

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Irina Collier — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UC Berkeley — RESPONDENT(S)

1.02.22 NOA #2 filed in CA
Case: 22-15022

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

April 16, 2021 Circuit 9 USCA, 21-15369 dismissed appeal, then
December 13, 2021 District Court issued Final Judgement, 3:21-cv-00502-
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE) WHA

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Irina Collier
(Your Name)

1330 Bush st. unit 8K
(Address)

San Francisco, Ca 94109
(City, State, Zip Code)

650-695-9000
(Phone Number)

Questions

1. Whether Tinker v. Demoin's Law applies to underaged students free speech at a University. UC Berkeley administrators and campus police prohibited my child from disclosing to me (parent) crimes against my child committed on campus of UC Berkeley.
2. Whether whistleblower retaliation against a student and parent reported to then a Senator K. Harris in 2020 is against BCJ Law - resultant from K. Harris' FCA case protecting students from online education scams.
Retaliation by UC Berkeley followed initiation of my FCA case. No protection from retaliation ever materialised to my child and to me.
3. Whether the rights of physically disabled litigants are worth protecting in the federal circuit courts. I was denied any ADA accommodation in federal court, even the entrance via ADA enabled route was denied.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

M All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- a) Collier Charles Wade - alleged criminal violation of DVRO
- b) Collier - Garber family - 3rd parties in DVRO violation
- c) San Francisco Regional Mensa gathering organizers
leader of San Francisco Mensa IDEAS reading group
- d) UC Berkeley Parents Department David Ortega
- e) Shoenberg Law Family divorce San Fran. Ca. & Ethics Committee / compliance 2018 members & Extension school director
- f) Angela Snyder - LA, Ca. halfway house for released prisoners **RELATED CASES**
- g) Excelcior - private security Gainesville, FL.
- h) Female psychologist present at Mensa 2018 Thanksgiving Brunch in Walnut Creek, Ca
She sat in front of me, identifiable from the photos of the Brunch (in Mensa's possession)

Related Case: 2015 VP Kamala Harris'
BC J FCA case of Online Education
Scam

(33)

llc

1.29.22 llc

1.29.22

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES: all that include following —

PAGE NUMBER

1. First amendment's free speech,
2. Age discrimination: 16y.o. was equated to 18y.o.
3. Sexual orientation discrimination: placing a straight virgin 16y.o. student with
4. LGBT-Q population — molestation & rape followed
5. Educational discrimination: Accepted on main campus, but ended up in online scam extension school at UCB.

STATUTES AND RULES

376 Qui Tam

(31 U.S.C. § 3729(a))

1. K. Harris's 2015 FCA case; BCJS against online education scam
2. Anti human trafficking law
3. First Amendment freedom of speech (as applied to a minor student on campus of university)
4. Discrimination on basis of religion, sexual orientation, age (16y.o. was equated to 18y.o.), education

OTHER

My child is straight (sex orientation), darwinian, accepted in april of 2018 on main campus of UCB pre-health education, but ended up in Extension School, dorm for LGBTQs of religious beliefs. This dorm placement itself was based in online deception. I rented our apartment near UCB - no need for dorm.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 23, 2021 (July 23, 2021) - mandate
April 16, 2021 - order
dismissing
case

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Case 22-15022 is currently pending second appeal at Circuit 9.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

(61)
lc
1.29.22 lc
1.29.22

Statement of the Case.

The District Court Judge in Federal court of San Francisco, Ca, never handed the case over to the Attorney General's DOJ while presented with evidence of Human Trafficking of my minor at the time of crimes of onset child and other federal crimes, all evidence-based.

This petition argues that the Ninth Circuit has built a firewall of summary judgement dismissal against people pleadings. The firewall is not permitting genuine issues of fact to be ever heard by a judge or a jury.

Ninth Circuit Judges are dismissive of claims, cherry picking them apart, refusing to evaluate claims as interconnected conduct.

Ninth Circuit Court is split. District court intervened in the pending appellate case with issuing final judgement in favor of the offenders. Offender committed child abuse on campus of UC Berkeley.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- ec 1. Violation of free speech 1st amendment at UC Berkeley.
- ec 2. Corruption unleashed by discessions
Union of Big Tech & Policing forces
granted to the snooping through
the online private information
of US citizens under the pretense
of protection from terrorists is
eroding our constitutional
rights and freedoms.
3. Additionally, whistleblowers
retaliation - following disclosure
of almost every corrupt
activity - is the deadly
reality whistleblowers still
face in our country. Especially civilian
whistleblowers. I
right now I am tortured by
denial of medical care, left to
die of most painful occult cancer
and skeletal degeneration without
my usual - almost 20 years long
medication regime. This is attempted elimination
of whistleblowers.

