

21 - 6766

No. _____

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
AUG 24 2021
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Latgawa Native American Indian Tribe + Confederated Tribe, Regu e Table
Rock + Associated Tribes (Land Trust) and Tribe and Tribal Court + Alan DeAtley
Tribal Judge, Tribal Sp. Counsel — Cause 09 CV 08247-01-29

Alan DeAtley T.M.T.L.T.C. — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

(Colorado A.G. agreed
Subject matter Jurisdiction
is with the Tribal Court
in 2008 with cases
still underway to this)

Dean Myers, Greg Schuler, Brown chudlie vs. gh, Schuler, Myers and Associate
and The Colorado Board of Real Estate Appraisers, a public body + political
agency and Subdivision of State of Colorado — RESPONDENT(S) and The People
of Colorado Case 10 CR 10309

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

AP-A Ex-AA (1) p.35
1st Latgawa Tribal Court "Temporary Restraining Order" 09 CV 08247.01 9-3-08
Last Latgawa Tribal Court "Temporary Restraining Order" 09 CV 08247.01 6-2-21
1st Colorado District Court "illegal" indictment, DeAtley, Davis, Zamzow" 10 CR 10309 10-7-10
Last Colorado Supreme Court Denied Writ of Certiorari 2021 SC 137 6-1-21
AP EX-A, B, E, H (NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Alan DeAtley T.M. + Tribal Judge

(Your Name)

12750 Hwy 96 Ln 13

(Address)

Ordway, Colorado 81034

(City, State, Zip Code)

Arkansas Valley Correctional Facility
1-719-267-3520 (AG-214)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- Tribal Land Trust, filed (2) cases, one 2006 and one 2008 both are still in process. "Subject matter Jurisdiction" all Tribal documents all Dean Myers Appraisers documents used when appraisal was done, all as ordered & stipulated to, buy A.G. Jack Wesokey for the State of Colorado, DORA. See Ap. A. Ex-AA (18) Plus violating Restraining Order made perminitt^{EX-AA(1)} and Permanent injunction made perminato Ex-AA(7). Theft of all Tribal documents 35,000.000 pages including Ex-151 (3) ring Binder Stolden all on Tribal Land, Tribal Headquarters admitted by D.G.R. Agent Bratten, A.G. Suther's, Wesokey, McReynolds 40978 all Assistant Attorney General's admitting in these proceedings and in these briefs as you will see ^(Ap. B) Ex-K answer BRIEF for A.G. Office. Stealing Tribal documents that all stipulated to Tribal Subject matter Jurisdiction.
 - Tribal Court has "Subject matter Jurisdiction" and "Jurisdiction" over Ex-151 and all other Stolden document from Tribal Land, The State of Colorado must exhaust all remedies 1st, as U.S. Supreme requires and before moving to Federal Court, not State Court, Colorado District Court, People v. Alan DeAtley, Richard Davis and David Zamzow case no 2010 CR 10309 is using Stolden documents, violating Tribal Court's Orders all clearly noing and do it with intent.
 - Please ^{see} conelation appart of this document.
 - Please order that the State of Colorado must Exhaust all Tribal Courts remeandy 1st, also all Tribal ruling that are with out objection or challenge or passed the time frame to appeal are all in full force, also to violate Tribal Law with intent is to suffer Tribal Law, and Tribal Courts and Tribal prisons.
 - Please rule that The Latgawa Nation Tribal Court has the "Subject matter Jurisdiction" over all violators of these Tribal Courts orders as stipulated to by A.G. of Colorado has, including the complete Jurisdiction over the crimes of theft of Dean Myers files and all the Subject matter Documents all Stolden from Tribal Land's all with out Tribal Court orders. The Complete Jurisdiction over all Stolden property including 35,000,000 pages of Tribal documents. Please order that Tribal Restraining orders are in place and are valid and the Injunction's are ordered are in place and are valid and please issue the same in federal law so they will stop.
- 8/23/21

Question's Presented

and all ready desideland

are The Standing Law.

(Importance beyond the particular facts and parties Involved)

1. Tribal Court has all Jurisdiction over all Tribal Land, Tribal Business all Tribal matters with out question. (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes State Yes)
2. Tribal Court has all Jurisdiction over Tribal civil and criminal acts on Tribal Lands and against Tribal members all Tribal Court's orders even off Tribal Land were stipulated and agreed by States including Colorado. (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes State Yes)
3. Tribal Court started (2) Case's, one 2006 and one, 2008, both are still in process with acts being committed within and with investigation being committed, the Tribal Court has The Jurisdiction over all matter on Tribal Lands and were Tribal members have been wrongfully accused and or charged. (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes and No State Yes)
4. Were "Tribal Court" has "Jurisdiction" and "Subject matter Jurisdiction" all on Same Facts with "Stipulations" and "Agreements" with The "State of Colorado" accepting, entering an apperance and never objecting or challenging the Tribal court orders. "The State of Colorado" must exhaust all Tribal remedies 1st, before moving to Federal Court Not State Court, You all, Colorado, all new this but illegally investigated illegally indicted, illegal Tried, illegally sentenced, Kiddingnaping all illegally with intent. (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes State Yes) Illegally!
5. State's and County, subdivisions lack authority to prosecute Indians for Criminal offenses arising in Indian country (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes) State Law Yes
6. Anti-Injunction Act's relitigation exception allow Federal court to prevent state litigation of issue that previously was presented to and decided by Federal court > 28 USC A 2283. (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes State Law Yes)
7. Anti-Injunction Act did not bar Federal court from issuing preliminary injunction barring county and States from prosecuting Tribal members in state court for alleged Tax offenses on Tribal Lands, where Federal court had previously ruled that Lands in question were Indian Land, Indian Country > 28 USC A 2283. (Tribal Law Yes Federal Law Yes State Law Yes)
8. Younger abstention to apply, there must be ongoing state judicial

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

v.

Defendant.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Please see following page "List of Parties" page 8A

RELATED CASES

Please see following page "Related Cases" page 8A

LIST OF PARTIES

✗ All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A List of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose Judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- In addition to The Parties that appear: Commit Criminal and Civil acts all on Tribal land with intention of destroying, displacing Tribal authority.
- The State of Colorado, Denver City, Denver County, Colorado Department of Correction Rick Raemisch, Dean Williams, Erin Toll, Wilhelm Ritter, Wellington Carpenter, Martin Egelhoff, Attorney General of Colorado John Suthers, Jack Wesoky 1-500 AG's unnamed, Jackson County, Coalmont Co., The State of Colorado 1-5000 unnamed, John Hickenlooper, 1-5000 unnamed, Cynthia Coffman, Melanie Snyder, Grant Sullivan, Eric Myers, John Viverito, John Bennett, Mike Shaw, Skip Spears, Noah Patterson, 1-5000 unnamed, The Denver District Attorney office, Mitchell Morrissey, Beth McCann, Joseph Morales, Kandace Gerdes, Ken Boyd, Kent Prose, Andrew Baum, Dan Beadle, Jubul Doe, 1-5000 unnamed, David Kaplan, Martin Stuart, Julie Masterson, Colorado Department of Revenue, Barbara Brohl, Rozzy Huber, Neil Tilquist, Richard Girandini, Steven Bratten, James Milne, Eric Bufford, Pam Peck, Tim Doe, 1-5000 unnamed, Sheila Rappaport, Robert McGehee, John Madden, Martin Egelhoff, Kandace Gerdes, Steven Shinn, Michael Vallejos, Devin Odell 1-5000 unnamed, Alan Loeb 1-5000 unnamed, Nancy Rice, Brian Boatright, Nathan Coats, Allison Eid, Richard Gabriel, William Hood, Monica Marquez, William Campbell, William Lucero, James Doyle, 1-5000 unnamed, Key Bank, Allard Kliche, ESK+D, Denver Post, R.M.N., 1-10,000 unnamed other all violating Tribal Law and Tribal court's order all for their gain and personal gain all with intent to commit criminal acts on and over Tribal Land and Tribal member's all false and by the State of Colorado Kidnaping & Extortion.

RELATED CASES

- People v. DeAtley Tribal Judge No. 10 CR 10309, District Court Colorado, Colorado Court of Appeals.
- Nichols v. Colorado D.O.R. No. 11-CV-14-29, 12-CV-9, District Court Colorado, Colorado Court of Appeals 17-CA-214.
- Medved v. Colorado D.O.R. No. 15-CV-1514
- Key Bank v. DeAtley Tribal Judge No. 17-CV-30003
- Aurelio, DeAtley Tribal Judge v. Correction Corporation et al District US Court of Colorado 1:17-CV-01073 PAB MEH.
- Aurelio, DeAtley Tribal Judge v. CCA, Core Civic, 10th Cir Court of Appeals 19-1362 19-1372
- DeAtley v. Williams Dir of Doc US Supreme Court 19-7915
- DeAtley v. Allard US District Court.
- DeAtley v. Stuart et al E. Dist Washington
- 15 Corp v. Denver Dist. Attorney E. Dist Washington
- DeAtley Tribal Judge v. Colorado as a whole Tribal Court No 09 CV 08247-01, 02, 03, 04, 05.
- Myers v. Colorado state No 09 CV 08247- Tribal Court.

v.	
Attorney or Party Without Attorney (Name and Address):	▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲ Case No: _____ Div. _____ CTRM _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	11.
JURISDICTION.....	12
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	13
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	14
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	15
CONCLUSION.....	16

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>The Latgawa Indian Tribal Justice Court.</i>	35
	<i>Ex-AA(0) - Ex-AA(18)</i>	
APPENDIX B	<i>The Colorado Supreme Court</i>	53
	<i>Ex-A - Ex-Q</i>	
APPENDIX C		
APPENDIX D		
APPENDIX E	<i>The State of Colorado Blocking Interfering</i>	
	<i>discriminating Ex-20 Ex-21, Ex-22, Ex AAAA, BBBB</i>	
	<i>CCCC, DDDD, EEEE, FFFF, Ex-23 see Exhibit List AppE,</i>	
APPENDIX F	<i>(Removed)</i>	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW - 16.

JURISDICTION - 17.

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions involved - 18.

Statement of The case - 19.

Reasons for Granting The writ - 32.

Conclusion - 33.

Service - 34

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX (A) Decision of The Tribal Court all rulings EX-AA-(1)-(18) - 35

APPENDIX (B) Decision of The Colorado Supreme Court Denied - 53

- Tribal Court has the "Subject matter Jurisdiction" of all document used in the State of Colorado illegal Case against Deatley, Davis + Zamzow. They all new that all they needed to do was just go to Federal Court, but they all new, this "Colorado Corruption" would come out. All document used in "People v. Deatley" were stolen from Tribe.
- Tribal Courts must be exhausted 1st, they have not done this, all of Colorado illegal cases must be dismissed as all are without "Subject matter Jurisdiction" and are without any "Jurisdiction" over the "THE STOP Tribal documents".
- Colorado's and U.S. District Court Case's are all without Subject matter Jurisdiction, all must be dismissed and all ruling Reversed and all erased for ever.
- Colorado must Exhaust all Tribal remedies 1st, before going to Federal Court! Not State Court, All agreed Tribal Court has Jurisdiction.

v.	
Attorney or Party Without Attorney (Name and Address):	▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲ Case No: _____ Div. _____ CTRM _____

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Please, next page attached, page 10 A + B

STATUTES AND RULES

Please, next page attached, page 10 A + B

OTHER

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

- S. Pac. R.R. Co. v. United States 168 U.S. 1, 49, 18 Ct, 18 42 L. Ed 355 (1897)
- Ute Indian Tribe v. Utah, 773 F.2d 1087, 1093 (10th Cir 1985) (en banc)
United States Mem. in Supp. of Ute Indian Tribes Mot. for Injunctive Relief 3, Supplemental App. 8 (Nov. 23, 1992).
- U.S. Supreme Court Precedence Clear federal Law and Tribal Law 404
Must exhaust all Tribal Court remedies 1st before moving to Federal Court Not State Court.
- DeCoteau v. Dist County Court 420 U.S. 425, 427 + n. 2, 95 S.Ct. 1082, 43 L. Ed. 2d 300 (1975) Solem v. Bartlett, 465 U.S. 463, 465 n. 2, 104 S.Ct. 1161, 79 L. Ed. 2d 443 (1984)
- Bartlett, 465 U.S. at 465 n. 2, 104 S.Ct. 1161 (citation omitted) But unless Congress provides an exception to the rule and it hasn't here states possess "no authority" to prosecute Indians for offenses in Indian country > Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes v. Oklahoma 618 F.2d 665, 668 (10th Cir 1980) > 18 USC § 1162 (allowing certain States but not Colorado to exercise jurisdiction over crimes committed by Indian in Indian country).

STATUTES AND RULES

28 USC § 2283

UCA § 17-53-101

Rule 13 Review on Certiorari Time for Petitioning is today, June 2, 2021
August 30, 2021 or August 31, 2021 all sent from Colorado Prison Legal mail
as deemed to be filed on the same date as mailed at prison.

- Tribal Law Requires consent to allow the federal court to review this was so. ordered.
- Tribal Law and Tribal Court ordered, The State of Colorado has no subject matter jurisdiction and jurisdiction over the ft of Tribal documents all Dean Myers files Transferred to the Tribal 501-C-3 with all ownership of Lots and easement, Conservation, and the Tribal Tax Credits all with Corp's and LLC's all Tribal, all crimes are under Tribal jurisdiction all on Tribal Lands by "The State of Colorado" and "their employees all for their Gain and Personal Gain."

- Tribal Court requires complete exhaustion of the Tribal Courts remedy 1st, U.S. Supreme Court Precedence and Tribal Court Order and Tribal Law.
- Tribal Law and Tribal Court's Order all now made Absolute with mandates issued all past appeals.
- Tribal Court re-instated Restoring Order and Injunction all made permanent.

MORE TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

- But as you might have guessed by now, the State and its counties are back at it. Prosecuting Tribal members in state court for offenses occurring on Tribal Lands indeed, on the very Lands (Tribal conservation Easements all Transferred to the Tribal 501-c.3 all Tribal owned all and Tribal Law and Tribal Court all Jurisdiction and all stolen Tribal documents including Dean Meyers Appraisals for the Tribe all Tribal Subject matter Jurisdiction already in process with a dismissal for Colorado, but ^{Tribal Court} held Subject matter Jurisdiction" over the Stipulation and the parties all agreeing never objection or challenging to a higher Tribal Court. ^{EX} See AA (1) (18)
- United States 'Men. supra, at 4, Supplemental App. 9. This time the Tribe filed for Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court after Last ruling in Tribal Court 6-2-21 and an illegal ruling from Colorado Court of Appeal were a final ruling, final illegal ruling all with clearly No Jurisdiction. The Tribal members and the Tribe seek from this suit a permanent injunction prohibiting the State and its counties from criminal prosecutions of Tribal members in State Court for offenses arising in areas declared Tribal Conservation Easements and Tribal owned Corporations and Tribal owned LLC.
- The Tenth Circuit has "repeatedly stated that an invasion of Tribal sovereignty can constitute irreparable injury, all recognized by Colorado and ruled on the same by the Tribal court never objecting. Wyandotte Nation v. Schellco 443 F.3d 1247, 1255 (10th Cir 2006) Court up held a preliminary injunction preventing Kansas from enforcing State gaming laws on a Tract of Tribal Lands because of the infringement on Tribal sovereignty all agreed accepted by this Tribal Court and ruling the same. Id at 1254-57 see also > Prairie Band of Potawatomi Indians v. Pierce 253 F.3d 1234, 1250-51 (10th Cir 2001) Tribal sovereignty is so much greater all same ruling as the Tribal court.
- "Paramount federal policy" of ensuring that Indians do not suffer interference with their efforts to develop strong self government > Seneca-Cayuga Tribe v. Oklahoma ex rel. Thompson 874 F.2d 709, 716 (10th Cir 1989) see also > Prairie Band 253 F.3d at 1253. would not impede their ability or change Colorado's Stipulation agreement all without objecting in any way. "To protect or effectuate" a previous federal judgement Id this "relitigation exception" as it's called allows "a federal court to prevent state litigation of an issue that previously was presented to and decided by the federal court" > Chick Kam Choo v. Exxon Corp. 486 US 140, 147, 108 S.Ct. 1684, 100 L. Ed 2d, 127 (1988)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from ^{Tribal Court} ~~federal courts~~: (with "Subject matter Jurisdiction" + "Ben. Jurisdiction")
^{Tribal Court}

