

21-7912

No. 5CO1+

2021-1581

RECEIVED  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED  
APR 12 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

JACK Courtney — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Motorist Mutual — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of Ohio - Columbus  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JACK Courtney  
(Your Name)

(Address)

ANDOVER, Ohio, 44003  
(City, State, Zip Code)

440-858-6533  
(Phone Number)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGES	CITES at Page
Petition for Writ of Certiorari	1
Opinions	2
Jurisdiction	3
Table of Contents/ List / Questions	4
Table Authority / Const'l / Case Statement / Reasons / Conclusion	5
Granting Petition	6
Granting Petition	7 and on -
Proof of Service	Affidavit
Form	Appendix
	Waiver
	for Respondent
LIST OF THE PARTIES	

Petitioner Jack Courtney  
2639 State Route 7, ANDOVER, OHIO 44003  
Respondent. Motorist Mutual Insurance Company  
Steve Mcandler, BROAD Street  
Columbus, OHIO

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

For Review -

This Appeal claims to involve a substantive  
Constitutional question involving both the U.S.  
and Ohio Constitution. A question of PUBLIC  
and GREAT GENERAL Interest of STEALING FROM  
INDIVIDUALS IN THE PUBLIC.

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Rules of Appellate Procedure

Copy of Notice - Rule 3.11 DIA.

Rule 306A of the Rules of Appellate Procedure - Rule 26(B)

Process of the Supreme Court of Ohio - App Rule 9C.

CTV R 53. D21 EX RE:

VOST v. Church of Troy - 11th DISTRICT

Geauga County No. 2016 G02032020

Ohio 496511, 5.

Stanke CIV R 53 DIA

### CONSTITUTIONAL STATUTES PROVIDED

PROVISIONAL TITLED

Federal Statute 440 Section - other  
 DISCRIMINATION by Age as Petition  
 IS 72 YEARS OLD in 2022 AND the ORIGINAL  
 Client of INTERNET REPOSSESSION by Default  
 Judgements of Motor Vehicle of Columbus, Oh. is  
 without need of further expenses by Client spoke age  
 related defamatory statements

### CASES AND STATUTES AND RULES

### COPIES BELOW JURISDICTION

FEDERAL - DISCRIMINATION (Other) Section 440

by Age - Remarks by Self, petition

- DISCRIMINATION - Osten sible DISCRIMINATION  
 IN INTELLECT - The use of Default Judgment

2  
IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix CtD to the petition and is

[x] reported at Columbus, Supreme Court of Ohio; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Jefferson, Ashtabula Court of Common Pleas court appears at Appendix 11A to the petition and is

[x] reported at Jefferson Street, Jefferson, Ohio 44047; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## **JURISDICTION**

3

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was APR 21 5, 2022.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix CTD.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: April 15, 2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C(d). → SC. Appeal May 12.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A History of the case are all arguments in support of my, I self, Petitioner, propositions of law of the evidence of the requirement of Judge Signature to in: the repossession of money and chattel and movable property innocent by standards such as self by internet insurance companies

## REASONS FOR GRANTED THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI

I, Petitioner, Jack Courtney am seeking redress by the Supreme Court of the United States for a hearing as lower Court Appeal Verdicts were denial.

## CONCLUSION

I, Petitioner, Jack Courtney as an individual would like to stop all insurance frauds against SELF. As a Nation, A's victims of fraud through internet scam no money down for legal fees would like to oblige Americans in the quest to eliminate or reduce insurance fraud as I seek appointment with <sup>Att. to the</sup> General this year.

# THE PETITION FOR THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI SHOULD BE GRANTED

The case is a use of Respondent to achieve  
Judge signature to file repossession for money for  
AS FILING a timely petition of Appeal.

This is AN INSURANCE FRAUD by Respondent,  
was initiated by Attorney-At-Law

ROBERT S. WYNN, Lawyer's Row, Jefferson,  
Ohio 44047, Former Judge of Eastern

Area Court, Jefferson, Ohio on Bench  
Presiding for 20 years. Wynn-Ohio BAR

Pleading in Trumbull Court-Warren Ohio  
Denied, Supreme Court of Ohio refusal  
to hear, also Respondent Steven Zehander  
was in absentia at all times as criminal  
charges of INSURANCE FRAUD are initiated by petitioner  
self as NO legal fees to date paid by  
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED original client of Respondent

SIGN

JACK Courtney  
Jack Courtney

DATE

May 1, 2022