


21-7573 ORIGINAL
No. _____



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jean Lynn Lillie — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Iowa — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Iowa Supreme Court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jean Lynn Lillie
(Your Name)

2560 Jewell Avenue
(Address)

Anthon, Iowa, 51004
(City, State, Zip Code)

(712) 823-8756
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

May a failed state target American Citizens for money or from whom it wants money?

May a county with a budget shortfall, wanting to build a new jail, refusing to cut outrageously high wages and salaries of local government employees, contractors, public office holders or department budgets be predatory to American Citizens in attempts to obtain monies to make up for their failed state?

May government attack women?, the poor?, religious persons? racial or ethnic minorities?

May local government collect cash money from uninvolved third parties under the guise of a refundable cash appearance bond on a one-count misdemeanor case then refuse to return the third-party's monies after all appearances have been met and the case is closed?

May sheriffs ~~not~~ take sizable to large sums of U.S. Currency cash from third party's for posting set bond for a person and not allow that third party payer to be the poster of said bond but require the bondee (would-be, bonded) to be "poster" of a third-party's money with the undisclosed, unagreed to ulterior motive of taking and keeping said monies belonging to a third party for alleged, but not evidenced, monies wanted by the sheriff, state, clerk of court or court?

May the state engage in deceptive or fraudulent practices to obtain monies from American consumers?

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

(Page 2)

... continued from previous page 1

May the state cause well-intending American Citizens who acted in good faith by posting set, requested bond to default on their bank loans, car title loans, payday loans, personal loans, loans against their house, business, farm, ranch, company, private loans, trades, contracts agreements due to the states running of a bond scam / because the state, sheriff, clerk, courts ran a self-interested bond scam?

Are Americans Facing jail time entitled to an attorney?

Are local trial judges allowed to be abusive?

May a traffic and misdemeanor judge harass and abuse an American lady months after the case to which he was assigned where she was a party elosed?

May the states, clerk of court, judge, sheriff interfere with an Appellant's appeal process or posting of an Appeal bond?

May a judge refuse to transfer Appellants' available appearance bond to be released to the Appeal bond he set in order to block Appellants' ability to post the set Appeal bond?

May a misdemeanor judge interfere with an American citizen's appeal, appeal process or posting of appeal bond?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

State of Iowa Supreme Court Case No. S.Ct. No. 21-1393
(appeal from final judgement) open
Woodbury County, Iowa case no. AGCR107672 closed

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Iowa Supreme Court No. 21-1364 October 5, 2021 order by Justice McDermott</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>Iowa State District Court order appointing Appellate Attorney October 4, 2021</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>State of Iowa Appellate Defender's Request for the court to appoint private appellate counsel</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>State of Iowa District Court Woodbury County No. AGER107672 October 4, 2021 2 pg. order</i>
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

Constitution of the United States

Amendments, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14

Due Process

Redress

Free Exercise

Equal Protection

Property Rights

Contract Law

Consumer Protection laws

Ex post facto

Right to assistance of an attorney

Appearance bonds

Appeal bonds

OTHER

Abuses by government

Harassment by government

Scams by government

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at Iowa Supreme Court Clerk of Court; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was October 5, 21. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Monetary incentives to government employees, bodies, agencies or departments or contractors or actors to remove the personal liberty of an American Citizen.

Monetary incentives to government to keep an American Citizen in America in custody, or require excessive proceedings, durations of cases (beyond speedy trial), or refuse to return or take the private property, including monies, of or from American Citizens.

SIXTH AMENDMENT rights to ~~Due Process~~ an attorney

FIFTH AMENDMENT rights to Due Process

FOURTH AMENDMENT right to security of person, properties, effects

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT right to equal protection and due process

EIGHTH AMENDMENT right + against excessive bail or cruel or unusual punishment

Legal right to exemption pursuant to Iowa Code 627.6 (14)

Legal right to cash appearance bond after appearances met and case closed

Legal right to private property owned by American

Legal right to informed consent

Legal right to disclosure

Consumer rights to be protected ~~from~~ from deceptive or fraudulent practices by government (consumer law, consumer protection laws)

Ex post facto violations by government

FIRST AMENDMENT free exercise clause

Abuses by government (crimes or illegal activities)

Government's attempted obstruction of posting appeal bond

Government's interference ~~with~~ with appeal process

Other unconstitutional, illegal or criminal acts by government

Legal right to be free from government surveillance, abuses, harassment, weapons, theft, intrusion, privacy invasion, etc.

