
IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Ismael Ruiz,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF WYOMING,
Respondent.

CERTIFICATION OF GOOD FAITH


This Motion for Rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay. Mr. Ruiz believes he is entitled to be heard, as well as entitled to relief as a matter of law; and presents his Motion in good faith in the hopes that the lower court's (deliberate and incidental) errors will be corrected as this is causing many men (Wyoming residents, visitors and commuters passing through) who are actually innocent to be unconstitutionally incarcerated for crimes they have not committed and men who are actually guilty of lesser charges to be over-charged and over-convicted due to the Wyoming defense attorneys' conflicts of interest as well as those conflicts inherent to the structure of the Wyoming Court System causing them to be over-sentenced; all at the expense of the United States Taxpayers.

Mr. Ruiz and others like him have noticed that the inmates in Wyoming and the people they communicate with on the streets, across the entire country, have begun to opine that the State of Wyoming views the politically unconnected people as nothing more than a commodity with which to obtain Federal Larges to pad the pockets of the "Good-Ole-Boy-Network," which is the political machine in Wyoming. This is part of the reason the erosion of the public's faith in

the courts in the United States has begun and is occurring so quickly. Couple this degradation of faith with the actual conflicts within the various courts' rulings and one can clearly see there is a massive problem that desperately needs to be rectified, especially in Wyoming where there are so many conflicting rulings that one only need to search a little further than their initial find to see rulings that are polar opposites, allowing the Wyoming Courts to choose whichever ruling is best for convicting the politically unconnected and whichever ruling is best for exonerating those that are politically connected. The continuity required in the United States Constitution is not there; and now Mr. Ruiz looks to this Court to help clean the problem by holding the Wyoming Supreme Court accountable by mandating they comply with their own court's rules, the Wyoming Laws and both the United States and the Wyoming Constitutions.

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY


I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to W.S. §6-5-301; 28 USC §1746; and 18 USC §1621 that the above information contained within the foregoing filing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I therefore place my hand as seal upon this document on the date below.



Ismael Ruiz

NOTARY

Subscribed and sworn as being true under the penalty of perjury pursuant to W.S. §6-5-301; 28 USC §1746; and 18 USC §1621 by Ismael Ruiz, before me this 23rd day of May, 2022. Said individual solemnly affirmed that he has firsthand knowledge of the facts contained herein and that the facts are true, correct and complete to the best of his knowledge, understanding and belief.

State of Wyoming)
) s.s.
County of Fremont)


Notary Public



My commission expires _____

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Ismael Ruiz,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF WYOMING,
Respondent.

Intervening Circumstances Of Substantial Or Controlling Effect

This Motion for Rehearing is presented with the following Intervening Circumstances of Substantial or Controlling Effect:

1. Mr. Ruiz showed that the Wyoming Supreme Court ignored its own rules, the Standing Federal Court Rulings (including those from this Court), the Constitutional Provisions and even their own standing precedents to provide an arbitrary ruling in favor of Wyoming, ensuring a win for Wyoming and showing judicial bias and ensuring that convictions prevail over justice.
2. Mr. Ruiz showed that the Wyoming Court System has inherent conflicts that divest the Wyoming Courts' jurisdiction to hear the cases they are forcing convictions in.
3. Mr. Ruiz showed that he and his appointed counsel had an actual and active conflict of interests while the case was still active, violating his Constitutional Right to the assistance of counsel and divesting the trial court of jurisdiction to hear his case.
4. Mr. Ruiz has shown how Wyoming denies defendants access to justice through biased court practices and rulings.
5. Mr. Ruiz showed the Wyoming Supreme Court assisted the Wyoming Defense Attorney in obstructing Mr. Ruiz's court access.