My son is still suffering in C-GF's
gag-ordered silence, while The nation's
Ivy-league in The Bay Area with select
Washington DC ties is sweeping trafficking
on campuses under the rug, protecting
Universities' reputation at the cost
of lives of our youngest, brightest,
most vulnerable for youth and
inexperience or family circumstances
population. He is forbidden to take
blood test ordered by MD when he was 17y.o.
and labor enhancing poison was uncovered
in his blood.

Other victims are gag ordered shadows
of themselves as well. They all
need your recognition - protection

LC 1.28.22

1.29.22 LC

Honorable Judge Alsup of the California Circuit District court referred to this case as Incomprehensible, echoing the Plaintiff's own words in a letter to the San Jose Victims services headed by the DA Rosen. Almost two years since the plea for protection letter of August 11, 2020 addressed to The DA Rosen amongst other Ca. Bay Area government and private contractors - helpers to victims of Major Fraud, Domestic Violence, other crimes against vulnerable families none of the promised help materialised. With one exception - we are receiving Meals on Wheels now. The list of crimes against us - my son and me - has grown to include more assaults, physical and in almost every area of our lives - from stalking, frauds, scams, internet crimes, banking scams to much more serious violations of the DVRO against my son's father committed with utter impunity for four years now.

The FCA case of Fraud, Waste and Abuse at UC Berkeley's Extension school is inextricably tied to the same primary offenders

of The DVRO - Charles Wade Collier,
and to his third party in violations of
the above court order - The Collier -
Garber family.

No discovery phase has ever reached
Ca. Family court or the Federal court; I
never got to see the Judge or the jury
in The Federal court. The Ca. Family
court ended in inexplicable stalemate
favoring interests of our (my son's and my)
opposition = our DVRO bound abuser.

An abbreviated to the more serious
crimes against us (alleged, evidence-based)
list is as follows:

- a) Collier-garbers family (Herein termed as C-GF)
online trolling and grooming of my son
for human trafficking with his father's
complete approval. Rape of the child at 16y.o-
on.
- b) A gun was held to my son's head
at Berkeley when he was 16y. old. All
third party offenders acted with
full knowledge and approval of
the Collier-Garber family.

c) Drugging of the son with a psycho-active substance at age 15; father was present and aware. At ages 16 and 17 drugging with a cancer-causing neurohormone (odorless, tasteless substance, over the counter) was accidentally uncovered during the routine blood test. The Δ HEA-s neurohormone in the teen's body was twice the highest level. The child was evaluated for hormonal abnormalities by an MD. No abnormalities were found, puzzling the medical staff and bewildering both the son and concerned mother. Blood tests were repeated with the similarly high levels of the poisonous substance in the child's system. The last blood test was ordered when the son was still 17 years old, but by then the grip of

'C-G F' connected abusers, threatening my son with escalating violence ordered him to stop testing his blood.

d) false imprisonments, at the organised criminal activity of the C-G F, of both my son and me followed. He was held, abused, exploited and worse (it is too painful to write about), sworn to silence about abuse, lest more rape would occur; all having happened in Los Angeles halfway house for released prisoners. My son was lured to that place on orders from the C-G F under pretense of it being a safe house for the Domestic Violence Victims.

e) My own false imprisonments, also under premeditated deception happened in Florida, Minnesota and California. In Florida and California false imprisonments I was also assaulted, in Minnesota one I was drugged (in a pill form) with some hypnotic substance; I could barely walk and get oriented in a strange city once let outside.

It was a one day hold in Minnesota,
an overnight hold in California,
and a six days hold in Florida.

Another 3 days illegal hold happened
in California as well. I went to the
Stanford ER with severe chest pains
and instead of a hospital for general
population was placed "for observation"
in a special unit under a lock up.

