

21-7572


No. 21-40082

6:19-cv-80

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

for the fifth Circuit



ORIGINAL

Rebekah Rachell Shropshire — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Bobby Lumpkin — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the fifth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Rebekah Rachell Shropshire
(Your Name)

1401 State School Rd
(Address)

Statesville, TX 76599
(City, State, Zip Code)

861-655-16843 emergency contact
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) I would like to know why am I incarcerated and denied relief from the state of Texas for the violation of my Constitutional rights after excessive delay on the states behalf?
- 2) Was it reasonable defense for the trial Counsel to not object to Trial Court's failure to instruct for a lesser charge then murder if evidence weighs to self defense?
- 3) Was it reasonable for trial Counsel to fail to object to state argument that was outside record and a improperly injected expert opinion during closing argument?
- 4) Was it reasonable for trial Counsel to fail to object to testimony of Detective Hernandez's comment testimony on applicant's exercise of her Constitutional right to silence after arrest and reading of Marinda rights?
- 5) Was it reasonable for Trial Counsel to fail to designate all expert witnesses including her father who is trained as a combat for knives in the United States military during Vietnam?
- 6) Was it reasonable for trial Counsel to not object to trial court's failure to include that she has Bipolar I and major depression mood disorder and raised under post traumatic stress disorder from a military veteran?
- 7) Was it reasonable for trial Counsel to withhold any evidence to support applicants innocence?

LIST OF PARTIES

(5th Circuit) United States Court of Appeals
600 S. Maestri Place Suite 115 New Orleans, LA 70130

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Applicant: Rebekah Rachel Shropshire
Presiding Judge: Hon. Joseph P. Kelly
Trial Counsel: NATALI S. Villafranca
Appellate Counsel: Luis Martinez
Prosecutors: Micheal Shephard
 Alicia H. Kendall
Heabus Counsel: George Parkman and Tom Abbate

RELATED CASES

United States District Court of Texas
Southern District: 213-CV-00230

United States District Court of Texas
Southern District: 6:19-CV-80

United States Court of Appeals
5th Circuit: NO. 21-40082
Circuit Judges: ELROD, OGDHAM, and Wilson

United States District Court
Southern District of Texas
Corpus Christi Division; Victoria
1983 Civil rights complaint on Native American
and Jewish descendant.

1983 civil rights complaint on Violation of constitutional rights
of paid attorney for defendant.



IDENTITY OF PARTIES AND COUNSEL

DEFENDANT/APPLICANT: **MRS. REBEKAH SHROPSHIRE**

PRESIDING JUDGE: **HON. JOSEPH P. KELLY**
115 North Bridge Street, 3rd Floor
Victoria, Texas 77901-6544
(361) 575-3172

TRIAL COUNSEL: **MR. NEFTALI J. VILLAFRANCA**
PO Box 1848
Victoria, TX 77902-1848
(361) 578-3579

APPELLATE COUNSEL: **MR. LUIS MARTINEZ**
PO Box 410
Victoria, TX 77902
(361) 676-2750

PROSECUTORS: **MR. MICHAEL SHEPPARD**
Dewitt County District Attorney
DeWitt County Courthouse
307 North Gonzales Street
Cuero, Texas 77954
(361) 275-2612

HABEAS COUNSEL **MR. GEORGE PARNHAM**
MR. TOM ABBATE
440 Louisiana St, Ste 200
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 224-3967

