

21-7253

No. USAP + No. 21-1398

FILED

DEC 16 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Luis Daniel Sambolin Robles — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Washington D.C — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Court Federal of Puerto Rico and Court Circuit of Boston
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Luis Daniel Sambolin Robles
(Your Name)

Int. Gualyama 1000 Mod 2 I
201 P.O. Box 10009 Gualyama P.R. 00785
(Address)

Gualyama P.R. 00785
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The Petitioner Filed a Certiorari to the Supreme Court bad representation of The lawyer and violations of My constitutional rights and determines there is Not Place to send another Motion requesting that they express themselves because there is Not Place and They Never answered Me...

The Petitioner asked The Judge Sandra Gil De lamadrid Valentin Change of lawyer since I was being coerced. So that I am Forced to Make Pleat of guilt Not to Present evidence and Not to be guided by the crimes in a Minute of November 22, 2010 that appears in the attached documents this is clearly a violation of the due Process established in law the Judge denied Me the change of lawyer being a constitutional right. Please I am accused of a crime I did Not commit I beg you and Plead With My lawyer that before the Jury trial begins you will Petition For a Motion under Rule 95 of Evidence to have the videos of the security cameras submitted to the Court. For the Jury to see that it was Not a Firearm and My lawyer refused to ask For the evidence. Allowing the Jury trial to begin entering the second Panel of Jury and as it is in Minute of November 22, 2010 Judge Sandra Gil de lamadrid Valentin refused the change of lawyer knowing that the relationship of lawyer to client was destroyed and even so she accepted the allegation of culpability. According to all the documents sent along with this Form it is evident that all the Court of Puerto Rico have violated all My constitutional rights an Not resolved there My case requested Justice and that to clarify the constitutional law in Puerto Rico Many thanks For all.

LIST OF PARTIES

[x] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- 1 Court of First Instance
- 2 Judge Sandra Gil De Lamadrid who delivered sentence
- 3 lawyer assistance legal Lcdo. Augusto C. Medina
- 4 Witness of Prosecutor Stephanie Delconly Cardona

RELATED CASES

in December, 2021 The Petitioner sending the hanging of lawyer For bad representation broadcast For the court Supreme of Puerto Rico, envoy allow court Federal of Puerto Rico whar For the certificate in English. The courts of Puerto Rico, Federal, and court circuit of Bosto alagate Not have Jurisdiction For out of end.

Please Not skilled the idiom English use a Dictionary, Please the what needly the court Supreme Washington D.C the conserve the court Federal in #3:17 cv 02038 RAM and every this in English.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>Declaration of the witness, Stephanie Delconly</i>	<i>→ Page 1</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>Minute of November 22, 2010</i>	<i>→ Page 2</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>Recapitulation of case in the court Federal of Puerto Rico</i>	<i>→ Page 8</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>Documents of the court's Circuit de Boston and Washington D.C.</i>	<i>"38"</i>
APPENDIX E		
APPENDIX F		

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Art. 5.04 law of Firearm Fire (10 years)

Total of Page's 39

Art. 5.05 law of weapon (1 years)

Art. 5.05 law of weapon (1 years)

Art. 198 Theft (5 years)

Art. 251 Aggration (1 years)

STATUTES AND RULES

violation of constitutional rights to have a conflict of lawyer, the court never opined of the evidence, never opined of the threat of the judged never opined of the intimidation, coercion, on the parts of the lawyer to affirm a reason of guilty not prepared evidence before jury trial.

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix c to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at Appendix c; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Appendix c court appears at Appendix c to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was N/A.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 8/February/2022.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Like any Person accused of crime to have a conflict-free lawyer a constitutional right before starting the trial by Jury. The Petitioner asked the Judge Sandra Gil De lamadrid change of lawyer since I was being coerced. So that I am Forced to Make Plea of guilt Not to Present evidence and Not to be guided by the crimes. in a Minute of November 22, 2010 that appears in the attached documents this is clearly a violation of the due Process established in law, the Judge denied Me the change of lawyer being a constitutional right. The Federal Court have held that the Habeas Corpus 2255 and long of Nearly 5 year of wait affirm what the case this denial For Fault of end, and Not resolve The case. In the attached documents are all the Motion Filed by the courts of Puerto Rico, At the Minute of November 22, 2010 it is clear that the Judge threatened Me at the Front of the second Panel of Jury that if the Jury trial continued the sentences For each of the crimes imputed carry (30 years), when none of the crimes imputed carry (30 year) under the Penal code.