The opinion of the ~~United States court of appeals~~ appears at Appendix AP A to the petition and is EX-AA(0) - EX-AA(18) and EX-1033300 sent as apply's.

☒ reported at (11) News outlet's Sent 12-23-21; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

(No objections or challenge's by the State of Colorado!)

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from ^{✓.} ~~state courts~~: (with out "Subject matter Jurisdiction" + "Jurisdiction")

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at

Appendix Ap B to the petition and is EX-10020, EX-A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K,

L, M, N, O, P, Q
☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from ~~federal courts~~: ^{Tribal Court}

The date on which the ~~United States Court of Appeals~~ ^{Tribal Court} decided my case was 6-2-21. *No challenge by the State of Colorado.*

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

Tribal Court v. State Court.

✓

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 6-1-21.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix Ap B. Ex-A.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Tribal Court v. State Court.

See next attached page, 12A.

JURISDICTION

✗ For cases from Tribal Courts: 6/1/21 and 6/2/21

The date on which the highest Tribal court decided our case was,

A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A

✗ All Tribal Court's orders have never been objected to or challenged by the State of Colorado, Colorado Attorney General John Suthers or Jack Wesoke that enter his appear-^{ance} by Pro hac Vice and Tribal Court order, see: Ex-AA(1) - Ex-AA(18) The Tribal Court issued the Restraining Order made perminate, the injunction Order now reinstated and made perminate all 1-1-09 after The State of Colorado as a whole violated the Tribal Court Order all stipulated agreed, excepted never objected to or challenged to a higher Tribal Court or Federal court, then within (2) days of excepting these Tribal Court orders The State of Colorado, Breaking, Entering, Trespassing the Tribal, Grand Theft, the 1st of (17) criminal acts all on Tribal land, stealing 43 boxes of Dean Myers Appraisal files, all used in his appraisal work all appart of Chief Richard Davis including later stealing Chief Richard Davis (3) Ring Binder, his Tribal work book all use as appart of Dean Myers Appraisal work all as Tribal Court Order, excepted by Colorado as a whole but then try to, use stolen Tribal documents, all 100% used in Grand Jury, all stolen and all used at, illegal Trial were Ex 15 and all the rest of the now known 35,000,000 pages / counts of Tribal Grand Theft all admitted to by the State of Colorado D.A. Joe Morales and CDOR Steven Bratten Agent and A.G. Kevin McReynold all admitting to the Theft and the illegal uses all without the Subject matter Jurisdiction and Jurisdiction, all knew this from 2005 "Request for Letter Ruling" submitted to the State of Colorado and agreed and then again 2008 were Tribal Court Order, Restraining Order, Injunction, Stipulated Tribal Court order were the Tribal Court's holding on to the Subject matter

