Capital Case

Case No.	

In the Supreme Court of the United States

JEMAINE CANNON,

Petitioner,

v.

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Petitioner, Jemaine Cannon, by and through his undersigned attorney, pursuant Supreme Court Rule 39.1 and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3006A(d)(7), respectfully moves this Honorable Court for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and for leave to file the attached Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals without prepayment of fees. The courts below have appointed counsel for Petitioner, Jemaine Cannon, an indigent party.

Petitioner was previously found indigent at trial and appellate proceedings in the District Court of Tulsa County and in the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals, thus, under S. Ct. Rule 39 and 18 U.S.C. § 3006A (d)(7), negating the requirement of an attached affidavit. *See* Order Appointing Oklahoma Indigent Defense System (OIDS), *State v. Cannon*, No. CF-1995-727 (Tulsa Co. Mar. 19, 1997) (OIDS to represent Cannon in his post-conviction matters); *see also* Order appointing the Office of the Federal Public Defender, *Cannon v. Royal*, No. CIV-99-297-TCK-PJC (N.D. Okla. June 13, 2017).

Respectfully submitted,

s/Emma V. Rolls

EMMA V. ROLLS, OBA # 18820*
Assistant Federal Public Defender
Office of the Federal Public Defender
Western District of Oklahoma
215 Dean A. McGee, Suite 707
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
405-609-5975 (phone)
405-609-5976 (fax)
Emma Rolls@fd.org

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER, JEMAINE CANNON

* Counsel of Record

Dated this 15th day of December, 2021

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COURTER COURT STATE OF OKLAHOMA

THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

No. CF 95-727

JEMAINE MONTEIL CANNON,

Defendant.

ORDER APPOINTING OKLAHOMA INDIGENT DEFENSE SYSTEM ON POST-CONVICTION RELIEF APPEAL

Now on this 19^{10} day of March, 1997, having considered the Defendant's Application for the Appointment of the Oklahoma Indigent Defense System (OIDS) for purpose of post-conviction relief IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT OIDS be appointed to represent the Defendant for purpose of post-conviction appeal pursuant to 22 O.S.Supp.1995, §1089.

Section 1089.B prescribes that OIDS is to receive a certified copy of the appeal record. Therefore, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court reporters involved in the direct appeal prepare and file an additional copy of the transcripts. Costs shall be paid from Further, the Tulsa County Court Clerk shall the Court Fund. prepare and certify an additional copy of the original record and deliver same, with transcripts, to OIDS.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This is to certify that on this $\frac{197}{100}$ day of MARCH, 1997, a copy of the above and foregoing Document was were mailed to:

Oklahoma Indigent Defense System Capital Post-Conviction Division 1660 Cross Center Driver Norman, OK 73109

and

Attorney General's Office 2300 N. Lincoln Blvd 112 State Capitol Building Oklahoma City, OK 73105-4894

with sufficient postage thereon.

CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

This is to certify that on this $\frac{19^t}{10^t}$ day of March, 1997, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was delivered to:

SALLY SELF, Ct. Reporter c/o Tulsa County Courthouse 500 S. Denver Tulsa, OK 74103

and

LYNETTE QUETONE, Ct. Reporter 329 Tulsa County Courthouse 500 S. Denver Tulsa, OK 74103

BARRY L. DERRYBERRY

OBA No. 13099

Assistant Public Defender 189 Tulsa County Courthouse

500 S. Denver Tulsa OK 74103 (918) 596-5530

TLL____ for Tonollon

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JEMAINE MONTEIL CANNON,)
Petitioner)
v.) Case No. 99-CV-297-TCK-PJC
TERRY ROYAL, Warden,))
Respondent)

ORDER

This is a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus action. Petitioner Jemaine Monteil Cannon is an Oklahoma inmate sentenced to death. Before the Court are Petitioner's motions to reconsider the denial of his requests for appointment of counsel (Dkt. ## 342, 346), filed after the Court dismissed Petitioner's request for approval of a proposed litigation budget and allowed attorney Jack Fisher to withdraw. Petitioner has also filed a third motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. # 349).

In the second motion to reconsider (Dkt. # 346), Petitioner alleges that the Court "has misconstrued and not fully considered Petitioner's requests" for appointment of counsel. Petitioner explains that his request for appointment of counsel extends to future proceedings, beyond the appeal of the Court's dismissal of Petitioner's request for approval of a proposed litigation budget. For the reasons discussed below, the Court shall grant Petitioner's second motion to reconsider and shall appoint counsel for Petitioner. The first motion to reconsider as well as the third motion for appointment of counsel shall be declared moot.

¹Petitioner has appealed both the dismissal of the request for approval of a proposed litigation budget (Tenth Circuit Case No. 17-5053) and the order denying Petitioner's first motion for appointment of counsel and request for stay (Tenth Circuit Case No. 17-5059).

As pertinent to this case, the Criminal Justice Act (CJA) provides that:

[u]nless replaced by similarly qualified counsel upon the attorney's own motion or upon motion of the defendant, each attorney so appointed shall represent the defendant throughout every subsequent stage of available judicial proceedings, including . . . all available post-conviction process, together with applications for stays of execution and other appropriate motions and procedures, and shall also represent the defendant in such competency proceedings and proceedings for executive or other clemency as may be available to the defendant.

18 U.S.C. § 3599(e). Thus, the scope of an attorney's representation extends beyond the confines of the original proceeding to include "every subsequent stage of available judicial proceedings." See Hooper v. Jones, 536 F. App'x 796, 799-800 (10th Cir. 2013) (unpublished)² (discussing scope of counsel's CJA appointment). When the Court allowed attorney Jack Fisher to withdraw, the CJA required that similarly qualified substitute counsel be appointed to represent Petitioner for any remaining "available" process. Therefore, Petitioner's motion to reconsider the denial of his request for appointment of counsel is granted. The Court hereby appoints counsel from the Death Penalty Federal Habeas Corpus division of the Federal Public Defender's Office for the Western District of Oklahoma, 215 Dean A. McGee, Suite 109, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, 73102, to represent Petitioner for any subsequent stage of this proceeding. The Federal Public Defender's Office shall designate an attorney to file an Entry of Appearance within five days from receipt of this Order.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's second motion to reconsider (Dkt. # 346) is **granted**.
- The Court appoints counsel from the Federal Public Defender's Office for the Western
 District of Oklahoma to represent Petitioner for any subsequent stage of this proceeding.

²This unpublished opinion is not precedential but is cited for its persuasive value. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 32.1; 10th Cir. R. 32.1.

- 3. The Clerk's Office shall mail a copy of this Order to the Federal Public Defender's Office at the address cited above. The Federal Public Defender's Office shall designate an attorney to file an Entry of Appearance within five days from receipt of this Order.
- 4. Petitioner's first motion to reconsider (Dkt. # 342) and third motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. # 349) are **declared moot**.
- The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals.
 DATED this 13th day of June, 2017.

Terence Kern
TERENCE KERN

United States District Judge