PLAINTIFF
TO BE MERITLESS. RULE 60(b) Fed. R. of
Civ. Procedure.

XII.

THE EVIDENCE AND THE WITNESS STATE-
MENTS IN THEIR OFFICIAL REPORTS PROVE
THE STATES WITNESSES CORPORATE CRIMES
THAT THESE CORRUPTED JUDGES WERE
ALL DEFTY COVERING-UP. THESE REPUB-
LICANS WERE ALL ~~MADE~~ MADE WHEN
Z STARTED CALLING GOV. RICK PERRY
A ("CHILD MOLESTER") DURING HIS BID
TO BECOME THEIR PRESIDENTIAL

CANDIDATE IN 2012, SO THEY'VE BEEN
SILENCING ME SINCE 2012. Z'VE BEEN
A REPUBLICAN PARTY POLITICAL PRISONER
FOR THE PAST DECADE.

IX.

EXHIBITS NO. 1, 2, & 3 SHOW WHEREIN Z
COMMUNICATED WITH THE RESPONDENT
MAKING SURE HE KNEW ABOUT THE TEXAS
MEDICAL BOARD COVER-UP BY GOV. RICK
PERRY'S DISCRETIONARILY APPOINTED DIRECTOR
WHO COVERED-UP FOR THESE BILLION
DOLLAR CORPORATE CRIMES COMMITTED BY
THE PROTECTED STATES INITIATIVES, DR.
ZACHARY DREYER TO COVER-UP THEIR CRIM-
INAL LIABILITIES.

COUNT NO. 1

EVIDENCE PROVES SINCE THE MONTGOMERY,

COUNTY COVER-UP TRIAL, THESE CONSPIRATORS ALL COVERED-UP THE; ("ADDITIONAL PHONE CALL EVIDENCE") TO ACCUSE THE PLAINTIFF'S WIFE TO LIE ABOUT PHONE CALL & NEVER MIND.

XV.

THE RESPONDENT ORDERED THE STATE TO PRODUCE THOSE PHONE RECORDS AND WHILE THEY NEVER GAVE ME A COPY OF THOSE BLANK EXCULPATORY RECORDS DID ADMIT NO CALLS WERE MADE THE YEAR PRIOR TO JUNE 14, 2014, BUT THEY ACCUSED CALLS WERE MADE "BE-TAKEN" JUNE 14, 2014 AND JULY 29, 2014 THAT THE ADMITTED PHONE CALL RECORDS CLEARLY PROVEN DID NOT EXIST EITHER. THE RESPONDENT COVERS THIS

17.

AND PAID FOR MY WIFE'S BRAIN SURGERY
OPERATION, SENT HER DOCTOR TO TESTIFY AT
THE DIVORCE AND FORCED DR. DREYER WHO
COMMITTED THE CORPORATE CRIMES TO
TESTIFY, THE BECOMING THE STATES WITNES,
YET THE RESPONDENT IGNORES THE
EVIDENCE OF HER CRIMES. IT'S APPEALING
JUST HOW CORRUPT OUR FEDERAL JUDGES
ARE, BLATANTLY TAKING BRIBES WHICH
IS ILLEGAL.

RELIEF

THE RESPONDENT WHO MAKES MILLIONS
OF DOLLARS BY COVERING UP CORPORATE
CRIMINAL LIABILITIES AND IMPRISONING
THE INNOCENT AMERICAN CITIZENS

HAVING TO EXPOSE THE CORPORATIONS
INNO FABRICATED HIS SONS MEDICAL RE-
CORDS TO ILLEGALLY ~~ABUSE~~ ABDUCT
HIM IS THE WORST KIND OF CRIMINAL
JUSTICE HAS, MAKING PROFITS BY BEING
CORRUPT LIKE A SOUTH AMERICAN DRUG
DEALER, IN OUR AMERICAN COURTS. FED-
ERAL COURTS!

RELIEF FOR THE DAMAGES CAUSED MY
SON AND MYSELF, MY NEWLY WIDDED
WIFE; DICKEN O-ONS PETERS, LOSS OF
LIFE, MENTAL ANGUISH, EMOTIONAL
DURESS, ABDUCTION, FALSE IMPRISON-
MENT, CONSPIRACY, COLLUSION ETC. ETC.
ETC. I SEEK \$ 300,000,000.00 DOLLARS
FOR THE INTENTIONAL WRONGS

IMPRISONMENT ETC. HIS DISBARMENT FROM
THE LEGAL PROFESSION, AND IMPRISONMENT.
HIS HOMER AND PROPERTIES TRANSFERRED
TO HIS VICTIMS INCLUDING CARS, CLOTHES,
FURNITURE, PAPERS, DOCUMENTS, COMPUTERS
ETC. ETC. TRUDGE! HIS LIFE FOR MINE.
SEE (EXHIBIT NO. 4) THEIR ENEMY.

Respectfully submitted

Michael L. Peterson
Petitioner

Executed: May 16, 2022

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. THE INTENTIONAL UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT OF INNOCENT AMERICAN CITIZENS TO SILENCE THEIR FREEDOM OF SPEECH EXPOSING POLITICAL, JUDICIAL AND CORPORATE CORRUPTION IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.
2. FEDERAL COURT CORRUPTION IS ILLEGAL.
3. RACKETEERING INFLUENCE AND ORGANIZED CORRUPTION IS ILLEGAL.
4. THE THREE-STRIKES PROVISION IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL WHEN ITS IMPOSED TO SILENCE ONE'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH EXPOSING ORGANIZED CORRUPTION.
5. THE TAKING OF BRIBES TO PREVENT CORPORATE AND POLITICAL LIABILITIES IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL.