

21-7108

No. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED

SEP 23 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT OF THE U.S.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**ORIGINAL**

Kevin Ryan — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.  
State of Minnesota — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court State of Minnesota

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Kevin Ryan  
(Your Name)

Mail only {  
21171 Everton Av. N.  
(Address)  
Forest Lake MN. 55025  
(City, State, Zip Code)

home {  
23110 W. Martin Lk. dr.  
Stacy Min. 55079

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) IS Minnesota Stat. 169.791 Subd. 2(a)  
unConstitutional
- 2) IS Minnesota Stat. 169.791 Subd. 2(a)  
a Violation of freedom of speech

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

No 16 - 1466

Janus V. American Federation of  
State, County and  
Municipal Employees, Council

No 12 - 536

McCutcheon V. FEC

Citizen United V. FEC

558 US 310

OFFICE OF  
APPELLATE COURTS

SEP 27 2021

FILED

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION .....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION .....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A ~~Decision of State trial Court.~~

APPENDIX B ~~Decision of State Supreme Court Denying  
Review~~

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the State trial court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was April 26, 2021.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_A\_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Sept. 23 2021

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1<sup>ST</sup> Amendment freedom of Speech

All law must have Constitutional authority

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

@ trial in the lower Court Ryan argues the law 169.791 Subd. 2(a) is unconstitutional & is a violation 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Freedom of Speech.

The State Capitulated the legal argument, choose to remain silent.

The court returns a Verdict Void of any constitutional authority in the verdict/order or Conclusion of law.

It So appears that the State Supreme Court choose to uphold the lower Court.

I'm asking of the U.S. Supreme Court to relieve from the lower Court order & the restoration of my(Ryan's) Rights/privileges.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- 1) trial Court / lower Court Neither addressed the questions
- 2) @ trial the State Capitulated legal argument.
- 3) State of Minn. has diminished Ryan's ability to afford Defence
- 4) Insurance Companies have used the gains from State Insurance Mandates to purchase political Speech & Disminish Individuals free Speech.
- 5) Insurance Companies use political power to defy regulations & freedom of information requests.
- 6) This Country Needs to focus more on public safety & less on public extortion
- 7) the Citizens of America Need to focus on public safety & less on Corporate profit

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin Ryan 

Date: 9-22-21

Sept. 22, 2021