FIRST AMENDMENT right to redress grievances to government and receive remedy

SECOND AMENDMENT right of an American Citizen to keep & bear arms.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On April 2, 2020, Woodbury county, Iowa falsely-accused Petitioner, an American Citizen, whom they had literally waged war toward, attacked, irreparably-harmed, seriously-injured, used advanced weapons on, shot munitions, chemical weapons and deadly technologies at for decades and done COINTELPROs to more than 30 (thirty) consecutive years.

State of Iowa maliciously-brought a fabricated one-count misdemeanor, put American Citizen in custody, took stored private property from her private home on private property she owns and whose name alone is on the house, land, buildings, property deed, took her cell phone which was idle and locked inside the house she owns after the state put her in custody and filed trial information on April 2, 2020 by the same local Woodbury county assistant attorney, Ms. A. Ladeas, who had fabricated an accusation of trespassing by being on the public road (county road L-21) early afternoon of a June weekday in 2013, and committed 8 counts of perjury (eight) to maliciously deprive Petitioner of her personal liberty and critically-necessary medical care to acute serious injuries of fractured cervical spine, fractured pelvis, paralyzed arm, paralyzed leg, concussion, etc. Woodbury county admittedly and maliciously caused in their attempted murder hits on Petitioner April 2, 2020.

On April 3, 2020 at a makeshift, contraindicated configuration in the booking area of Woodbury county's notoriously evil jail, more than 8 sheriff surrounded Petitioner in the otherwise empty small space at an electronic appearance to a screen where a small photo of Magistrate Jessica Nell appeared near the ceiling. The Magistrate stated her agreement that the makeshift configuration was absurd. The sheriff intentionally interfered with Petitioner's communication with the Magistrate, hadn't allowed Petitioner any phone call to any attorney or anyone and wouldn't and used mobbing, crowding, harassment and abusive tactics to obstruct Petitioner from telling Magistrate what the sheriff did, about the serious injuries sheriff's caused, the lack of a phone call, asking for a signature bond to obtain needed specialized medical care at a major medical center by surgeons, orthopods, neurologists and oral surgeons, et al., etc.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 2)

... Continued From previous page 1

The sheriff, who has a government contract, has been, was, and is doing atrocities, crimes and illegal acts to Petitioner under the guise of covert "programs" obstructed Petitioner's initial appearance and call to an attorney and infringed Petitioner's legal rights resulting in a \$5,000.00 appearance bond, which Petitioner protested as unaffordable to the Magistrate, and appointment of the public defender at which point, Petitioner asked for a private attorney, and 36 (thirty-six) consecutive days in the notoriously awful, abusive, filthy Woodbury county jail where everyone held there is subjected to torture of grotesque sleep deprivation, starvation, malnourishment, reversal of the human body's time clock, injurious noise by TV volume decibels, but Petitioner was additionally tortured by advanced weapons and technologies, assaults, covert ops by guards, Ladeas, traffic and misdemeanor judge and planted operatives.

The windowless place is designed and operated in such a manner to increase conviction rates, compound cases, obstruct justice, irreparably harm Americans and increase undue monies to their county and state (aka steal, rob, cheat.) Monetary incentives exist in Iowa that cause the state to do crimes and illegal acts against American citizens to try to increase conviction rates which increases monies and property taken from ~~one~~ said American by government.

More recently, Iowa enacted a law which monetarily incentivizes the setting of high appearance bonds, denials to amend bond, denials of rights to bond review hearings, obstruction of being bonded, state's, clerk's, court's effectual theft of American citizens' cash appearance bond monies.

