

No. 21-7527

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

NOV 01 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

KIERON D. PENIGAR PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

TEXAS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SOUTH. DIST. OF TEXAS
U.S. DIST. COURT - Hous. Div.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

KIERON DEREK PENIGAR
(Your Name)

12071 FM 355 RB UNIT
(Address)

ABILENE TX 79601
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

WAS THE 13TH AMEND. TO THE U.S. CONST
PASSED TO ALLOW SLAVERY IN THESE UNITED
STATES?

DOES STATE'S RIGHT [10TH AMEND TO THE
U.S. CONST.] PRESCRIBE TEXAS THE RIGHT
TO ENGAGEMENT IN SLAVERY LEGALLY?

DO STATE/FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS NOT
APPLY TO THE DARK-SKINNED [BLACK] RACE/S
OF [OUR] REPUBLIC?

"...WHAT'S GOING ON..." AM I A TEXAS SLAVE?

WHAT/WHO IS A SLAVE?

IS 0-2-2-7-8-4-1-0 MY CURRENT
SLAVE NUMBER?

DO WE IGNORE THE JURISDICTION
CLAUSE IN THE 6TH AMENDMENT TO THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

PRIETO BAIL BOND V. STATE

TEXAS CONST. ARTICLE 16 SECT. 1

WHITESIDE V. UNITED STATES.

BARRER OATES V. STATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2 2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3 3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4 4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5 5
CONCLUSION.....	6 6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	MOST RECENT STATE DQC COULD FIND (GROUNDS SAME SINCE 2006) (STROKE)
APPENDIX B	LIST OF PARTIES (CASE NO. 20-20153)
APPENDIX C	SIX ITF STATEMENT/S (PROOF OF INDIGENY)
APPENDIX D	NEWS & LETTERS COMMENTARY THOUGHTS FROM THE OUTSIDE / AFTER JUNE 17, 2014
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

PRIETO BAILBOND V. STATE
WHITESIDE V. UNITED STATES

STATUTES AND RULES

TEXAS CONST. ART. 16 SECT. 1
ANTI-BRIBERY STATUTE

OTHER U.S. AMENDS. 6, 10, 13 & 14.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ~~7~~⁸ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts:**

N/A

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts:**

N/A

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

KIERON DEREK PENIGAR, PRO SE, IS A LAYMAN LITIGANT UNDER UNLAWFUL JURISDICTION OF THE TDCJ [ID] [TEXAS] NEARLY 32 YRS. BECAUSE TEXAS JUDGES REFUSE TO DECLARE [A] CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE BEFORE TAKING THE BENCH IN THEIR CASES. TEXAS CONST. ART. 16 SECT 1 THE ANTI-BRIBERY STATEMENT IS A NON-WAIVABLE REQUIREMENT [AMENDMENT] PASSED IN 1989 A.D. YET WAS AFFIRMED IN 2000 A.D. BY PRIETO BAILBOND V. STATE

STATE [TEXAS] JUDGES FAILURE TO DECLARE THE ANTI-BRIBERY STATEMENT IN EACH CASE RENDERS SAME AUTOMATICLY VOID AND W/O EFFECT FOR FAILURE TO PROPERLY ESTABLISH THE [COURT'S] JURISDICTION AND AUTHORITY IN [THE] ACTION.

PENIGAR'S ILLEGALLY IN PRISON AND IS LITERALLY / FIGURATIVELY A SLAVE PURSUANT TO THE 13TH AMEND. TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PENIGAR, PRO SE, A 53 YRS. OLD LITIGANT WHO IS DISABLED BY A STROKE IN 2014 WAS BEATEN-UP WITH DOUBLED-UP FIST BY A PRISON GUARD 70 DAYS BEFORE DISCHARGE OF AN UNLAWFUL SENTENCE IN NOVEMBER 2017. CASE NO. 08-10294

AFTER TAMPERING WITH VIDEO EVIDENCE THAT WOULD PROVE PENIGAR'S ALLEGATION/S OF ASSAULT SAME WAS PRESENTED TO OIG AND AN ARREST WARRANT WAS ISSUED THE DAY PENIGAR WAS RELEASED FROM PRISON 17 JAN 18 A DAY LATE BECAUSE OF INCLIMATE WEATHER WINTER STORM ENGA/INGA 16 JAN 18.

DESPITE PENIGAR'S PRE-TRIAL/POST-TRIAL MOTION TO SUPPRESS/ARREST JUDGEMENT PENIGAR WAS CONVICTED BY [11-1] WHITE JURY AND SENTENCED TO 5 YRS. BY JUDGE

ALTHOUGH PENIGAR HAS FILED PLEADING UP TO AND INCLUDING "REHEARING BY THE COURT EN BANC" THE 5TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS COURT CLERK/S REFUSE TO PRESENT HIS CASE TO THE COURT FOR FAILURE TO PAY SANCTION PENIGAR DOES NOT HAVE IN CASE NO. 08-10294 THUS BLOCKING HIS PLEA OF INNOCENCE.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PENIGAR, PROSE, DECLARE HIS INNOCENCE
IN THE CASE FOR ASSAULT OF A PUBLIC-SERVANT
FOR THE TRIAL JUDGE'S FAILURE TO DECLARE
THE ANTI-BRIBERY STATEMENT PRIOR TO SITTING
ON THE BENCH IN THIS CASE. HE IS UNLAW
FULLY UNDER JURISDICTION OF THE STATE OF
TEXAS FOR FAILURE OF THE COURT TO PROPE
RLY ESTABLISH ITS AUTHORITY - INNOCENT
ILLEGAL IMPRISONMENT IS SLAVERY.
BY PEACE AND AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF TEXAS.
NO ANTI-BRIBERY STATEMENT NO JURISDICTION.
WHITESIDE V. UNITED STATES. [IGNORANCE
PROVIDES NO EXCUSE.]

THE AMENDMENT WAS AFFIRMED BY
PRIETO BAILBOND V. STATE. [1998-2000]
THUS I AM NOT SUPPOSED TO BE IN
PRISON. I AM A SLAVE.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Kieron D. Penigar

Date: November 1, 2021