

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 17 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: LEIHINAHINA SULLIVAN.

No. 22-70022

Received 03/29/2022

LEIHINAHINA SULLIVAN,

D.C. No.

1:17-cr-00104-JMS-KJM-1

Petitioner,

District of Hawaii,

Honolulu

v.

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII,

Respondent,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Real Party in Interest.

Before: TASHIMA, FRIEDLAND, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

LEIHINAHINA SULLIVAN,

Defendant.

CR. NO. 17-00104 JMS-KJM

CR. NO. 21-00096 JMS

ORDER REVOKING  
DEFENDANT'S PRO SE STATUS,  
DENYING PENDING MOTIONS,  
AND CONTINUING  
SENTENCING HEARING

**ORDER REVOKING DEFENDANT'S PRO SE STATUS, DENYING  
PENDING MOTIONS, AND CONTINUING SENTENCING HEARING**

On February 4, 2022, the court held a hearing on its Order to Show Cause why Defendant Leihinahina Sullivan's ("Defendant") pro se status should not be revoked, ECF No. 1312. ECF No. 1349. For the detailed reasons stated on the record, the court terminates Defendant's pro se status.

Given the termination of her pro se status, two pending motions filed by Defendant, ECF Nos. 1344 and 1351, are DENIED as MOOT. Rustam Barbee, the newly appointed CJA counsel, can confer with Defendant and determine if one or both of those motions should be refiled by counsel.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendant's Writs of Mandamus, ECF Nos. 1347 and 1348, are being forwarded to the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. See ECF No. 1349.

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**