

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C.
16-cv-3437
Abrams, J.
Fox, M.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of October, two thousand twenty-one.

Present:

Pierre N. Leval,
Robert D. Sack,
Michael H. Park,
Circuit Judges.

Wilfredo Torres,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

21-1314

NYC Police Department, Bellevue South Associates,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appellant, pro se, moves for in forma pauperis (“IFP”) status and for reversal. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the IFP motion is DENIED as unnecessary because the district granted, and did not revoke, IFP status. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). It is further ORDERED that the motion for reversal is DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because it “lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.” *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC-SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: 03/31/2021

WILFREDO TORRES,

Plaintiff,

v.

NYC POLICE DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

16-CV-2362 (RA)

16-CV-3437 (RA)

ORDER

RONNIE ABRAMS, United States District Judge:

Of the four related actions before this Court brought by Mr. Torres, pro se, in relation to the events of September 28, 2015 and April 28, 2016, two have been terminated in full, *see* 16-CV-6434 (RA)(KNF) and 18-CV-4665 (RA)(KNF), and two have been terminated in large part, *see* 16-CV-2362 (RA)(KNF) (“the 2362 Action”) and 16-CV-3437 (RA)(KNF) (“the 3437 Action”). All that remain are the following: Torres’s claims against Officer Kevin Wahlgren, Firefighter John Pepe, Lieutenant James Scordus, and Firefighter Daniel Barvels in the 2362 Action, and Torres’s claims against Bellevue South Associates (“BSA”) and the City of New York (through the New York City Police Department, or “NYPD”) in the 3437 Action. Additionally, Torres has filed a motion to disbar defense counsel and impose sanctions against them, Dkt. 446, which currently remains pending. For the reasons provided below, Torres’s remaining claims are DISMISSED and his motion for sanctions and to disbar defense counsel is DENIED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 21st day of December, two thousand twenty-one.

Wilfredo Torres,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

ORDER

v.

Docket No: 21-1313

Officer Kevin Wahlight, Firefighter John Pepe,
Lieutenant James Scordus, Firefighter Daniel Barvels,

Defendants - Appellees,

New York Police Department, et al.,

Defendants.

Appellant, Wilfredo Torres, filed a motion for panel reconsideration, or, in the alternative, for reconsideration *en banc*. The panel that determined the appeal has considered the request for reconsideration, and the active members of the Court have considered the request for reconsideration *en banc*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe


**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT**

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 21st day of December, two thousand twenty-one.

Wilfredo Torres,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

ORDER

Docket No: 21-1314

NYC Police Department, Bellevue South Associates,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appellant, Wilfredo Torres, filed a motion for panel reconsideration, or, in the alternative, for reconsideration *en banc*. The panel that determined the appeal has considered the request for reconsideration, and the active members of the Court have considered the request for reconsideration *en banc*.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion is denied.

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk




**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**