

21-7779
No. _____ ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
MAR 15 2022
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Gilberto Juarez PETITIONER
(Your Name)

People of. Cal. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Cal. Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Gilberto Juarez 183144
(Your Name)

C.S.P. Sol. 24-127

(Address)

POBX 4000

(City, State, Zip Code)

Vacaville, CA 95696

(Phone Number)

AV

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. why was Actual innocence denied?
2. why did trial court impose excessive sentence?
3. why did trial ^{court} use extra curricular evidences?
4. why did trial court use double Jeopardy Prosecution?
4. why did trial court impose any unconstitutional enhancement?
6. why did trial court; deny right to confront?
7. why did trial court; deny the use of exculpatory evidences w/ Guzman's defense.
8. why trial Court use non violent Felonies Per Se; w/unlawful Enhancement. See Co. Cr. Cd. 662, 667.5(c) (79) ed., Wsia Const. 19th Amndt.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES *of*

Long Quiggin 133 S. Ct. 1924 (13)

2. w/usca Const. Amndt. 4th unlaw
ful removed, 5th Federal due Pro-
cess etc, 6th denial of Right to A
jury etc, 8th, excessive sentence,
etc, 14th due Process & Equal Pro-
tection Clauses. 5th double jeopardy..

3. malicious prosecution, w/ Res
cleditate etc. (95)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES ^e

APPENDIX A	actual innocence claim,	8
APPENDIX B	excessive sentence,	9.
APPENDIX C	double Jeopardy,	11.
APPENDIX D	extra curricular evid. (5),	12.
APPENDIX E	prosecution conduct,	13.
APPENDIX F	unconstitutional Enhanc- ment.	14.

di

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

gaudin Subra
m^c Quiggen Subra

STATUTES AND RULES

constitutional law 840. 3, 848

OTHER

calif. habitual criminal law
(79)ed.
Ca. Pen. Cd. 667, 667. 5 (c),
1170. 1 (a)

el

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at McAuliffe v. Subra; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at Long Subra; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at Holguin-Hernandez v. Subra; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Masssey Subra court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____

See HaBeas Certiorari

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.
ed.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.
Ca. Rev. Cd. 667, 667.5 (c)(79)

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.
Cal. Habitual Criminal Law

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. (CS. 72863, w/ malicious Prosecution, w/ Res judicata; (79/95)
2. (95) denial of Right to Jury Trial,
3. (95) denial of Right to Federal Due Process, w/ 8th Amend't
v ca. len. (d 667.5 (c) (79)
ed.
4. (95) Prosecution Conduct; w/ use of non-violent Felonies Per Se w/ (79) crime
ReProsecuted As violent Felonies w/ denial of Right to a Jury determination, (95) (98)
of any and all deadly Facts - and Element.
5. Violation of Cal. Habitual Criminal law (79) ed.; w/ contradiction of Federal law.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. Drug Possession for sale; was Never Pled or Proven;
2. No sale was ever pled or proven,
3. No money ever crosshands,
9th circuit law
4. No Firearm-use w/mayhemous,
w/ No injury whatsoever,
5. No Cartel Backing; was ever pled or proven,
6. excessive Sentence; (95) 50 yrs.
Plus double life
(98) 25 to life
7. w/ NO Rely., w/ NO escalata-
tory Evidences whatsoever.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. (79/95/98) 179351, 72863
• CS 72863 malicious Prosecution
; w/ An Adjudicated issue of
of law. See 4 Crim 13505 Subra.
2. Reconviction of CS. 72863 vio-
lates; Federal due Process, 5th Amendt.
A/ 4th
3. Reconviction of CS. 72863, 179-
351; violates Green v. Massey Subra.
4. Reconviction of CS. 72863 is
contrary to Federal law. 4 Crim Subra.
5. Prosecution conduct; w/ Abuse
of Process — .
6. (95) Conviction ^{w/} (79).
Reconviction vio-
lates; Federal due Process

7. usca const. Amendt 5 th.
Violation of the most Basic
srt, (sic)
w/ use of non violent Felonys
Per Se.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Gilberto Guarez

Date: 4-24-22