Later, looking at my health tests for
that ER visit I found that I suffered
an ischemic episode or a near heart
attack condition. It was never disclosed
verbally to me, other than an attending MD
fleeingly stating that I'll be held
under observation since I am gravely
ill. When I asked what the doctor meant
he answered that I was losing too
much protein in urine. I thought he
meant my kidneys were malfunctioning,
but indeed it was my heart failing
that day. No heart treatment was
offered or administered. I was simply
held in a closed unit on "bed rest,"

once I was allowed to go home my wheelchair was returned to me completely broken. It was working when I came to the ER. A month later I came upon the google information stating that the Provost of Harvard Alan Garber belongs to the C-GF. Alan is also a full professor at Stanford. I can not be entirely sure of the veracity delivered by internet means, therefore a discovery is in order to determine if The Harvard-Stanford Alan Garber is indeed the member of The Family that orchestrated my son's and my false imprisonments with add ons of fictitious diagnoses in my online chart following each of the illegal holds. Additional evidence of intentional harm to both my son's and my health appear to strongly confirm the presence of C-GF family members at the highest echelons of both Stanford medical community and the Washington DC medical accreditation company for pharmaceutical and the insurance companies. In particular the Blue Cross/Blue Shield - my own insurance, and the CVS - my pharmacy.

Alan Garber's wife Ann Yahanda is also a Stanford Medical Professor. She apparently supervises oncology departments of the Bay Area Palliative/Hospice establishments, which would explain my consistent denials of palliative care at Stanford - my provider, and at any other Bay Area palliative care. The latest one - Pathways has also failed to deliver any promised medical care. LinkedIn listed Yahanda as leader of Pathways.

One of the indicative details from Wikipedia's description of Alan Garber's biography mentioned Excelcior. It so happened that when I was kidnapped from Florida's ER to be stuffed against my will in an unmarked car and taken to the place of my six days long false imprisonment, it was done by a private guards international-U.S. firm called Excelcior. Information about the private guards for hire - Excelcior - is on Google. The two women who kidnapped me did it right after the attending MD discharged me to go home. The two women barged in as I was dressing to go home, they ordered me to undress under threat that they'll tear clothes off me if I didn't do it myself. They both looked

aggressively angry, I got scared, there was nobody around but me and them. They rushed scrubs on me, ordered me quickly out and into the Excelior car that took me to the six days lock up place - false imprisonment.

e) Violation of my parental rights by The C-G-F has a long history dating back to my pregnancy and still ongoing with the C-G-F violations of the above referenced DVRO.

During third trimester of my pregnancy 20 years ago my husband's sister Martha Collier stopped for a few hours in our family house. There was barely any interaction between my spouse's family and myself other than my preparing dinner to greet them, sandwiches "for the road" when they departed, snippets of polite exchanges between the always secreted behind closed door of my husband's half of our two-winged spacious house.

That visit 20 years ago became memorable for Martha's uncommon between the two of us honest exchange. On my part honesty was a reaction of surprise at her expressed desire to

spend a few minutes alone with me
(an unusual deviation from her ordinarily
polite distance).

I eagerly agreed expecting a semblance
of some sort of a "welcome to the family
at last" gesture or words to that effect.

Instead, Martha suddenly put her
hands on my belly without a
customarily "May I?", looked me
straight in the eyes with a forced,
visibly uncomfortable smile and
slowly with intentional pauses between
each word uttered: "He belongs
to our community."

She quickly left to her guest room,
leaving me with my jaw dropped, eyes
wide open, searching for answer
to what she meant. Not finding
the answer in my own mind, understand-
ing our "chat" with Martha is over
and she is not to be bothered through
the closed door of her room, I called
my husband and asked what did
she mean? Whose community did
she say my unborn, days from being
born child, belongs to?

My husband ignored both me and my question - a common response from him. Later on I did get an unequivocal answer from my mother in law.

By "Community" Martha meant C-GF church. Denomination was not as important as the fact that my child's life belonged to the C-GF's religion. It happens to be Christian - The family's church established by Garbers forefathers. Should the family's religious establishment been a muslim, jewish, buddhist, scientology or a Taliban itself

I, as a parent had no rights to safeguard my child's freedom to choose his own philosophical and religious affiliation according to Martha and the rest of the C-GF.

The above C-GF 'ruling over the destiny of my child and myself was spelled out in my mother in law'

terms of her trust fund. According to my husband The Collier-Barber trust fund was to be left to the church, unless our son is baptised and belongs to the Family's church. My husband's own will, written when our son was four years old and accidentally found by me when he was eleven (to my best recollection; give or take a year or two) spelled my son's and my destiny in accord with his mother's wishes—Fran Collier—should my spouse meet with untimely death while we are married and our child is underaged.

In short: my husband left all of his assets (as a homeschooling mom I did not even have a banking account or a credit card of my own; all was under my husband's name. At that time I trusted his word of: "Don't worry, you two will be taken good care of should anything happen to me.") to his sister Martha Collier, daughter

of Fran Collier.