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- Tribal v. State, all on Tribal conservation easements is Tribal Land see Illegal Indictment Ex-AA(6) page 18 par. 31-38 "Entity that held each of the (15) easements was the Confederated Tribes - Rogue-Table Rock and Associated Tribes, Par 36 Once the easement had been transferred to Confederated Tribes - Rogue-Table Rock and associated Tribes, The credits were then offered for Sale on the Secondary market to Transferees. The credits were offered for Sale through Solutions International, LLC as arms of the Tribe owned by the Tribe. Tribal owned on Tribal Land, Tribal Law.
- See Ap A-Ex-AA(6) - AA(18) The State of Colorado agreed Subject matter Jurisdiction and Crimes on Tribal Land are under Tribal Law, were Theft of Tribal documents 35,000,000 pages including (3) ring binder Ex-151 was stolen by the State of Colorado as admitted to in all Colorado Attorney General Briefs as you will see Ap B Ex-A-Ex-G
- U.S. Supreme Court requires exhausting all Tribal Court remedy completely 1st before going on to Federal Court not state Court.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 2005 James Hackstaff ^{Att'y} & Alan DeAttey Tribal Judge Submitted "Request for Letter Ruling" To The State of Colorado D.O.R., A.G. telling of their plan to clean up, create ponds, re-hab coal mining property with farmers dumps, replanting, irrigation control Fire protection, new fencing, maintain all for 20 years with 20 years more.
- Colorado D.O.R. told Hackstaff Att'y they wanted a public 501(c)3 and they liked our projects. In Colorado you can break up the 520 +/- ac. by just a legal description, description down to 35 ac which equals (15) lots. The Latgawa Nation 501(c)3 was offered to Colorado D.O.R., They liked the Indians and state that since, 2006 The Tribal Court ruled on the once C.D.O.R. reviewed, held up, the use for 1 year, asked for more information and then allowed all 100% of the Tribal Tax Credit to be used the Tribal Tax Credit is all good and can not be challenged again. All excepted no objections, No challenges to a higher Tribal Court or Federal Courts appeal all well past the timeline.
- Sept 2008 The State of Colorado Demand all record files of appraiser Dean Myers, The Tribal 501(c)3 got a Restraining Order stopping all. See: Ex-AA(1) - Ex-AA(8) Colorado accepted all, no objections or challenges to a higher tribal Court.
- All stipulated, agreed, accepted The Tribal Courts Jurisdiction of the Subject matter, 12-23-08 all ordered. on 12-26-08 The State of Colorado Breaking, entering, Trespassing all on Tribal Land, Stealing 43 Tribal Boxes & 11 Dean Myers files, Tribal documents all agreed and under ^{+ over} Tribal Lands and Tribal Law and Tribal Courts Jurisdiction with out question.
- Tribal Court has Jurisdiction, State of Colorado has no Jurisdiction and must exhaust Tribal courts 1st.
- U.S. Supreme Court says the same thing. Colorado commit criminal acts all on Tribal Lands, violating Tribal Courts Order with intent.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- The civil case Nichols v. Colorado DOR, Case 11-cv-14-27 EX-1033300 tells and is so ordered 9-20-21 were all was now orders all this case to the Tribal Court see attached, Transfer to the Tribal Court which has the "Subject Matter Jurisdiction" and Jurisdiction over these matter clearly ordered by the Tribal Court 8-25-21 and later Ordered by "Colorado District Court Jackson County" Judge M. Jon Kolomity" Senior Judge, EX-1033300.
- The criminal case without "Subject Matter Jurisdiction" and "complete Jurisdiction" of acts of Theft, Trespassing, Breaking + entering Tribal Lands all the way'll clearly know they have "No Subject Matter Jurisdiction or Jurisdiction all without any permission or Court order as required all on Tribal Land, Tring to use Stolden Tribal document in "People v. Alan DeAthey" ^{10/21/2021} Tribal member and Richard Davis Tribal chief is all with "Subject Matter Jurisdiction" and "Jurisdiction" see AP.A. EX-AA-(6)-(18) all Colorado A.G.s Stipulated, agreed, are bound by the Tribal Court Order as they, the State of Colorado A.G. appeared by order of the Tribal Court and must follow these orders!
- The Civil Case without "Subject Matter Jurisdiction" and "complete Jurisdiction" of acts of Theft, Trespassing, Breaking + entering Tribal Lands all the way'll clearly know they have No Subject Matter Jurisdiction or Jurisdiction, all without any permission or Court order as required all on Tribal Land, Tring to use Stolden, Tribal documents in "Nichols v. Colorado Department of Revenue" ^{11/21/21} all Clients of Tribal Land Trust and Tribal Corporations all arms of the Tribe "all without" Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Jurisdiction see AP.A. EX-AA-(6)-(18) all Colorado A.G. Stipulated, agreed, are bound by the Tribal Court Order as they, The State of Colorado A.G. appeared by order of the Tribal Court and must follow these Orders!