In this case, the Magistrate set bond at \$5,000.00 which she knew and was told was unattainable and unaffordable to Petitioner and excessive

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 3)

... Continued from previous page 2
on a one-count misdemeanor case.

On Petitioner's motion for bond review, a bond-review hearing was set for April 10, 2020, Good Friday of Easter Weekend. The requirements of an hearing didn't seem to be met and the state violated Petitioner's rights.

Petitioner's court-appointed public defender was in a different U.S. State than the case, the court, the state and her client. Petitioner's attorney was unexpectedly on her cellular phone at her farm in South Dakota while the case was in state court in Iowa, apparently supposed to be on an electronic platform via computers and Internet such as Go To Meeting® or Zoom®. Who was in any courtroom is unknown; the parties weren't visible to Petitioner. Petitioner was inside the county jail near executive branch sheriff who had another make-shift configuration - prejudicial against A Petitioner - that didn't work. Petitioner was ~~also~~ also without assistance of counsel. Her attorney was supposed to be on the Internet Platform or courtroom, but wasn't.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 4)

... Continued from previous page 3

More than five (5) severe incidents of perjury by local assistant, Ms. Ladeas, from the state, furthered the prejudicial situation. Petitioner, in custody with her acute fractures and other sheriff-deputy-caused injuries since April 2, 2020 was kept wondering throughout Easter Weekend and her Easter Monday birthday to later learn traffic and misdemeanor judge Mr. Mark Cord had denied to amend the excessive bond or release for medical care.

Petitioner motion for bond review was done a second time by Petitioner's attorney. Mr. Mark Cord refused to set an hearing, refused to consider the motion, violating Petitioner's right to an hearing.

Mr. Mark Cord also stated he wouldn't amend bond which trampled on any hope for future release - an ancient torture tactic used in wars - raise hope, then deny thing hoped for.

Petitioner's attorney filed a third motion for bond review. Hearing was set for May 5, 2020. Another bizarre makeshift configuration in the executive branch surrounded by sheriff and unable to see any of the participants except herself was Petitioner's plight, arranged by sheriff.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 5)

... Continued from Page 4

at the hearing on Petitioner's Third Motion For Bond Review. Petitioner's attorney wasn't in the same branch of government (was in a different branch of government (judicial)) than her client, Petitioner, who was still in the executive branch of government unable to have assistance of legal counsel or communicate with her attorney or tell her attorney she couldn't see proceeding or parties) as her attorney.

After more than three (3) additional serious counts of perjury by local assistant county attorney (local prosecutor), Ms. Athena Despina Ladeas, to the Mr. Mark Cord court, Mr. Cord took Petitioner's request to allow 10% of bond (\$500.00 cash) to be posted directly to the Clerk of Court at Woodbury County under consideration.

After three persons overcame Woodbury county's intentional attempts to obstruct bail of Petitioner from Woodbury county's jail, on May 7, 2020 at approximately 7:00 PM CT, Petitioner was released. Prior to being released, Woodbury county sheriff forced Petitioner to complete and sign a bond (appearance) posting form. Said form didn't have an option for the payer - poster's money to go back to (be returned to) her, so Petitioner specifically stated to sheriff she wanted all of the \$500.00 payer - poster gave to the sheriff to be returned to the payer - poster whom the sheriff knew and had said to Petitioner was waiting in a vehicle outside of the sheriff's jail door and from whom said sheriff had received and taken \$500.00 for the sole purpose of posting bond (appearance bond) for Petitioner to be bonded. Sheriff told Petitioner that wasn't an option, but the \$500.00 would be returned to Petitioner and Petitioner and Petitioner

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 6)

... Continued from previous page 5

could give it to payer-poster.