F) C-GF's entire span, scope and severity of meticulously premeditated harm to my son and me over the two decades follows the same pattern of religiously motivated hate crime—underlying current violations of the DVRO—carried out from March 2018 to the time of my writing this petition on 1.29.2022.

C-GF's trolling of our son with full knowledge of my husband started when our boy was only four. The educational game that he loved began to give him nonsensical answers on the first learner type computer not connected to a wi-fi (as far as my husband told me). The game was on a CD. The four year old was crying when $2+2$ was equaling an odd number, when spelling words correctly did not issue him a usual rewarding mark, when his geography answers that he knew by heart then did not ring true any more. He blamed himself, I kept calling the Geek Squad to fix the learner device, but each time the Geek Squad expert showed up he did not find a thing wrong with the learner computer or the disk of the educational

game, I asked if my child could accidentally push the wrong button on computer or disconnect ~~of~~ a wire. The answer was invariably the same: "Mistakes got programmed in the learner's device system by someone who knew what they were doing. The child couldn't accidentally press any keys in the exact sequence to trigger erroneous responses from the game's disk!" We had three or four visits from the Geek Squad repeating the exact same thing, but having no familiarity with computers and trusting my husband I had no one to ~~be~~^{be} blame; no way to stop "mistakes" from reoccurring. I got rid of the learner device and the game's disk. The new device and new game presented the same problems. Then we stuck with textbooks only. To both my little son's and my surprise he kept finding misspellings and wrong math answers in his text books too. I used to hire math and science professors-tutors as my son progressed very fast through the subjects; all of the tutors agreed with each of his uncovered in textbooks mistakes. We decided textbook mistakes were common and we just have to work around them. Now, looking back, and knowing of the G-GF's own printing facilities I would not be surprised if the family's sabotage of our child's education

went further than one may reasonably deduce. Jumping over the years of ongoing trolling and cyberstalking by the family brought my son and me to California. His IQ score qualified him for Mensa membership. My husband never revealed to us that his extended family included a Mensa member as well: Martha Collier married Carter Garber. Carter's brother Lester Garber is a Mensa. When my son scored in 97th percentile on his SAT at the age of 13, but was blocked by his father from entering the University of Florida, we moved to California for the greater educational and Mensa's social opportunities. We had no way of knowing about the full extent of educational sabotage concurrent with premeditated and executed by the C-GF future of

FaFSA fraud, student loan fraud, IRS fraud, banking/credit cards frauds, medical & pharmaceutical insurance frauds, divorce set up-with my lawyer actually serving the interests of the opposition, my 16 years old son led to the extension school scam after being accepted at the UC Berkeley's main campus pre-health/artificial intelligence double major, and all of the concomittant Major Fraud crimes at the hands

of The C-G F.

The ease of committing crimes against my son and me escalated with onset of divorce followed by my son's admission to UC Berkeley; the two crucially life-changing events were separated by a month; a tragic loss of our beloved dog closely preceded admission to the UCB (herein standing for the University of Berkeley). The nature of our robustly healthy dog's mysterious illness necessitating euthanasia on her veterinarian's best advice was never uncovered, as she was cremated right before I requested the post-mortem exam. The decision for exam was prompted by the animal's torturous paradoxical reaction to the euthanasia shot, that was never before seen by our seasoned veterinarian. According to the Veterinarian she has heard of that reaction to euthanasia only once and did not know of any other veterinarian who actually observed it. A mocking of our mourning "dancing on the grave" type of message from our Trolls-Family followed on our electronics.

At the end of 2018 one of the half a dozen Apple electronics specialists from a Kangaroo Apple repair places in Berkeley by the name Darrel inadvertently uncovered that all of our

electronics at the root level belonged to the Go Daddy domain - the one established by my husband before beginning of divorce proceedings. In addition, a filter on our electronics was also uncovered, but never removed. Darrel said he didn't know how to get rid of that filter, neither did other Apple systems specialists I consulted since then. The filter applied to all of our online searches (herein "our" means my son's and my own). It was called "Christian only" and applied to any doctor, lawyer, technician, family therapist, private, nonprofit, government agency or department official, and/or any other help, service, or need we searched for help through our internet providers. Our knowledge was filtered by C-GF's religion.

The C-GF's Big Data business with Apple Inc. was found with Darrel's help to be located in Decatur, Ga. and associated with the C-GF's church, the Big Herd Ranch Data Science training center, Lester Garber's private K-12 educational establishment called Small Democratic School, and a number of family owned and/or invested in nonprofits nationally and around the globe significant

enough to place The C-GF in the top 1% of the 1% income bracket of our country.