Please see EX-1033300

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

*Please see attached
15 A.*

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- State and some Federal courts, don't follow the clear federal Law. In this case all new, agreed, stipulated to the Tribal Court's "Subject matter Jurisdiction" and the Plan all along and liked it. But just because they could, they illegally charged, illegally tried, illegally sentenced illegally kidnapped Alan DeAtley Tribal Judge and Richard Davis Tribal chief all clearly noting the "Federal Court" would over turn this (in-justice) as told by "whistle Blowers" they said "Fuck them all" were immune they should have paid ^{7,211,000,000}.
- Invasion of Tribal sovereignty can constitute irreparable injury warranting injunctive relief.
- State prosecution of Tribal members in state court for alleged tax offenses on Tribal Land, warranting injunction as Colorado has accepted already in Sept 2008 and in Dec 2008. See ApA Ex 1-18
- State agreed and its subdivisions lack authority to prosecute Tribal member for criminal offenses arising in Indian Tribal Lands, Country. See ApA, Ex AA-(10)-(18)
- Anti Injunction Acts relitigation exception allows federal court to prevent state litigation of issue that previously was presented to and decided by federal court > 28 USC § 2283
- Anti-Injunction Act did not bar federal court from issuing injunction barring state from prosecuting Tribal members in state court for alleged tax offenses on Tribal Land where federal court had previously ruled that lands in question were Indian Country Tribal Lands > 28 USC § 2283
- U.S. Supreme Court must order that Colorado accepted all Tribal Court orders in 2006, 2008, -2021 all without objection or any challenges to a higher Tribal or federal court. Colorado must exhaust all Latgawa Tribal Courts 1st before any state court or federal court may proceed.
- The State of Colorado agreed accepted the Tribal courts "Subject matter Jurisdiction" then steld all "Subject matter" Documents, Dean Myers files all on C.E. project that Myers was appraising in Jackson County Colorado violating Tribal Court orders, 15A


Please see attached 16.A

CONCLUSION

Please see attached 16.A

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "M. M. M.", written over a horizontal line.

Date: 8-23-21 and now 12-13-21


Affidavit

I Alan DeAtley Tribal Sp. Counsel, Tribal Judge was made a Tribal member by Chief John Newkirk. Later after some time Chief and then Tribal Judge John Newkirk asked if I would be his backup Tribal Judge when he was in a conflict. The chief said that Rick Davis, (Richard) was his Arer to be Chief after John Newkirk stepped down or died. He also said Rick Davis was also a backup Judge. After Johns illness the 1st one he ask me to be Special Counsel in a Tribal matter, I excepted and did the job. Later chief/Judge John Newkirk ask me to be full time and to perform these Tribal jobs for ever until death and Don't let them distroy The Tribe, 501c3 or any of the Tribal members, fight to the end for God and the Tribe. I have ruled as any Judge would all with out any objection to Tribal Court or Federal Court all now well passed the time for any appeals.

 8/23/21

Conclusion

- Please order that the State of Colorado must Exhaust all Tribal Court remedy 1st, also all Tribal rulings that are with out objection or challenge or pass the time frame to appeal are all in full force, also to violate Tribal Law with intent is to suffer Tribal Law, and Tribal Courts and Tribal prisons.
- Please rule that The Lagawa Nation Tribal Court has the "Subject matter Jurisdiction" over all violators of these Tribal Court orders including the theft of Dean Myers files and the complete Jurisdiction over all that stole the other Tribal documents and property. Please order that the Tribal Restraining orders are in place and valid and the injunctions are ordered are in place and are valid and please issue the same in Federal Law so they will stop doing these illegal things.

 8/23/21

v.	
Attorney or Party Without Attorney (Name and Address):	▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲ Case No: _____ Div. _____ CTRM _____