

No. 21-7595

---

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

O.C.,

*Petitioner,*

v.

V.C.,

*Respondent.*

---

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the  
Supreme Court of the State of Ohio**

---

**PETITION FOR REHEARING**

---

O.C.

7309 Winchester Dr.

Solon, OH 44139


(713) 574-0043

okwy60@yahoo.com

*Petitioner*

October 28, 2022

---



## INTRODUCTION

In my certiorari, I stated that a trial court's refusal to review the evidence of a party (O.C.) before adopting the evidence of the other party (V.C.) verbatim as its opinion is contrary to Section 1 of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The appellate court adopted the trial court's opinion as factual without weighing the excluded evidence. The supreme court of Ohio declined to hear the case, thereby setting forth a lopsided opinion as precedence. Precedence is proper if and only if it corresponds to reality. The ultimate purpose of a judicial system is to avert deception so that we can have realistic interactions in the real world. This case illustrates a failure of that purpose and deserves to be heard by this court. The consequences of living in deception affect individuals, families, and the entire society - this is demonstrated in this case. I believe that our judicial system should convince litigants that humanity appreciates truth and has a way of discovering truth and pointing us to real life.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The most important resource a child needs for proper development is responsible parenting<sup>1</sup>. Still, it was denied for our children by the lack of due process<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Gillies, V., 2008. Childrearing, class and the new politics of parenting. *Sociology Compass*, 2(3), pp.1079-1095.

<sup>2</sup> *Goss v. Lopez*, 419 U.S. 565, 95 S. Ct. 729, 42 L. Ed. 2d 725 (1975). O.C. was denied a fair hearing just as the expelled students in this case. "Due process clause also forbids arbitrary deprivation of liberty."

in this case since they were denied the opportunity of receiving significant care from their most consistent parent. The immediate practical consequence of the trial court's child custody order is that the minor children's circumstances have been progressively getting worse since the order was initiated on July 26, 2019, as follows:

1. CTC failed all her courses in the entire 2020-2021 school year.
2. CFC graduated from high school in May 2021 with a GPA of 1.3.
3. UCC's academic performance has remained below the State of Ohio's average.

It is not in the public interest in Ohio or anywhere in the United States for children to be raised this way, especially when both parents are physicians. Unfortunately, the above preventable foreseeable situation occurred because the trial court refused to review O.C.'s evidence on August 28, 2020. O.C. complained about bias in the judicial system in 2018 and filed an affidavit for the trial judge's disqualification in August 2019, but the bias worsened.

The issues raised in my jurisdictional appeal are of public interest because if trial courts in the United States follow the precedence of refusing to ensure that correct data are used in deciding child custody cases, then the determination of child custody will be arbitrary and unlawful.

**CONCLUSION**

Family life and raising our children are fundamental for the future of our society and deserve consideration by this court. The lack of due process in this child custody determination is contrary to the 14th amendment of the US constitution and needs to be prevented from being used as precedence. This court needs to review this case and define ways of avoiding deception and reaching the truth in evidentiary hearings in child custody matters in the United States.

Respectfully submitted,

s/O.C.  
O.C.  
7309 Winchester Dr.  
Solon, OH 44139  
(713) 574-0043  
okwy60@yahoo.com

*Petitioner Pro Se*

October 28, 2022

**RULE 44(2) CERTIFICATE**

I hereby certify that this petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay, and that it is restricted to the grounds specified in Supreme Court Rule 44.2.

s/O.C.  
O.C.  
7309 Winchester Dr.  
Solon, OH 44139  
(713) 574-0043  
okwy60@yahoo.com

*Petitioner Pro Se*

October 28, 2022