



ľ

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GARLAND E. WILLIAMS — PETITIONER (Your Name)

VS.

UNITED STATES — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT (NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GARLAND E. WILLIAMS

(Your Name)

6032 SILVER OAK DR.

(Address)

SLIDELL, LA. 70461

(City, State, Zip Code)

(985) 645-6231

(Phone Number)

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1.

Whether merit injury claims suffice in the undecided open proceedings; titled thereunder; "Williams v. U.S.," 1:21-CV-01632-EMR; thereof United States Court of Federal Claims.

## 2.

Whether complainant's invoked Title 28, Ch., 91, U.S.C. § 1491 (a) (1) injury claims undecided adjudication decree suffice as being protracted and delayed pursuant RCFC 1 thereof a normal aligned judicial proceedings permissible appellate review travel.

## 3.

Whether with pursuance conformity to  $RCFC \ 8 \ (1) \ (2) \ (3)$  plaintiff's controverter pleading substantive provision *Title 26; Ch.*, 65; U.S.C. § 6402 (a) (c) violations;

П

infers defendant's reprehensible omissions plausible actionable civil suit claims, as being presented in clear absence of, a genuine issue of material fact of substantive injuries; to advance plaintiff's allegations beyond Title 28, Ch., 91, U.S.C. § 1491 (a) (1) "money-mandating" statute redress standard prerequisite to suit jurisdiction; for allowing monetary and equitable injury recoveries pursuant Title 26; Ch., 65; U.S.C. § 6402 (g); (n); as expressly implied by United States Secretary of Treasury ascribed recommendation of allowable competent of law civil suit recourse court and monetary recovery of the tax years ineffect overpayment refund credit being misapplied.

Ш

# LIST OF PARTIES

1.

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

## **RELATED CASES**

2.

Appellant-Petitioner's case proceedings was invoked as an original claim without any related pending proceedings.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1
JURISDICTION 2 THRU 3
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY
PROVISIONS INVOLVED 4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE 5 THRU 8
REASONS FOR GRANTING
THE WRIT 9 THRU 10
CONCLUSION 11
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 21

# INDEX TO APPENDICES

# 

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED CASE(S) "Williams v. U.S." 1:21-CV-01632-EMR; ..... II, V, 5 STATUTES Title 28, Ch., 31; U.S.C. § 518; ..... 10 Title 26, Ch., 65; U.S.C. § 6402 (a) (c); ..... II, III Title 28, Ch., 85; U.S.C. § 1346 (a) (1), (2), (b) (1), (c); 5 Title 28, Ch., 91; U.S.C. § 1491 (a) (1); ..... II, III, 5, 9 Title 28; Ch., 133;  $U.S.C. \ \S \ 2101 \ (c) \ (e); \ \dots \ 2$ LOCAL RULES U.S. Supreme Court Rule 11; ..... 2 *RCFC 1;* ..... II, 10 RCFC 4 (a) (b) (c); ..... 6

RCFC	8 (	1) (	2) (.	3);.	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	 Π
RCFC	11	(C)	(1)	(2),	(4),	(6);	 7
RCFC	12	(b)	(1);	(b)	(6);		 8

# ARTICLES

<i>APPX-A</i> ;	2
<i>APPX-B;</i>	7
<i>APPX-C;</i>	.VI
<i>APPX-D</i> ;	VI

#### IN THE

#### SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

#### PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

#### **OPINIONS BELOW**

## For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix  $\underline{A}$  to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  $\checkmark$  is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished. OPEN PROCEEDINGS-UNDECIDED

#### [] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

1.

In pursuance light thereof Title 28. Ch., 133; U.S.C. § 2101 (c) (e) appellantplaintiff petition this United States Supreme Court forthwith permissible writ of certiorari to below bottom United States District Court of Federal Claims' opened-case proceedings laying had from United States Federal Circuit Court of dismissed appellate de novo Appeals' standard of review jurisdiction, as entered on 1/25/2022. APPX. A, at 12-13.

2.

In pursuance light thereof United States Supreme Court *Rule 11*, appellant-plaintiff petition this United States Supreme Court forthwith permissible open-claims and pending claims review redress adjudicature instructions.

