

No. 21-7596

SERIAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S. FILED MAR 17 2022 OFFICE OF THE CLERK
--

Janae Meeks — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Tehama County — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

TEHAMA COUNTY Fair Hearings  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Janae Meeks  
(Your Name)

1 Gillick Way  
(Address)

Oroville, CA 95965  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(530) 200 0601  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- ① Is WIC 300 specifically designated for WOI & W02 cases held in Juvenile Court and the minors later placed in foster care?
- ② Is the Family Court of Law Judge made to oversee foster care placements of minors, due to neglect, maltreatment, and abuse, but have not reached the proper age to be placed in Juvenile Hall?
- ③ Does the Juvenile Court attaining jurisdiction under false pretences, cause for a reversal of the case aforementioned due to fraud upon the court.
- ④ Does Juvenile Court have an age limit?
- ⑤ Family Law Chapter 12 Part 6 "Foster Care Considerations", is designated for what type of individuals/family situations?

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

~~X~~ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Alexander Plett - County Counsel

Amanda Conner - CPS social worker

Anu Chopra - mother's counsel

Judge Matthew McGlynn

Shawn Ford - CPS Social worker

Logan - minor's counsel

Muto - Father's counsel

### RELATED CASES

Children Services Division Juvenile Case No. 19DP00252  
in Oroville, CA. County of Butte.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

*State Hearings Division  
Tehama County Fair Hearings Court  
decision.*

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

In re Luke L.

44 Cal. App. 4th 670 (Cal. Ct. App 1996)

In re Clarence B.

37 Cal. App. 3rd 676 (Cal. Ct. App. 1974)

Addition page is provided on following page.

### STATUTES AND RULES

Family Law Chapter 12 Part 6

Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code § 300

Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code § 731

Cal. Penal Code § 667

Cal. Penal Code § 26

Cal. Health & Safety Code § 1522

Cal. Gov't Code § 100

OTHER 25 C.F.R. § 23.2

N.Y. Crim. Proc. Law § 470.15

25 C.F.R. § 23.1

25 C.F.R. § 23.122

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at Tehama County Fair Hearing; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Third District Court of Appeals court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 1/13/2022  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

22 U.S.C. § 7102

22 U.S.C. § 7107

25 U.S.C. § 1914

25 U.S.C. § 1915

42 U.S.C. § 5101

42 U.S.C. § 5106a

8 U.S.C. § 1232



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A lengthy statement of the case is hereby attached to this page, on the following page. Due to incarceration and indigent Meeks does not have the necessary supplies to fully rewrite the statement of the case.

The copy provided does contain a faint watermark, the watermark was applied to the entire document to provide to the court that the presented document is in fact an original copy made by Meeks and sent to the United States Supreme Court.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- \* An Injustice Anywhere Is A Threat To Justice
- \* Everywhere is the minor's interest
- \* Detrimental to the minors involved and not.
- \* Fraud upon the Court
- \* Foster Care Rights Violations.
- \* ICWA Rights Violations
- \* Improper Jurisdiction.
- \* Minor's concerns while placed in Foster care.
- \* All children need their mother.
- \* Failure to report child abuse by mandated reporters.

\*

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Janae Meeks

Date: March 23, 2022