UCB's extension school scam lined up by my husband rests on his fraudulent report of the 2017 taxes, but would not have been possible without The C-GF's black hat data scientists somehow overriding the UCB's webmasters firewall - the exact machinations of The C-GF are for The Federal investigators and The Federal Court's Discovery process to uncover - as to how C-GF's Data Hub trumped UCB's webmasters.

So far The C-GF succeeded in blocking all judicial avenues I have pled, motioned and appealed to by interfering with every available means of communication with the courts, as well as with the other two branches of our government. C-GF's own call centers intercept my calls to all three branches of the Government's offices roughly 90% of time. When I do get through to a legitimate office I am instructed to leave a detailed message in a mailbox and await for a response. I am still awaiting responses in the most urgent matters: legal help, reliable medical care, educational history and present of my son at UCB, victims services / civilian whistle-blower protection, protection in violated DVRO, unimpeded redress of grievance to our government, protecting my son's freedom of speech & his safety.

Herein "LC" stands for my name - Irma Collier (appellant) Mother

The list above is only a fraction of the crimes appellant and the son(s) are facing in yet another undisclosed, therefore illegal smart-house of the offender family. Both know it is futile trying to escape daily abuse and harassments. Two years ago the LC family tried to find a DV shelter, but ended up separated by the offender, (LC) mother, spend a night in a shelter, fled to a safe place in Australia awaiting reunification with the son, the son ended up in false imprisonment, mother came back to rescue the son, both spent two days in what was presented as DV shelter, but turned out to be a hoax, the son got his threat to life order to appear back in Berkeley. They are torn apart again, mother ends up in false imprisonment in FL. then comes back to the Bay Area to bring the son to the family house in FL for his rest & recuperation during the summer, but the C-GF online FL "kangaroo court" adjudicates in the wrong jurisdiction (the right one is San Jose, Ca. family court) that mother and son have to abandon the family home. LC family returns together to the Bay Area homeless, then end up in yet another one of the opposition's surreptitious smart-houses in the plain view of the DA Rosen and San Francisco AG. C-GF calls Bay Area its region for good reason.

Incidentally: In our San Jose apartment ... cameras were installed even in the shower and the living room sink. CA DA and AG were notified to no avail. Both offices acknowledged the victimisation by offering patented \$2000 compensation form which both LC's family members signed, but never received a penny (one form came from Sacramento Victim Services, the other came from Community Solutions in San Jose, third from one of the DA Rosen's victims advocates, but none helped and all disappeared after promising advocacy)

Cameras were eventually removed from shower and the sink in front of the mother, and carried out gingerly on the perfectly white cloth, as if the square shaped tiny chips were made of precious diamonds.

Both mother and son know they are never free from the C-GF trolling, stalking, spreading the might of offender by denying them continuity of medical care, denying access to independent legal counsel - all by simply blocking or rerouting ^{to the offenders} phone calls to doctors, lawyers, court clerks, DA Victim services, any and all calls or emails deemed contrary to the offender's wish - are blocked. US Mail from the US Supreme Court's clerk was held back by four months turning this petition into a rush delivery without certainty of timely arrival.

Intimidation, abuse and exploitation by the C-GF:

As of now the LC family are cornered by assailant, powerless, refugees in the own country, but without a sight of safe shelter, always visible to assailant family like two fish in an aquarium - mute and trapped. LC's attempts to exercise the 1st amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievances are met with The Provost's own army of damage control subcontractors willing to do any dirty job an honest cop would never touch. C-GF calls its own cadre of private security Exelcior. Exelcior has unfinished business to complete by the end of this month: LC should be closer to her end exhausted by untreated cancer and cardiac disease pains (both diagnosed ~~last~~ year 2021, atop newly diagnosed celiac disease) therefore it should be even easier to kidnap her again, like in FL. year 2020, stuff her in Exelcior's white van and deliver to the final false imprisonment - any C-GF choice of a mental lock up facility or some geriatric gated nursing home where neuroleptic knock off drugs dispensing is the easiest - to shoot her with tranquilizers against her will, against the law like in Ca's Seaton ER 2021. Assaults against LC's family are growing in severity from broken wheelchairs to broken health, escalation in the brute force of the next physical blow is predictably expected by the history of events.