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

### CASES / STATUTES CITED PAGE

**APPENDIX-A:** *[ECF., DOC. 8; at 1-2:]* 

"Coopers & Lybrand v. Livesay," 437 U.S. 463, 467; (1978);...... 13

#### **APPENDIX-B:** *[ECF., DOC. 15; at 1-5:]*

"Erickson v. Pardas," 551 U.S. 89, 94; (2007);...... 15

APPENDIX-A: [ECF., DOC. 8; at 1-2:]

Title 28, Ch., 83; U.S.C. § 1295 (a) (3);13

## **APPENDIX-B:** [ECF., DOC. 15; at 1-5:]

Title 28, Ch., 31; U.S.C. § 516;..... 18

Title 28, Ch., 31; U.S.C. § 518;..... 18

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1.

July, 28TH, of Year On date 2021. plaintiff the foregoing to civil proceedings; Titled; "Williams v. United States of America; ET., AL.," 1:21-CV-01632-EMR; in pursuance to Title 28, Ch., 85, U.S.C. § 1346 (a) (1), (2), (b) (1), (c) in addition thereto; Title 28, Ch., 91, U.S.C. § 1491 (a) (1) filed a monetary misappropriation civil suit tort injuries' complaint, as entered; ECF., Doc., 1; at 1-27; against named Defendant United States of America's Instrumental Executive Agents of the United States Treasury Department.

2.

In conformity to United States Court of Federal Claims procedural provision thereunder RCFC 4 (a) (b) (c); summons service of process was effected on date July 28TH, in Year 2021; as to the named defendant's authorized counsel, the United States Attorney General; by the United States Court of Federal Claims' Clerk of Court.

### 3.

On date September 1ST, in Year 2021, an individual entered into proceeding's record, a document notice of counsel appearance affidavit on date 09/01/2021; ECF., Doc., 10; at 1 without implied legal contention basis of counsel representation for the proceeding's named defendants; subsequently purporting erroneous counsel admission enrolling of counsel representation; controvertibly as stipulated herein appellant-plaintiff's petition for writ of certiorari request and

as well, the subjacent below brief on appeal argument contention raised issue. Therefore, plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 10/13/2021 and entered on on 10/15/2021, permitted the initial controvertible depository issue appealed lying had, stemming from plaintiff's motion ECF., DOC., No., 14 application for undersigned counsel's misconduct sanction request pursuant RCFC 11 (b) (1) (2) (3) (4), as filed on date 10/05/2021 pursuant RCFC 7 (b) (1) (A) (B) (C) (2) on accordance therewith RCFC 11 (c) (1) (2), (4), (6); which consequently was denied with prejudice, by the assigned juridical officer ECF., DOC., No., 15, at 1-5; as entered on 10/07/2021; APPX-B at 14-18.

4.

Furthermore, on date 09/27/2021, the unauthorized undersigned counsel entered a motion application pursuant to RCFC 12 (b) (1); (b) (6); ECF., Doc., No., 11, at 1-5; acquisitioning а request for complainant's claims dismissal, thus appellant-plaintiff's allowing ancillary subjacent below brief contending controvertible appeal raised issue.

#### 5.

Finally, on date 10/21/2021 and entered on date 10/27/2021 appellant-plaintiff filed a motion response in opposition to the undersigned counsel's motion for complainant's claims to be dismissed on date 10/21/2021 and entered of proceeding's record on date 10/27/2021, forewhich either party's affirmative motion request has yet to be adjudicated.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

1.

Granting petitioner's writ of certiorari request shall promote corresponding prohibition oversight of all judicial administration proceedings' case assignment intake and abnormal proceedings due process of law redress injustice for all petitioners so similar situated.

## 2.

Secondly, granting of petitioner's writ of certiorari request shall correct the mishandled case proceedings merit claims untendered adjudication, thereto plaintiff invoked injury claims request for recourse *pursuant Title 28, Ch., 91, U.S.C. § 1491* (a) (1), thereby the Supreme Court justices direct dissention, or instructional remand to the court of first instance to enter a determination on the open case proceedings' undetermined affirmative motions.

3.

Finally, granting of petitioner's writ of request certiorari to advance the proceedings breathed injury claims to an appropriate adjudicature disposition. without any further delay pursuant RCFC 1; it also shall allow permissible right to appellate review jurisdiction for a de novo determination of whether undersigned at large litigated counsel appropriate defense means in conformity compliance pursuant to Title 28; Ch., 31; U.S.C. § 518, and review of a potential remand of the court of first instance originating competent claims disposition.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should

be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated on this 14TH, Day in FEBRUARY,

of Year 2022;

S; / Garland E. Wi GARLAND E. W 6032 SILVER OAK DR. SLIDELL, LA. 70461: (985)639-0808: (985)645-6231:

GARLANDEWILL.DORCH1@GMAIL.COM