This and that she denied Me the change of lawyer and the lawyer Making Me Plead guilty was what I was Forced to Make guilty Plead. Please I am accused of a crime I did Not commit I beg you and Plead with My lawyer that before the Jury trial begins, you will Petition For a Motion under rule 95 of evidence to have the videos of the camera of security submitted to the court, For the Jury to see that it was Not a Firearm and My lawyer refused to ask For the evidence. The Jury trial to begin enter the second Panel of Jury and as it is in Minute of November 22, 2010 the Judge Sandra Gil De Lamadrid refused the change of lawyer knowing that the relationship of lawyer to client was destroyed and even so she accepted the allegation of culpability.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Petitioner terminates the Appeals Process in all courts in Puerto Rico, United States District Court of Puerto Rico, and Court Circuit of Boston, Five Motions to the sentencing court again the in review For Muchs Motions and argument Not have Jurisdiction For what out of time. One of the Motions request that they sit to declare in open court again the witness to be aspecific in what she claims to see in My waist with a lawyer willing to Make the interragation to the witness since I was denied the change of lawyer. The suppression of evidence and Justice is done For the only crime that I declared inocent the sentencing court declared the Motion. There is Not Place Next to the other Four Motions Also send two Motions to the court of Appeals. The First claim that they have Not Jurisdiction because it did Not happen on the 30th day after the sentence was issued. The second Motion the Appeals court gave 20 days to the office of the general complainant to state their allegations do Not speak of the violation of my constitutional rights in the Precent case. I also sent two Motions to the Supreme court of Puerto Rico and the First was a certiorari answered there is Not Place For the grounds and the second Motion was a Habeas Corpus determined there is Not Place without, expressing to him why were denied. in all Motions Filed by all the court of Puerto Rico, None express themselves to the violations of My duties constitutional rights, begging Me to reconsider the Art. 5.04 of the Firearm law to Art. 5.05 blank (c) Pneumatic equal to the other two weapon laws For which I was sentenced and Justice is done. I request that the Prosecution witness be called to in order to declare again and be aspecific with the lawyer willing to see Justice served. The lawyer this to hang For the court Supreme of Puerto Rico For harm representation.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I swear that I am innocent of the crime Art. 5.04 of law of weapon and I am willing to assert the evidence of the Polygraph and everything to Prove My innocence in the other crimes Art. 5.05 of law of weapon I am guilty they accused Me For the crimes Art. 5.04 without due Process in the law "vessen" all the Movements that I had to Present to all the court of Puerto Rico Not one can translate Me all the Motions in English language that is why I go to court Washington D.C.

I'm arrested and taken to the Prosecutor's office and the Police officers who attended the case tell Me if I helped to clarify cases that they have under investigation, They would talk with the Judge so I would only be sentenced to two years. I told the agents I cannot Put My life in danger. They screamed at Me and said that the Judge would give Me Many years by Not cooperating, the Meeting I had with My lawyer he was always coercing me, insisting me to Make a guilty Plea at the Preliminary hearing. I begged My lawyer to Present the evidence to determine Probable cause and My lawyer refused. I also asked that he bring the witness in For interrogation and he did Not want to starting at the Preliminary hearing. The witness I declared that she claimed to see the butt of an alleged gun in My waist according to the declaration of July 12, 2010 in the writings which the alleged weapon was Never used to aim or shoot. I ask my lawyer Augusto C. Medina that before we started the trial by Jury ask the court under rule 95 of evidence a Motion since I am being accused of a crime that I did Not commit. Art. 5.04 enforcement of Firearm. The only evidence that the courts had was the affidavit of witness Stephanie Delconly Cardona that under oath declared that the Petitioner was carrying a gun in the waist being the only thing that she saw was the butt of the gun Pneumatic (o) weapon since alleged weapon was Not used to point or shoot it directly. The Fact that a Person declared under oath Managed to see, is Not enough evidence For the crime imputed. The responsibility of the lawyer does Not request the exculpatory evidence. The lawyer was intimidating me Forced to Plead guilty. The Supreme of Puerto Rico did Not be comment on the case.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Guafama Puerto Rico

Date: 8/February/2022