Woodbury county knew a third-party brought \$500.00 cash U.S. Currency and personally and directly handed such to a uniformed Woodbury county sheriff, Sgt. Jorgensen. The third party, a blood relative of Petitioner had been trying to post the \$500.00 through electronic or telephone means for days to Woodbury county for said bonding of Petitioner. Whereas the county's methods, practices and system were all set-up to make bonding out of the county jail as difficult, cumbersome, laborious, time-consuming and frustrating as possible and cause delays, thus more time in jail, therefore, more money to the county, the payer-poster finally having no alternative, drove 10 (ten) hours in the rain and dark and fog during a rainstorm on dark, desolate rural two-lane roads on a worknight weeknight to personally hand deliver \$500.00 cash bond (appearance bond to bond Petitioner on May 7, 2020 at 7:00 PM in the evening.

The state and court have refused to release the known reportings and recordings of April 10, 2020 bond review hearing where local prosecutor lied profusely to the Mark Lund court to deprive an American Citizen, Petitioner, of her personal liberty and critically-necessary medical attention to the serious spinal fractures and nerve damage the sheriff maliciously, intentionally and admittedly caused

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 7)

... Continued from previous page 6

to Petitioner, and where Mr. Mark Cord, local misdemeanor judge, confirmed with Petitioner the facts her injuries were beyond the capabilities of the jail nursing staff (yet didn't release on April 10, 2020) and he and Ms. Ladeas called a one-count misdemeanor, "serious crimes", and where Ladeas, local prosecutor, invoked a U.S. Postal Service mail carrier and spread hearsay or gossip or more lies without evidence alleging to quote a mail carrier whose affidavits and other affidavits she told the judge she was in the process of gathering while Petitioner's court-appointed attorney, who was in a different U.S. State, (South Dakota), than her client, the case (Iowa) and judge and on her cellular phone rather than Internet platform she was supposed to be on in Iowa, perhaps giving rise to a diversity claim or other jurisdiction, such as Federal, whereas, the case, proceedings and parties crossed state lines, said nothing, raised no hearsay objection, and when she finally did object, used an evidentiary objection which the judge promptly overruled saying, "This is an evidentiary hearing."

The local prosecutor never submitted or entered any "affidavits". She also never showed for another hearing in the case being replaced by local prosecutor Mr. Trevor Brass at the next hearing.

The regular mail carrier out of Anthon, Iowa quit her full-time postal job shortly after being questioned about informal, defaming remarks to a local prosecutor.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 8)

... Continued from previous page 7

Petitioner respectfully asks the great High Court to order the state and court to produce their copies of the April 10, 2020 or obtain such from Go to meeting® or Zoom® or the NSA. Judge Mark Cord made his own digital recording, without authorization and adequate disclosure taking and keeping Petitioner's voice and personal identifier without her knowledge and against her will. Petitioner demands those original recordings and full disclosure of their use.

After lengthy and repeated SPEEDY TRIAL violations by the state and absences, no shows or neglect by court appointments, the one-count misdemeanor case went to trial on Day 434 (four hundred thirty-four) speedy trial having lapsed at Day 90 and Day 365 (auto dismissal according to Iowa Code).

The newly-appointed private attorney, on the case only three weeks prior to the trial date of June 10, 2021, couldn't overcome the perjuries, misconducts, violations by law by the state, cops, complainant, judge and jury. A sentencing hearing was set for August 4, 2021. Said hearing took place. Mr. Mark Cord subsequently set a second sentencing hearing for September 8, 2021. There had been 90 days between the trial and the second sentencing hearing. In the meantime, .

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 9)

... Continued from previous page 8

Mr. Cord refused to stop his unilateral mandate Petitioner interact with cops and be subjected to their psychological warfare, extortion of testimonial evidence, threats, costs, intrusion, abuses, restraints, weapons, etc. through his pre-trial punishment after 500 consecutive days. September 8, 2021

On ~~Sept August 8, 2021~~, Mr. Mark Cord refused Petitioner's attorney's request to transfer Petitioner's relative's appearance bond of \$500.00 cash to the Appeal bond he set at \$4,000.00

(Petitioner had relative's permission to transfer.).

Mr. Mark Cord, misdemeanor judge, added nine (9) additional days jail time, \$718 fine + surcharge, a \$50 payment due in 1 month and each subsequent month at threat of the "collection process", a 5-year additional NCO after 524 days of NCO without complaint or falsely-alleged violation, up to \$181.-- of restitution, knowing Petitioner only had \$181.-- remaining in the world and a mittimus date of October 7, 2021 at 10AM.

