

21-7412

No.

FILED

FEB 22 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MARTIN ROBINSON

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

JUDGE SHIRLEY STRICKLAND SAFFOLD, ET AL — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS 6TH CIR.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL AND FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

MARTIN ROBINSON, WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED

(Your Name)

P.O. BOX 80033

(Address)

TOLEDO, OH. 43608

(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A

(Phone Number)

REDACT FOR MY
SAFETY

RECEIVED

MAR - 1 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

PETITIONER ASKS TO PRESERVE ALL RIGHTS... MOTIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORAL ARGUMENT. PETITIONER, MARTIN ROBINSON IS WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED AND PERMANENTLY DISABLED SINCE JULY 10, 2009. PRESERVE ANY ARGUMENTS THAT I FORGET TO MAKE.

THE TRIAL COURT U.S.D.N. 1:20CV01330 FAILED TO APPOINT COUNSEL. WHY DID JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR. DISMISS DUE TO 28 USC 1915A? WHY WAS MY FORMAL COMPLAINT IGNORED AGAINST HIM AND NOT ADDRESSED BY U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 6TH CIR? WHY WAS SUPREME COURT OF OHIO DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OMITTED FROM APPEAL CAPTION 21-3019? ^{WAS THERE UNDERLYING PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, JUDICIAL ABUSE OF DISCRETIONS, CIVILITY 18CR62TTTB-A, 17CR622901-A LACK OF OVERSIGHT} THE 6TH CIR. ERRORED BY NOT APPOINTING COUNSEL OR HOLD ORAL ARGUMENT 21-3019. THEY ERRORED BY DISMISSING NOV. 01, 2021 AND DENYING REHEARING DEC. 06, 2021, CLEAR BIAS.

MY REPEATED OBJECTIONS TO CUYAHOGA COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE REPRESENTING DEFT'S / APPELLEES WAS NOT ADDRESSED AND IGNORED. IT IS A CLEAR CONFLICT.

PETITIONER IS BEING TREATED UNFAIRLY BECAUSE HE IS INCARCERATED WHICH IS DISCRIMINATION AND BECAUSE OF HIS DISABILITY. WHY WAS MY RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS DENIED?

WHY WAS FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST CIRCUIT JUDGES NOT ADDRESSED AND WAS IGNORED? WHY WAS THE CASE NOT HEARD ON ITS MERITS? 6TH CIR. DENIED ADDING DEFTS -

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

WHY WAS I PULLED OVER/STOPPED? PROFILED, THE CAR I WAS DRIVING, TIME OF DAY.
SHOULD I HAVE BEEN ARRESTED ON OCT. 31, 2017? BY POLICE OFFICERS,
A POLICE DEPT., A CITY OPERATING OUT OF ITS' JURISDICTION, OUTSIDE OF ITS
CITY LIMITS. WHY WAS I DETAINED? WHY WAS I ARRESTED? WHY, BECAUSE
OF MY DISABILITIES? WHY WAS MY VEHICLE ALLOWED TO BE UNLAWFULLY
SEARCHED? WHY WAS MY VEHICLE TOWED? UNLAWFULLY, SUPERVISORS LIABLE
THAT WERE ON DUTY. WHY WERE NONE EVER HELD ACCOUNTABLE OR REPRIMANDED?
WHY WAS THIS "CASE" ALLOWED TO PROCEED? WITH NO URINE, BLOOD, OR
BREATHALIZER? WHY WAS MY DRIVER'S LICENSE SUSPENDED
ADMINISTRATIVELY FOR THREE MONTHS WITHOUT DUE PROCESS? WHY DID
I HAVE TO PAY APPROX 500 FOR REINSTATEMENT? WHY DID I HAVE TO
PAY UNLAWFUL TOWING AND IMPOUND FEES? WHY WAS I AGAIN
FALSELY ARRESTED BY OBERLIN OHIO POLICE AND TOWED AND FINED AND
TRAFFIC POINTS AS A RESULT OF THE FALSE ADMINISTRATIVE SUSPENSION?
WHY DIDN'T THE JUDGE AT THE INITIAL COURT PROCEEDINGS
ON OCT. 31, 2017 RIGHT THE INITIAL KIDNAPPING? RIGHT THE WRONGS.
I WAS PRESUMED GUILTY, INSTEAD OF INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN
GUILTY. WHY WAS CUYAHOGA COUNTY 17CR... INDICTMENT AND CASE
ALLOWED TO PROCEED? I NOR MY ATTORNEY WAS AT A GRAND JURY
PROCEEDING. WHY? WHY WAS A CRIMINAL CASE ALLOWED TO PROCEED?
PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, MIKE O'MALLEY? WHY WAS THE
THOUSANDS IN BOND MONEY I PAID NOT RETURNED TO ME?
WHY WAS I NEVER IN THE CUYAHOGA COUNTY COURTROOM DURING
THE MONTHS OF POINTLESS, USELESS, WASTEFUL PRETRIALS?
WHY WASN'T JURY TRIAL HELD ON APRIL 11, 2018? AS SCHEDULED
SINCE MARCH 14, 2018. WHY WASN'T A TIMELY HEARING HELD ON
PLAINT DEF. MOTION TO SUPPRESS, FILED MARCH 13, 2018? AND MORE
WHY AM I STILL IN CONTINUED CUSTODY? FROM THIS MISARRIAGE
PROSECUTORIAL AND JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT, POLICE MISCONDUCT,
WHY HAS MY GUN NOT BEEN RETURNED? OR REIMBURSED?