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	United States Court of Appeals (Fifth Cir.)
APPENDIX B	United States district Court, Victoria division
APPENDIX C	Texas Criminal Court of Appeals (Austin, Texas)
APPENDIX D	Court of Appeals Thirteenth District of Texas Corpus Christi - EDINBURG
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Abdnor v. State</i> , 871 S.W.2d 726 (Tex. Crim. App. 1994).....	15, 16, 19, 42
<i>Aguilar v. State</i> , 682 S.W.2d 556 (Tex. Crim. App. 1985).....	39
<i>Almanza v. State</i> , 686 S.W.2d 157 (Tex. Crim. App. 1985).....	37, 42
<i>Bignall v. State</i> , 887 S.W.2d 21 (Tex. Crim. App. 1994).....	39
<i>Boget v. State</i> , 40 S.W.3d 624 (Tex.App.-San Antonio 2001)	14
<i>Bone v. State</i> , 77 S.W.3d 828 (Tex. Crim. App. 2002).....	13, 26
<i>Borjan v. State</i> , 787 S.W.2d 53 (Tex. Crim. App. 1990).....	21, 23
<i>Brown v. State</i> , 955 S.W.2d 276 (Tex. Crim. App. 1997)	15
<i>Bufkin v. State</i> , 207 S.W.3d 779 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006)	15
<i>Cantu v. State</i> , 939 S.W.2d 627 (Tex.Crim.App. 1997).....	21
<i>Cavazos v. State</i> , 382 S.W.3d 377 (Tex. Crim. App. 2012)	38, 41
<i>Clay v. State</i> , 240 S.W.3d 895 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007)	26
<i>Cox v. State</i> , 389 S.W.3d 817 (Tex. Crim. App. 2012)	12
<i>Cunningham v. State</i> , 726 S.W.2d 151 (Tex.Crim.App.1987)	39
<i>Davis v. State</i> , 413 S.W.3d 816 (Tex. App.-Austin 2013).....	19, 24, 31, 35
<i>Day v. State</i> , 532 S.W.2d 302 (Tex.Crim.App.1976)	39
<i>Dinkins v. State</i> , 894 S.W.2d 330 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995)	25, 28
<i>Doyle v. Ohio</i> , 426 U.S. 610 (1976)	25, 28
<i>Evitts v. Lucey</i> , 469 U.S. 387 (1985)	36, 42
<i>Ex parte Acosta</i> , 672 S.W.2d 470 (Tex.Crim.App.1984).....	26
<i>Ex parte Felton</i> , 815 S.W.2d 733 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991)	12
<i>Ex parte Martinez</i> , 330 S.W.3d 891 (Tex. Crim. App. 2011)	11
<i>Ex parte Miller</i> , 330 S.W.3d 610 (Tex. Crim. App.2009).....	36, 37, 42
<i>Ex Parte Moore</i> , 395 S.W.3d 152 (Tex. Crim. App. 2013).....	12
<i>Ex parte Nailor</i> , 149 S.W.3d 125 (Tex.Crim.App. 2004)	27, 31
<i>Ex parte Rich</i> , 194 S.W.3d 508 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006).....	12
<i>Ex parte Santana</i> , 227 S.W.3d 700 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007).....	36
<i>Ex parte Schuessler</i> , 846 S.W.2d 850 (Tex.Crim.App.1993).....	26
<i>Ex parte Torres</i> , 943 S.W.2d 469 (Tex. Crim. App. 1997)	12, 26, 27
<i>Ferrel v. State</i> , 55 S.W.3d 586 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001).....	14, 18
<i>Frangias v. State</i> , 392 S.W.3d 642 (Tex. Crim. App. 2013)	12
<i>Granger v. State</i> , 3 S.W.3d 36 (Tex.Crim.App.1999).....	14, 15, 18
<i>Hall v. State</i> , 225 S.W.3d 524 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007).....	38
<i>Hamel v. State</i> , 916 S.W.2d 491 (Tex. Crim.App. 1996)	14, 18
<i>Hayes v. State</i> , 728 S.W.2d 804 (Tex.Crim.App.1987).....	14, 18
<i>Hernandez v. State</i> , 726 S.W.2d 53 (Tex. Crim. App. 1986)	11
<i>Jackson v. State</i> , 110 S.W.3d 626 (Tex.App.-Houston [14th Dist] 2003).....	15
<i>Jackson v. State</i> , 17 S.W.3d 664 (Tex.Crim.App. 2000).....	21
<i>Jacob v. State</i> , 892 S.W.2d 905 (Tex.Crim.App. 1995)	40
<i>Jordan v. State</i> , 646 S.W.2d 946 (Tex.Crim.App. 1983)	21, 23
<i>King v. State</i> , 649 S.W.2d 42 (Tex. Crim. App. 1983).....	34
<i>Kirsch v. State</i> , 357 S.W.3d 645 (Tex. Crim. App. 2012)	15
<i>Malik v. State</i> , 953 S.W.2d 234 (Tex. Crim. App. 1997)	37