Then Mr. Mark Cord refused to return Petitioner's cash appearance bond to Payer - Porter on Petitioner. The clerk of court wanted \$222.75 from it, so while admitting the \$500.00 was eligible for release and

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (Page 10)

... Continued from previous page 9

to be returned, refused to return or release any of it to the third-party poster-payer or Petitioner on to Appeal bond. Petitioner requested unmentioned \$227.25 be released and returned, Mr. Mark Cord refused. clerk of court had sent a letter after the September 8, 2021 hearing demanding a contest be received by the clerk within 15 days from the date of the clerk's letter or to the clerk's effectual theft of third-party ~~payee~~ payer monies posted solely as a returnable, refundable cash appearance bond to bond Petitioner (Petitioner made each appearance (indisputed). Said time frame is inadequate. Such activities by the state, clerk and court are a scam upon American Citizens, the consuming public and worse to lenders, payers or posters of appearance bonds. Such activities, cons, scams, etc., by the state, clerk, court/local judge knowingly and intentionally jeopardized Petitioner's posting of the \$4,000.00 Appeal bond, notice of appeal filing, appeal process and polluted, convoluted and confused cases, the appeal and made no separation in practice between the trial case (lower court) which was technically closed and the appellate case which had opened in state supreme court (Iowa).

With a mittimus date of October 7, 2021 looming and delays in posting the Appeal bond occurring, and jail time if Appeal bond

STATEMENT OF CASE (Page 11)

... Continued from previous page 10

wasn't posted by October 7, 2021 9:59 AM, Petitioner asked the Mark Cord court to appoint an attorney, to the new case the state, clerk and Cord court had brought and caused by refusing to return \$500.00 appearance bond which put state in breach of contract.

Mark Cord refused. He had set an hearing on the clerk effectually stealing third-party's cash appearance bond for October 18, 2021. If mittimus date were not made moot, eradicated by posting \$4,000.00 Appeal bond, Petitioner would hypothetically be in jail and if lived through it and the sheriff and state not killed or otherwise irreparably harmed Petitioner, had been released on the weekend, stranded 70 minutes from her house with a Monday October 18, 2021 hearing about the state's attempt to steal Petitioner's relatives' money.

Mr. Cord knew that and set, caused and made things that way.

Petitioner managed to post the Appeal bond of \$4,000.00 on October 1, 2021 making moot the mittimus date which was eradicated.

The state's, clerk's and court's undisclosed, unsupported, unsubstantiated refusal to release due and posted appearance bonds under original and only terms it was issued are a scam against Americans, including Petitioner and Petitioner's relatives lender, payer poster.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The questions and Constitutional Issues and Statutory Provisions Involved are common among Americans throughout the United States, therefore the Opinion by Supreme Court of the United States will affect many Americans, U.S. States, criminal cases, bond-setting, -posting, -return, lending monies to post bond, repayment of such loans, defaulting on said loans, consumer protection issues, fraudulent and deceptive practices to consumers, accused Americans, persons choosing or wanting to post or loan bond for others by local, state or Federal governments.

The ~~for~~ Constitutional Provisions involved will affect future generations of Americans and their circle of influences, living generations of Americans and their families and friends and their heirs of Americans and the surviving relatives of Americans who've perished and are owed money, refunds, returns of bond monies posted and wrongfully kept or taken by the state.

The questions raised by this Petition go to the heart and core of our form of government and liberties and rights guaranteed to us. Are individuals allowed assistance by counsel where jail time is a direct or indirect effect of state's action or court's requirement? Are American citizens allowed due process of law? Equal protection? Must appearance bonds be reasonable or just? Are third-party posters of appearance bonds allowed to have their private property (money) back after the requirements are met by ~~post~~ person posted for or case in which bond was posted closed.

Can a local or state trial judge abuse or harass or terrorize or torture an American citizen for months AFTER the misdemeanor case he sat on for 600 consecutive days is closed by him?