• The District court in this case first sealed the case in accord with the Qui Tam statute parameter - to quote clerk Mr. Noble's explanation for the "Under Seal" requirement - thus the judge acknowledged the legitimacy of Qui Tam suit. Then the judge dismissed the case stating absence of counsel on ex Relator LC's side as the reason. Ex Relator kept pleading for assignment of counsel from the District court's ProSe task force of ProBono legal assistance volunteers. Her pleadings for assignment of any counsel in the Region were extended to the Circuit nine appellate court, where ^{those} ~~the~~ pleadings were pending until the district court revoked the appellant's IFP status, then granted it again, then declared the appellant frivolous without a shred of evidence to support label of frivolity, after which the Appellate C9 court issued order dismissing the case based on the FRAP rule 27-7, as cited by the Deputy Clerk of the C9 court.

at the end of December 2021 LC

received a four months held back letter ... from the US Supreme Court's Clerk, stating the need to resend the Writ of certiorary submitted in September. Resending required corrections. Obtaining the final order from C9 appellate was one of the corrections listed. LC pled for the final order to be issued by the C9 appellate, but instead the Final Judgement was issued by the District court judge months after the C9 appellate's order dismissing the case. The district court Judge also ruled in favor of the defendant in his final judgement. The ruling in favor of the opposition was done without any hearing, without the victims being allowed anywhere near discovery, without a jury, LC never saw or spoke to any judges in the circuit 9 courts system.

The judges failure to transfer the case to the US DOS office in spite of presented evidence of Major fraud, SEC violations, criminal DVRO violations, human trafficking of

a minor and all other presented evidence-based Federal offences is beyond alarming.

It is dangerous to the people in general and especially to the vulnerable population when not one but two circuits - Ca. and FL - from East to West, and attempting to reach the very heart of our nation's government in Washington DC, are affected by the union of Big Tech with the ^{government/military} ~~DHS~~. When all our circuit courts are intimidated by the DHS - backing its contractor The Big Tech, it is time for The US Supreme Court's ^{attention} ~~attention~~ ^{dc} ~~attention~~ ^{dc} Split among the Circuit's

judges in C9 sets up an extremely alarming legal precedent threatening to cast a shadow on the people's 1st amendment right to petition the government for redress of grievance, when the Big Tech/^{police/military} ~~DHS~~ united opposition is free to confine plaintiff's family in a surveillance apartment, free to withhold US Supreme court's US mail and has influence on the final judgement in the C9 circuit the signs of trouble in judiciary reverberate through our nation.

1.18.2022

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Irina Collier (lc)

Date: 1.29.2022

1.29.2022 lc

Public Appendix

24

24

1.29.22

wrong jurisdiction
Kangaroo court

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR ALACHUA COUNTY, FLORIDA

Case No.: 01-2020-DR-1656
Division: DR 2

IN RE: THE MARRIAGE OF:

CHARLES W. COLLIER,
Husband

and

IRINA COLLIER,
Wife,

A True Copy

SADIE DARNELL, SHERIFF
ALACHUA COUNTY, FLORIDA

Served at 750 AM/PM on the 13 Day
of July, 2020
BY [Signature]

AS DEPUTY SHERIFF

SUMMONS: PERSONAL SERVICE ON AN INDIVIDUAL
ORDEN DE COMPARECENCIA: SERVICIO PERSONAL EN UN INDIVIDUO
CITATION: L'ASSIGNATION PERSONAL SUR UN INDIVIDUEL

TO/PARA/A:

Irina Collier
3729 SW 65th Lane
Gainesville, Florida 32608

IMPORTANT

A lawsuit has been filed against you. You have **20 calendar days** after this summons is served on you to file a written response to the attached complaint/petition with the clerk of this circuit court, located at: Alachua County Courthouse, 201 East University Avenue, Gainesville, Florida 32601. A phone call will not protect you. Your written response, including the case number given above and the names of the parties, must be **filed** if you want the Court to hear your side of the case.

If you do not file your written response on time, you may lose the case, and your wages, money, and property may be taken thereafter without further warning from the Court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may call an attorney referral service or a legal aid office (listed in the phone book).

If you choose to file a written response yourself, at the same time you file your written response to the Court, you must also serve a copy of your written response on the party serving this summons at:

Name and address of party serving summons:

25
false imprisonment; DV victim
held for 6 days, released
one day by kangaroo court
in FL. No way to research

Jennifer = attorney
is suing, not Charles...

Jennifer Kirkhart Curcio
2835 NW 41st Street, Suite 240
Gainesville, Florida 32606
Designated Email: jennifer@curciolawfirm.com

If the party serving summons has designated e-mail address(es) for service or is represented by an attorney, you may designate e-mail address(es) for service by or on you.

