

ORIGINAL Petition

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT


KERR NO 21-7440 } Petition for
✓ } Rehearing
GOMEZ, Warden }

Petitioners motion under § 2241, pursuant
A Reheif claim was denied due the
trial court entering a stipulation to § 922(g)(1)

Petitioner refused to sign stipulation as reflected
in the Trial Transcript and subsequently
in Direct Appeal, the entire contents of this
stipulation were waived and ruled in the
defendants favor.

To now deny Cert is a Miscarriage of Justice
as (1) Defendant predicate for 922(g)(1) was a
crime sentenced to a Mandated 10 months maximum
and (2) The jury ruled Not Guilty anyway
see, Attachment.

Kyle Goff submitted on April 28, 2022
Signed [Signature]



IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

KERR case NO 21-7440	} Petition for Rehearing; } Certification by Pro Se } that this request is in } Good Faith and/or } Won for Delay
v	
GOMEZ, WARDEN	

Petition Request is solely for the purpose of preventing a fundamental Miscarriage of Justice under: See Original Petition

(1) The 4th circuit originally allowed a Stipulations illegally, as the trial transcript will reflect, to which was appealed and rule in favor of defendant. See, "U.S. v. Kerr" 737 F.3d 33 (2013) under Mandate Rule footnote # 9 that, allows Kerr to argue he is not a felon. The 6th cir is still holding the Stipulations as valid and denying relief under "Rehearing."

(2) During a reconsideration Motion to the Direct Court, The Judge allowed arguments from both the government

and myself, to which when the government replied, it came with an Order from the Court Judge ordering the Reconsideration denied. I appealed to the 6th cir, to which Judge Wien ordered the Case moot as I appealed ~~before~~ before he had ruled.

I wrote the Judge, to which he said that order from the government was not his.

I sent to the clerk at the 6th cir requesting they send the only copy of said order to the District Court but, the clerk said they could not because it was an order from the District Court and they already had a copy.

Judge Wien refused to correct this issue and subsequently I file under § 351-364 Judicial Misconduct to the Chief Judge case # 06-21-90053 under 6th cir precedent that due to Prosecution Misconduct and Ex-Parte violations, the Judge's conduct amounts to Judicial Misconduct.

Pursuant to rule 44, I a Rehearing should be granted under Intervening circumstances awaiting the Outcome from the 6th cir and the Miscarriage of Justice that allows the 4th cir to alter a Jury's Verdict. Respectfully signed of May 15 2022
signed: 