

No. 21-7679

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JAN 31 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Richard Morrison — PRD-SE
(Your Name) — PETITIONER

vs.

CCA-CORR-Civic, Et-1 — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
11th CIR.

United States Courts of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Richard Morrison — PRD-SE
(Your Name)

Wilcox State Prison
(Address)

Abbeville, GA - 31001 P.O. Box-397.
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The U.S. Middle-District-Courts and the 11th Cir. Appeals-Courts are listed-Respondents in the Case in Chief in which Violated Equal-Protection-Clauses. Further, both involved-Lower-Courts has decided an important-question of Federal-Statutes and Laws in a way that is Repugnant to the Constitution. AN, the two Lower-Courts issued Opinions/Rulings are to Repugnant to each other's Rulings and the Original-Files/Records and Material-Evidence-Concerning the Case/Claims. The Case/Claims before this U.S. Courts is Supported by liquidated-Sworn-Affidavits (Tort-Claims/Non-Responses) Clearly, plausible on their Face. Petitioner, States that it is an National-importance in having the Supreme-Courts to decide the questions of Law and Facts involved before this U.S. Courts.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: **listed - Respondents**;

U.S. COURTS, Middle-District of GA; U.S. 11th Cir. Appeals - COURTS; CCA-CORR-CIVIC, PRIVATE-PRISON; Dept of Ad- MINISTRATIVE-SERVICES; Q-PAY, INCORPORATED; SECRET- ARY OF STATE OF GA; and LOWNDES-CD, SUPERIOR-COURTS.

RELATED CASES

- #1. State of Georgia vs. Richard MORRISON, Case # 2018-CR-387 LOWNDES-CD, Superior-Courts;
- #2. Richard MORRISON vs. CCA-CORR-CIVIC, Et-1 # 7:23-CV-00238-HL-TQL, U.S. Middle-District of GA;
- #3. Richard MORRISON vs. U.S. Courts of Appeals 11th Cir. Appeal # 21-11754-CC (New-Appeal, #);
Richard MORRISON vs. U.S. Courts of Appeals 11th Cir. The Dd-dismissed, Appeal # 21-11754-F.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	U.S. District Court, Order Filed - 5-6, 2021.
APPENDIX B	U.S. District Courts, Order Filed - 7-26-2021.
APPENDIX C	U.S. Courts of Appeals, Opinions Filed - 12-27-2021.
APPENDIX D	U.S. District Courts, Order denying petition for Rehearing - Filed, Jan. 31 - 2022.
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
U.S. VS. Kis, 658 F.2d 526, 536, (7 th Cir. 1981). and AFFIDAVITS OF OBLIGATIONS, SWORN-AFFIDAVITS THAT GOES UN-REBUTTED STANDS AS TRUE AND IS FACTUALLY PLAUSIBLE ON ITS FACE BY LAWFUL-STANDARDS. AUTHORITY- APPEARS UPON THE FACE OF EACH SERVED SWORN-AFFIDAVIT (TORT- CLAIMS FULLY- LIQUIDATED AND BINDING BY STATE AND FEDERAL STATUTES.	
STATUTES AND RULES	
U.S. VS. Kis, 658 F.2d 526, 536, (7 th Cir. 1981); WRIT, 28, 1651. USC; JURISDICTION, 28, 1343. USC; WRONGFUL- ACTS, 42, 1986, USC; AND RULE-69, 70, 71; 42-1985-86- USC.	
OTHER	
Each Respondent was Served SWORN-AFFIDAVITS (TORT- CLAIMS) FACTUALLY-PLAUSIBLE ON THEIR FACE AND THE RESPONDENTS AND THEIR BONDING-COMPANY FAILED TO REBUT THE CLAIMS.	

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix C to the petition and is published in the Federal- Reporter reported at through- out entire Order; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A-B to the petition and is published in the Federal- Reporter reported at through- out entire Order; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at N/A; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.

The opinion of the NON-RESPONSES / Iowades Co, Superior court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is
[] reported at documents at the IRS Agency; or, [] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, [] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Date - Filed 12-27-2021.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Filed - JAN-31-2022, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix D.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Petitioner, Request for actions, pursuant to, 28, U.S.C. 1254 (1) the U.S. Courts has authority,

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

U.S. vs. Kis, 658 F.2d 526, 536, {7th Cir. 1981}
 NO. More than Affidavits is necessary to make the Prima-Facie Case; Violation of Affidavits of Obligations; and Violation of Rules, 69, 70, 71; and 42-1985-86, U.S.C.

These, Constitutional-Provisions and Statutes appears upon the Submitted Torts-Claims to each Respondents and the Files/Records and Material-evidence centering this Case and Claims. FURTHER, Appendix - B, Page, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and ETC.

Such, Conduct and Actions by the U.S. Courts violated Petitioner guaranteed Constitutional Rights; Such as, Due-Process; Equal-Protection; Retaliation-Free, Court-Access and Discrimination.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

First of all, Petitioner Filed his First petition an Writ of Mandamus and Summary-Judgement in the District Courts # 21-11754-F and it was immediately dismissed as Moat and denied Rights to Forma-pauperis on and about Oct, 8, 2020. An on and about Nov, 18, 2020 Petitioner refiled his Writ of Mandamus and Summary-Judgement paying the 383⁰⁰ dollars Filing-Fee's in Full and No-Notices of additional-Fee's being owed until an Order and Sanctions was imposed by the U.S. Courts. SUDORN-AFFidavits UN-Rebutted stands as TRUE by lawful-standards and are Fact-ually-Plausible on there Face. Each, Respondent was served a TORT-Claim and their bonding-Companie's and Verifiable by the Records they fail to Rebut the Claims and Allegations breaching the Contract and their Rights.

SUDORN-AFFidavits, Supports and Centers this Case / Claims.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The United States Courts of Appeals has entered a decision in conflict with the decisions of the U.S. Middle-District Courts on the same important matters has decided an important Federal-questions in a way that conflicts with the Files / Records and the Constitution.

Petitioner, states that this Certiorari should be granted because the material-evidence upon the records (Sworn-Affidavits) clearly shows that the bonding-companies have a lawful-obligations to pay the claims / damages in 90-days.

AN, un-rebutted-Affidavits / claims makes the respondents liable for the sought debts through default and breach of the contract. The U.S. Courts has breached their official and fiduciary-duties by failing to honor sworn-Affidavits. AN, State and Federal officials of the Courts became forfeitures to the owed-debts through their illegal-acts / interventions.

The AFFidavits that Centers and Supports the Petitioner Case / Claims are Fully-liquidated and Not even a Judge can Void or abridge or EXPUNGE an AFFidavit, an Only a QURY .

AN, UN-Rebutted- AFFidavits Stands as true, and it also Stands as the Judgement once Full-liquidation occurs .

CONCLUSION

Richard MORRISON, PRO-SE Filed- 3, 14, 2022

"Writ of Certiorari"

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

IN SUPPORT of the Material-Evidence, SWEARN-AFFidavits UPON the Files / Records .

Respectfully submitted,
Richard Morrison

Date: 4-14-2022