


ORIGINAL

No. 21-6809



IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

\_\_\_\_PETITIONER

Edward Shane West-El

Vs.

Midland Funding LLC

Respondents

United States Court of Appeals For the Eleventh Circuit

Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Edward Shane West-El

c/o P O Box 172453

Florida Territory

Hialeah Territory, Florida Territory [ zip exempt]

Non Domestic

(786) 487-8050

## **QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

1. Where did Caryn Canner Schwartz get the Delegation of Authority Order to make a decision in the lower tribunal case from Miami dade County Courts.
2. Where Did Cooling and Winter get the Delegated Authority to send me a Letter demanding payment?
3. Why was I, the Petitioner Edward Shane West-El denied the Right to be Heard in the Lower Tribunal Federal Courts of this United States Republic of North America.

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list

Of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgement is the subject

Of this petition is as follows:

Caryn Canner Schwartz, Harvey Ruvin, Robert A. Winter, Lourdes Simon,

Charles K. Johnson, Alberto Milian, Ellen Sue Venzer, Robert Eric Sickles, Esq.

## **Related Cases**

FLSC, FLDCA3 No. 3D18-1463, FLSC No. SC18-844

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	5,6
CONCLUSION.....	7

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

### **APPENDIX A**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FROM THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT ORDER.....	A1
--	----

### **APPENDIX B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORDERS.....	A3
--	----

### **APPENDIX C**

Cooling and Winter's demand for payment.....	A9
--	----

**APPENDIX D**

**STATE OF FLORIDA’S STATE COURT ORDERS.....A10**

**APPENDIX E**

**THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN  
AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA’S ORDERS.....A13**

**APPENDIX F**

**MIAMI DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA COURT ORDER FROM Caryn Canner  
Schwartz. Petitioner Edward Shane West-El signed under threat, duress, and  
Coercion.....A19**

**APPENDIX G**

**Petitioners pay stubs, pay that was garnished.....A23**

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
-------	-------------

Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125:.....	7
--	---

Cannon v. Commission on Judicial Qualifications, (1975) 14 Cal. 3d 678, 694.....	7
---	---

Article 1 section 10:.....	6
----------------------------	---

### STATUTES

11 <sup>th</sup> Cir. R. 46-3.....	Exhibit A
------------------------------------	-----------

FRAP 26.1.....	Exhibit A
----------------	-----------

### Other

The Treaty of Peace and Friendship.....	6
---	---

Rights of Indigenous People.....	6
----------------------------------	---

Declaration of Human Rights.....	7
----------------------------------	---

RICO Act.....	7
---------------	---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix d to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Miami Dade County Florida court appears at Appendix F to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was October 12, 2021.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Supreme Court of Florida.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix d.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).



## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

Pg

### **Statutes**

### **Other**

The Treaty of Peace and Friendship of 1836 A.D.

Articles 20 and 21.....6

Rights of Indigenous People.....6

Declaration of Human Rights Article 15.....6

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

The officers of the County of Miami Dade County, Florida, commanded that the Petitioner pay fines and costs imposed under threat, duress, and coercion with a 'man-of-straw/ misnomer word, misrepresented as implying my name, and typed upon the order/instrument and was improperly spelled " EDWARD WEST" in all Capital Letters. That misnomer and Corporate name "EDWARD WEST" IS clearly (an artificial-person/entity). This Petitioner Edward Shane West-El, made a "Reservation of Rights" as stated on the first Writ from Caryn Canner Schwartz's ticket/summons/suit/complaint No. 2017006745SP23 and signed it, for the record; name, correct spelling of name, and T.D.C. meaning the Petitioner was under threat, duress, and coercion. This case was dismissed in the United States District Court Southern District of Florida on September 20, 2021 by Jacqueline Becerra. The original cause of action was a final Judgement on August 24, 2017 to pay Midland Funding LLC. Caryn Canner Schwartz did not show forth the Delegation of Authority Order; see Exhibit F. The Eleventh Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, In and For Miami -Dade County, Florida's officers granted orders against the plaintiff Edward Shane West-El as well. See Exhibit E.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

COMES NOW, Edward Shane West-El, In Propria Persona, Sui Juris (not to be confused with Pro se), Aboriginal Indigenous Moorish-American; possessing Free-hold by Inheritance status; standing squarely affirmed and bound to the Zodiac Constitution, with all due respect and honors given to the Constitution for the United States Republic, North America. Being a descendant of Moroccans and born in America, with the blood of the Ancient Moabites from the Land of Moab, who received permission from the Pharaohs of Egypt to settle and inhabit North-West Africa / North Gate. The Moors are the founders and are the true possessors of the present Moroccan Empire; with our Canaanite, Hittite and Amorite brethren, who sojourned from the land of Canaan, seeking new homes. Our dominion and inhabitation extended from Northeast and Southwest Africa, across the Great Atlantis, even unto the present North, South and Central America and the Adjoining Islands-bound squarely affirmed to THE TREATY OF PEACE AND FRIENDSHIP OF SEVENTEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN (1787) A.D. superseded by THE TREATY OF PEACE AND FRIENDSHIP OF EIGHTEEN HUNDRED and THIRTY-SIX (1836) A.D. between Morocco and the United States

**(<http://www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/diplomacy/barbary/barl866t.htm> or at Bevin's Law Book of Treaties)** the same as displayed under Treaty Law, Obligation, Authority as expressed in Article VI of the Constitution for the United States of America (Republic):

# **THE TREATY OF PEACE AND FRIENDSHIP OF 1836 A.D.**

## **Between Morocco and the United States**

### **Article 20**

“If any of the Citizens of the United States, or any Persons under their Protection, shall have any disputes with each other, the Consul shall decide between the Parties, and whenever the Consul shall require any Aid or Assistance from our Government, to enforce his decisions, it shall be immediately granted to him.”

### **Article 21**

“If any Citizen of the United States should kill or wound a Moor, or, on the contrary, if a Moor shall kill or wound a Citizen of the United States, the Law of the Country shall take place, and equal Justice shall be rendered, the Consul assisting at the Trial; and if any Delinquent shall make his escape, the Consul shall not be answerable for him in any manner whatever.”

Articles of Part 1 of ‘*The Rights of Indigenous People*’

([http://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Draft:United\\_Nations\\_Declaration\\_on\\_the\\_Rights\\_of\\_Indige...](http://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Draft:United_Nations_Declaration_on_the_Rights_of_Indige...)) as follows:

“Indigenous People have the right to a full and effective enjoyment of all human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized in the Charter of the United Nations; The Universal Declaration of Human Rights; And International Human Law.”

Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule-making or legislation, which would abrogate them. **Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436, 125:**

**This is a violation of the United States Constitution.**

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights 1948: Article 3 says “ Everyone has the Right to life, Liberty and the security of persons.” Article 5 says “ No one shall be Subjected to torture or to cruel, inhumane or degrading treatment or punishment.

**THE RICO ACT is to be looked at in this situation as well.**

The Petitioner is demanding relief for what ever the Supreme Court of the United States sees as suitable.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Edmund Shaw West-El

Date: 12/20/21