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

WHY HAVE I NOT BEEN EXONERATED? WHY HAVE THESE FALSE NOT BEEN EXPLUNGED?

DID I AND DO I CONTINUE TO SUFFER FROM ENTRAPMENT?

HAVE I MADE SOME, MOST, OR ALL OF THESE ARGUMENTS ALREADY JUST TO BE IGNORED?

WHY WEREN'T MY THOUSANDS IN ATTORNEY FEES RECOUPED? WHY AREN'T MY PAID ATTORNEIES ASKING THESE QUESTIONS OR FILING THESE PETITIONS ON MY BEHALF? CORRUPTION?

... MORE QUESTIONS

WHY HAVEN'T I BEEN RELEASED, FREEDOM RESTORED, PROPERTY RESTORED?

AM I A POLITICAL PRISONER? IF SO WHY?

I ATTEMPTED THREE OTHER PETITIONS TO THIS COURT, WHY WEREN'T THEY ASSIGNED A NO.? WAS THE CRIMINAL (FALSE) CASE BROUGHT IN RETALIATION OF NORTH US DIST 1:11 CV 01609?

WHY HAVEN'T MY FAMILY AND ME BEEN OFFERED WITNESS PROTECTION?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL;
THE WEST SHORE HOSPITAL; CLEVELAND RAPE CRISIS CENTER; AMERICAN
CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION; DAVID SILER U.S.M., A. WARDENS O'DONNELL AND
CHRISTOPHER, ... DOJ, ...

RELATED CASES

(6/4/6)
THE COURTS DO NOT RECOGNIZE THE MOUNTAINS OF CASE LAW AND RELATED CASES,
CONSP. AND LAW; REVISED CODE VIOLATIONS THAT WE, THE IMPRISONED, THE APPELLANT,
THE PETITIONER PROVIDE AS YOU CAN PLAINLY SEE FROM MY RELATED CASES VOLUMES,
WHEN READING LEXIS NEXIS CASES THERE IS A SECTION TO REVIEW CASE LAW THAT WAS PROVIDED
AS PART OF THE CASE. THAT SECTION IS BIAS BECAUSE IT DOES NOT PROVIDE CASES I PROVIDE
THE COURT, ONLY CASES LISTED IN THE COURT'S DECISIONS. IT PREJUDICES US, AND PREVENT
KNOW THE PUBLIC TO SEE OUR ARGUMENTS WHICH VIOLATES OUR 1ST AMEND. RIGHTS. CUYAHOGA
TRIAL 18CR627778A, 17CR622901A; LORAIN TRIAL 18CR099209; 8TH APPEALS CA108990;
9TH DIST COURT APPEALS 19CA11495, 20CA11624, 20CA11689, 20CA11706, 7, 8, 9, 21CA11711, 21CA11756;
12TH D. APPEALS CA20210015 OR 08015; OH SUPREME COURT (SCO) 2021-0076, 0421, 0598, 0686, 0688;
0775; U.S.D. 1:11CV609, 1:20CV2489, 2:21CV774; U.S. 6TH CIR 194036, 21-3019, 213887,
204232, 213964; SCOTUS 21-6516

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

ENVELOPES: 4, 5

- APPENDIX A NOV. 01, 2021, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 6TH CIR., ORDER, NO. 21-3019
BY DEBORAH S. HUNT, CLERK, 2021 US APP LEXIS 32558
- APPENDIX B DEC. 11, 2020, U.S.D. COURT NORTH D. OF OH., EASTERN DIV., CASE 1:20 CV 1330,
2020 U.S.D. LEXIS 233311, DECISION BY U.S.D. JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.
- APPENDIX C DEC. 06, 2021, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 6TH CIR., ORDER, NO. 21-3019,
BY DEBORAH S. HUNT, CLERK, 2021 US APP LEXIS 35963
- APPENDIX D NOV. 09, 2021, U.S. 6TH CIR., PETITION FOR REHEARING, NO. 21-3019
BY APPELLANT MARTIN ROBINSON ~~XXXXXX~~ COPY DOUBLE SIDED
COPY NOT PROVIDED BY COURT.
- APPENDIX E ADD CUYAHOGA COUNTY CR17622901-A OR 622901-17-CR
- APPENDIX F ADD CUYAHOGA COUNTY CR18627778A
- APPENDIX G ADD LORAIN COUNTY 18CR099209
- APPENDIX H ADD 9TH DIST 19 CA 011495
- APPENDIX I ADD 8TH DIST CA 108990




TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

FORMER V. BRENNAN, 1993 U.S. LEXIS 6937
HAINES V. KERNER, 404 U.S. 519, 20 (1972)
LAVADO V. KEDANE 992 F.2D 601 6TH CIR 1993

4

4

4

STATUTES AND RULES

28 USCS 455, 1331, 1915(D)

3, 4

42 USCS 1983, 2000E-5

3, 4

CIV. R. 53 (D4D), 60, 38, 39

3, 4

US CONST. AMEND. 6, 14

3, 4

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORAL ARGUMENT
OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at 2021 U.S. APP. LEXIS 32558; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at 2020 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 233311; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.


☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.



JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was NOV. 01, 2021.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: DEC. 06, 2021, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

28 USCS 455, 1331, 1915(D); ART. 142(C) § 42 USCS 2000E-5;

USCS CONST. AMEND 6, 14; 42 USC 1983

CIV. R. 53 D 4 D, 60;

FED. CIV. R. P. 38, 39

PUT GOD FIRST. A MAN'S HOME IS HIS CASTLE.

BE HUMBLE, LAUGH, SING, PRAISE, LOVE, PEACE

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

CONSTRUCT THIS LIBERAL HAINES V. KERNER, 404 U.S. 519, 20 (1972), MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL AND ORAL ARGUMENT FARMER V. BRENNAN, 1993 U.S. LEXIS 6937 COUNSEL APPOINTED; EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCE, LAVADOV. KEOTANE, 992 F.2D 601 (6TH CIR 1993).

GREAT GENERAL INTEREST TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, EFFECTS THE MASSES. PETITIONER ROBINSON, MARTIN SUFFERED A GREAT DISPARITY THAT HE SHARED WITH THE SED DISCIPLINE COUNSEL, U.S. DIST. COURT, 6TH CIR. COURT OF APPEALS, INCLUDING DISCRIMINATION.

I ALSO MOTIONED 6TH CIR TO CORRECT THE NARRATIVE, WHICH THEY IGNORED. 21-3019 DOCKET (13) I MOTIONED FOR COUNSEL APPOINTMENT (14) HOLD CASE IN ABEYANCE (16) MY BRIEF ON MERITS ERRORS OF U.S.D. COURT. (17) COURT DEFERRED COUNSEL. (18, 19) (21) MY REPLY BRIEF. I DON'T HAVE REST OF DOCKET, NOR DO I HAVE 1:20 CV 01330 DISTRICT 0647-1 DOCKET, NORTHER DIST. OF OH AT CLEVELAND. SPONTANEOUS AGAINST ROBINSON BY COURTS...

I ASK FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND ORAL ARGUMENT IN EVERY CASE DUE TO MY PERMANENT DISABILITY AND MY WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT.

U.S.D. COURT FAILED TO HEAR THE CASE ON ITS MERITS. FAILED TO HOLD JURY TRIAL PER FED. R. 38, 39. CIV. R. 53 D45, 60; USCS CONST AMEND 6, 14; 28 USCS 455, 1331, 1915(D); ART. 142(C);

42 USCS 2000 E'S ALL WERE VIOLATED ALSO. PROSECUTOR MISCONDUCT JUDICIAL ABUSE OF DISCRETION, INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL

WRONGFUL ARRESTS REVIEW CUYAHOGA COUNTY CASES 18 CR 627778A; 17 CR 622901-A

LED TO FALSE INDICTMENT, WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT OF AT LEAST 9 AND HALF MONTHS KIDNAPPING IN CUYAHOGA COUNTY CORRECTIONS CENTER. AND SUBSEQUENT FALSE 55 YEARS IN STATE PRISON, LOSS OF PROPERTY.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED AND STATEMENT OF THE CASE SECTIONS SHOULD SPELL OUT THE LOWER COURTS DECISIONS WERE IN ERROR, SHOW DISPARITE TREATMENT AND BIAS NOT ONLY TO ME BUT OTHERS, AND OHIO AND U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS CONTINUE TO BE VIOLATED RESULTING IN KIDNAPPING AND WRONGFUL IMPRISONMENT.


IT IS IMPERITIVE THAT THIS PETITION BE GRANTED, APPOINT COUNSEL, ORAL ARGUMENT, AND ANY AND ALL BELIEF BE GRANTED TO PETITIONER. ^{VIEW ORIGINAL COMPLAINT, MERIT BRIEF} AND REPLY BRIEF, REHEARING

STOP THE COVERUP. STOP LYING TO THE PUBLIC.
STOP FALSIFYING DOCUMENTS. I AM UNLAWFULLY BEING HELD AGAINST MY WILL, UNDER DURESS.
I HAVE PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT,
IF I'M EVER ALLOWED TO PRESENT IT,
WHICH IN AND ITSELF NEEDS TO BE
RATIFIED. TO PRESENT EVIDENCE SHOULD BE
AS SIMPLE OF A PROCESS AS THE FALSE ARRESTS I'VE
EXPERIENCED. IN OHIO, IT APPEARS THAT THERE IS NO ONE
FOLLOWING THE PROCESS ALREADY IN PLACE TO OVERTURN AND
EXONERATE A WRONGFUL CONVICTION, SUCH AS MINE.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,
WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED



Martin Robinson

Date: 02/18/2022