Copies of all court documents in this case, including orders, are available at the Clerk of the Circuit Court's office. You may review these documents, upon request.

You must keep the Clerk of the Circuit Court's office notified of your current address. (You may file Designation of Current Mailing and E-mail Address, Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.915.) Future papers in this lawsuit will be served at the address on record at the clerk's office.

WARNING: Rule 12.285, Florida Family Law Rules of Procedure, requires certain automatic disclosure of documents and information. Failure to comply can result in sanctions, including dismissal or striking of pleadings.

IMPORTANTE

Usted ha sido demandado legalmente. Tiene veinte (20) días, contados a partir del recibo de esta notificación, para contestar la demanda adjunta, por escrito, y presentarla ante este tribunal. Localizado en: Alachua County Courthouse, 201 East University Avenue, Gainesville, Florida 32601. Una llamada telefónica no lo protegerá. Si usted desea que el tribunal considere su defensa, debe presentar su respuesta por escrito, incluyendo el número del caso y los nombres de las partes interesadas. Si usted no contesta la demanda a tiempo, pudiese perder el caso y podría ser despojado de sus ingresos y propiedades, o privado de sus derechos, sin previo aviso del tribunal. Existen otros requisitos legales. Si lo desea, usted puede consultar a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a una de las oficinas de asistencia legal que aparecen en la guía telefónica.

Si desea responder a la demanda por su cuenta, al mismo tiempo en que presente su respuesta ante el tribunal, usted debe enviar por correo o entregar una copia de su respuesta a la persona denominada abajo.

Si usted elige presentar personalmente una respuesta por escrito, en el mismo momento que usted presente su respuesta por escrito al Tribunal, usted debe enviar por correo o llevar una copia de su respuesta por escrito a la parte entregando esta orden de comparecencia a:
Nombre y dirección de la parte que entrega la orden de comparecencia: Jennifer Kirkhart Curcio, 2835 NW 41st Street, Suite 240, Gainesville, Florida 32606.

Copias de todos los documentos judiciales de este caso, incluyendo las ordenes, están disponibles en la oficina del Secretario de Juzgado del Circuito [Clerk of the Circuit Court's office]. Estos documentos pueden ser revisados a su solicitud.

Usted debe de mantener informada a la oficina del Secretario de Juzgado del Circuito de su direccion actual. (Usted puede presentar el Formulario: Ley de Familia de la Florida 12.915, Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.915, Designation of Current Address.) Los papeles que se presenten en el futuro en esta demanda judicial seran enviados por correo a la direccion que este registrada en la oficina del Secretario.

ADVERTENCIA: Regla 12.285 (Rule 12.285), de las Reglas de Procedimiento de Ley de Familia de la Florida [Florida Family Law Rules of Procedure], requiere cierta revelacion automatica de documentos e informacion. El incumplimiento, puede resultar en sanciones, incluyendo la desestimacion o anulacion de los alegatos.

IMPORTANT

Des poursuites judiciaires ont ete entreprises contre vous. Vous avez 20 jours consecutifs a partir de la date de l'assignation de cette citation pour déposer une reponse ecrite a la plainte ci-jointe aupres de ce tribunal. Qui se trouve a: Alachua County Courthouse, 201 East University Avenue, Gainesville, Florida 32601. Un simple coup de telephone est insuffisant pour vous proteger; vous etes obliges de déposer votre reponse ecrite, avec mention du numero de dossier ci-dessus et du nom des parties nommees ici, si vous souhaitez que le tribunal entende votre cause. Si vous ne déposez pas votre reponse ecrite dans le delai requis, vous risquez de perdre la cause ainsi que votre salaire, votre argent, et vos biens peuvent etre saisis par la suite, sans aucun preavis ulterieur du tribunal. Il y a d'autres obligations juridiques et vous pouvez requerir les services immediats d'un avocat. Si vous ne connaissez pas d'avocat, vous pourriez telephoner a un service de reference d'avocats ou a un bureau d'assistance juridique (figurant a l'annuaire de telephones).

Si vous choisissez de déposer vous-meme une reponse ecrite, il vous faudra egalement, en meme temps que cette formalite, faire parvenir ou expedier une copie au carbone ou une photocopie de votre reponse ecrite a la partie qui vous depose cette citation.

Nom et adresse de la partie qui depose cette citation: Jennifer Kirkhart Curcio, 2835 NW 41st Street, Suite 240, Gainesville, Florida 32606.