<i>McCarthy v. State</i> , 65 S.W.3d 47 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001).....	26
<i>Middleton v. State</i> , 125 S.W.3d 450 (Tex. Crim. App. 2003)	15, 37
<i>Miranda v. Arizona</i> , 384 U.S. 436 (1966)	25, 28
<i>Moore v. State</i> , 969 S.W.2d 4 (Tex.Crim.App. 1998)	38, 40
<i>Reyna v. State</i> , 168 S.W.3d 173 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).....	13
<i>Robinson v. State</i> , 16 S.W.3d 808 (Tex. Crim. App. 2000).....	12
<i>Rousseau v. State</i> , 855 S.W.2d 666 (Tex.Crim.App.1993)	38
<i>Royster v. State</i> , 622 S.W.2d 442 (Tex.Crim.App.1981).....	38
<i>Schaetzle v. Cockrell</i> , 343 F.3d 440 (5th Cir.2003).....	36
<i>Shaw v. State</i> , 243 S.W.3d 647 (Tex.Crim.App. 2007)	14, 15, 18
<i>Shelvin v. State</i> , 884 S.W.2d 874 (Tex. App.-Austin 1994)	15
<i>Skinner v. State</i> , 956 S.W.2d 532 (Tex. Crim. App. 1997).....	39
<i>Smith v. Robbins</i> , 528 U.S. 259 (2000).....	36
<i>Smith v. State</i> , 676 S.W.2d 584 (Tex. Crim.App.1984).....	14
<i>Snowden v. State</i> , 353 S.W.3d 815, 818 (Tex. Crim. App. 2011)	25, 26, 28
<i>State v. Boget</i> , 74 S.W.3d 23 (Tex. Crim.App.2002)	14
<i>Strickland v. Washington</i> , 466 U.S. 668 (1984)	11, 12, 43
<i>Sweed v. State</i> , 351 S.W.3d 63 (Tex. Crim. App. 2011).....	39
<i>Thompson v. State</i> , 9 S.W.3d 808 (Tex. Crim. App. 1999).....	passim
<i>Threadgill v. State</i> , 146 S.W.3d 654 (Tex. Crim. App. 2004).....	38
<i>Villarreal v. State</i> , 286 S.W.3d 321 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009).....	37
<i>Walters v. State</i> , 247 S.W.3d 204 (Tex.Crim.App. 2007)	14, 15, 18

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 2254.....	3
Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art 37.09	38, 40
Tex. Code Crim. Proc. art. 36.19	37
Tex. Penal Code § 9.31	13
Tex. Penal Code § 9.32	14

Constitutional Provisions

U.S. Const. amend. V.....	25, 28
U.S. Const. amend. VI	11

Rules

Tex. R. App. P. 33.1.....	13
Tex.R.App. P. 44.2.....	25, 28

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On December 08, 2020 I was denied a Certificate of Appealability and the motion for the summary judgment was granted on behalf of the State of Texas for Unequitable tolling under the AEDP Law. My decision to file a 11.07 Habeas instead of a PDR after my appellate lawyer failed to notify me of the final conviction.

This judgment was kept throughout the federal courts also due to one day late from my Counsel for post convictions during Covid lockdown safety precautions. On December 08, 2020 United States District Judge Brew B. Tipton set this judgment.

United States Court of Appeals 5th Circuit made a final decision on Appendix A. May have been I was prose and not advance or able to access court documents or rules.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I pray that my violation of Constitutional rights, my remorse, the time I have already taken, and the truth be enough to support the need for relief. My father is a Vietnam Veteran that has cancer and it is hardship.

Thank you,

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Rebekah Rachel Shropshire

Date: 3-15-22