Les photocopies de tous les documents tribunaux de cette cause, y compris des arrêts, sont disponible au bureau du greffier. Vous pouvez revue ces documents, sur demande.

Il faut aviser le greffier de votre adresse actuelle. (Vous pouvez déposer Florida Supreme Court Approved Family Law Form 12.915, Designation of Current Mailing and E-mail Address.) Les documents de l'avenir de ce proces seront envoyer a l'adresse que vous donnez au bureau du greffier.

ATTENTION: La regle 12.285 des regles de procedure du droit de la famille de la Floride exige que l'on remette certains renseignements et certains documents a la partie adverse. Tout refus de les fournir pourra donner lieu a des sanctions, y compris le rejet ou la suppression d'un ou de plusieurs actes de procedure.

THE STATE OF FLORIDA

TO EACH SHERIFF OF THE STATE: You are commanded to serve this summons and a copy of the complaint in this lawsuit on the above-named person.

DATED: June 30, 2020



J.K. "JESS" IRBY, ESQ.
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

By: Elizabeth Hancock
Deputy Clerk

J.K. "JESS" IRBY, ESQ.
CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
CIVIL DIVISION
201 E UNIVERSITY AVE
GAINESVILLE, FL 32601

*any
public
commanded?
why?
on what?*

*business card in the
door #
service*

*Taxi driver
"service"*

*Lawyers
conspiracy*

*wrong jurisdiction*Cover Sheet for Family Court Cases

I. Case Style

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR ALACHUA COUNTY, FLORIDACharles W. Collier

Petitioner

and

Irina Collier

Respondent

Case No.: 01-1010-DE-1656

- II. Type of Action/Proceeding. Place a check beside the proceeding you are initiating. If you are simultaneously filing more than one type of proceeding against the same opposing party, such as a modification and an enforcement proceeding, complete a separate cover sheet for each action being filed. **If you are reopening a case, choose one of the three options below it.**


- (A) ☒ Initial Action/Petition
 (B) ☐ Reopening Case
 1. ☐ Modification/Supplemental Petition
 2. ☐ Motion for Civil Contempt/Enforcement
 3. ☐ Other

III. Type of Case. If the case fits more than one type of case, select the most definitive.

- | | |
|--|--|
| (A) ☐ Simplified Dissolution of Marriage | (K) ☐ UIFSA Non-IV-D (not Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement) |
| (B) ☒ Dissolution of Marriage | (L) ☒ Other Family Court |
| (C) ☐ Domestic Violence | (M) ☐ Adoption Arising Out of Chapter 63 |
| (D) ☐ Dating Violence | (N) ☐ Name Change |
| (E) ☐ Repeat Violence | (O) ☐ Paternity/Disestablishment of Paternity |
| (F) ☐ Sexual Violence | (P) ☐ Juvenile Delinquency |
| (G) ☐ Stalking | (Q) ☐ Petition for Dependency |
| (H) ☐ Support IV-D (Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement) | (R) ☐ Shelter Petition |
| (I) ☐ Support Non-IV-D (not Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement) | (S) ☐ Termination of Parental Rights Arising Out of Chapter 39 |
| (J) ☐ UIFSA IV-D (Department of Revenue, Child Support Enforcement) | (T) ☐ Adoption Arising Out of Chapter 39 |
| | (U) ☐ CINS/FINS |

- IV. Rule of Judicial Administration 2.545(d) requires that a Notice of Related Cases Form, Family Law Form 12.900(h), be filed with the initial pleading/petition by the filing attorney or self-represented litigant in order to notify the court of related cases. Is Form 12.900(h) being filed with this Cover Sheet for Family Court Cases and initial pleading/petition?

- ☐ No, to the best of my knowledge, no related cases exist.
☐ Yes, all related cases are listed on Family Law Form 12.900(h).



29

9

ATTORNEY OR PARTY SIGNATURE

I CERTIFY that the information I have provided in this cover sheet is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature Charles W. Collier FL Bar No.: _____
Attorney or party (Bar number, if attorney)
Charles W. Collier 6/22/20
(Type or print name) Date

IF A NONLAWYER HELPED YOU FILL OUT THIS FORM, HE/SHE MUST FILL IN THE BLANKS BELOW:

[fill in all blanks]

This form was prepared for the: {choose only one} () Petitioner () Respondent

This form was completed with the assistance of:

{name of individual} _____

{name of business} _____

{address} _____

{city} _____, {state} _____, {telephone number} _____

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**