

Supreme Court  
of the United States

21-6561

X Petition for a Writ of Certiorari  
to NYS Court of Appeals

Gerald Aranoff,

Petitioner

**ORIGINAL**

-against-

Susan Aranoff,

Respondent

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
DEC - 5 2021  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

State of Israel

Tel-Aviv SS:

Gerald Aranoff, being duly sworn, deposes and says:



Gerald Aranoff

8 Miriam Haneviah Street

Bnei Brak 51583 Israel

Phone 972-523-602370

Email: garanoff@netvision.net.il

# Questions Presented

The questions presented:

Can an elderly USA citizen living in Israel continuously since July 9, 1991 except for 1 week August 1992, appeal to the United States Supreme Court to overturn a NYS court order QDRO?

Can an elderly USA citizen living in Israel prove deliberate fraud of NYS judges/clerks?

Can an elderly USA citizen living in Israel, remarried, appeal to the United States Supreme Court to annul Judge Prus signed NYS civil divorce 9/10/2013?

## List of Parties

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

Susan Aranoff, Respondent

498 East 18 Street, Brooklyn, NY 11226 USA

phones: 718-284-2093 917-671-7274

email: susanaranoff@gmail.com;

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Gerald Aranoff". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and address.

Gerald Aranoff, Petitioner

8 Miriam Haneviah Street

Bnei Brak 51583 Israel

Phone 972-523-602370

Email: garanoff@netvision.net.il

# Contents

1	Opinions Below	1
2	Statement of the Case	4
3	Reasons for Granting the Petition	7
4	Conclusion	7
5	List of Appendices	8

# 1 Opinions Below

1. See Appendix A  
State of New York  
Court of Appeals

Decided and Entered on the  
twenty-third day of November, 2021

Present, Hon. Janet DiFiore, Chief judge, presiding

.....  
Mo. No. 2021-850

Susan Aranoff,  
Respondent,

v.

Gerald Aranoff,  
Appellant.

.....  
Appellant having moved for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals in the  
above cause;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, that the motion is dismissed upon the ground that the order  
sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the mean-  
ing of the Constitution.

\_\_\_\_\_  
John P. Asiello  
Clerk of the court

2. See Appendix B

Aranoff v Aranoff

Motion No: 2013-09429

Slip Opinion No: 2014 NY Slip Op 76248(U)

Decided on June 25, 2014

Appellate Division, Second Department, Motion Decision

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law  
§431

This motion is uncorrected and is not subject to publication in the Official  
Reports.

Supreme Court of the State of New York

Appellate Division: Second Judicial Department

M176225

E/ct

RUTH C. BALKIN, J.P.

THOMAS A. DICKERSON

JOHN M. LEVENTHAL

SHERI S. ROMAN, JJ.

2013-09429, 2013-10416

2013-10418, 2013-11465

Susan Aranoff, respondent, v Gerald Aranoff, appellant (Index No. 54688/12)

DECISION & ORDER ON MOTION

Motion by the appellant pro se for leave to reargue so much of his prior motion which was for leave to appeal to this Court from an order of the Supreme Court, Kings County, dated June 25, 2013, and a judgment of the same court dated September 10, 2013, which was determined by decision and order on motion of this Court dated April 3, 2014, and for poor person relief with respect to the appeals from that order and that judgment, as well as with respect to appeals from two orders of the same court dated October 1, 2013, and October 15, 2013, respectively.

Upon the papers filed in support of the motion and the papers filed in opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED that the motion is denied.

BALKIN, J.P., DICKERSON, LEVENTHAL and ROMAN, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

Aprilanne Agostino

Clerk of the Court

3. See Appendix C

Aranoff v Aranoff

Motion No: 2013-10418

Slip Opinion No: 2014 NY Slip Op 73548(U)

Decided on June 2, 2014

Appellate Division, Second Department, Motion Decision

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law  
§431

This motion is uncorrected and is not subject to publication in the Official  
Reports.

Supreme Court of the State of New York  
Appellate Division: Second Judicial Department  
M174827

E/ct

L. PRISCILLA HALL, J.P.

SHERI S. ROMAN

COLLEEN D. DUFFY

HECTOR D. LASALLE, JJ.

2013-10418,, 2013-11465

Susan Aranoff, respondent,

DECISION & ORDER ON MOTION

v Gerald Aranoff, appellant.

(Index No. 54688/12)

Motion by the appellant pro se for leave to prosecute appeals from two orders of the Supreme Court, Kings County, dated October 1, 2013, and October 15, 2013, respectively, on the original papers.

Upon the papers filed in support of the motion and the papers filed in opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED that the motion is denied; and it is further,

ORDERED that on the Court's own motion, the appellant's time to perfect the appeals is enlarged until July 25, 2014, and the record or appendix on the appeals and the appellant's brief must be served and filed on or before that date.

HALL, J.P., DUFFY and LASALLE, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

Aprilanne Agostino

Clerk of the Court

## 2 Statement of the Case

1. I request permission to make this petition. I'm acting *pro se*. I request the Court to combine this petition with my docket numbers 20-8096, 20-7892, 20-6525, 18-7160, and 18-9390. I request the Court to accept my papers without notarization since, in Israel, a US citizen can only get court acceptable notarizations from the US embassy by appointment, and none are available now. I request the Court to accept my papers on size A4 paper and not letter size since in Israel it's hard to obtain letter size paper. I request the Court to accept one copy from me since it's difficult for me to prepare 10 copies. My dear wife, Yemima, is retired from her employment as a clerk at Bank Mizrachi. We were married May 9, 1993 after I divorced Susan February 17, 1993. We are blessed with 3 daughters: Hadassah, Tamar, and Sapphire Rivka. Each has a USA social security number. I ask the court to allow me to report only my income and assets, as Yemima's income and assets are not large and not relevant.
2. I seek from the Supreme Court of the United States:  
First, for a decision that NYS courts have no more control over the QDRO that tells TIAA to pay Susan 55% of my pension with no end in sight in violation of ERISA. Second, to cancel and nullify Judge Prus' awarding my house to Susan. Third, to cancel and nullify \$25,000 in fines unpaid against me (\$10,000 + \$10,000 of Rigler/Rothbart and \$5,000 of Garson/Rothbart).
3. The Rules of the Court state: "Review on a writ of certiorari is not a matter of right, but of judicial discretion. A petition for a writ of certiorari will be granted only for compelling reasons." I'm asking the court to accept my petition on the grounds of fraud/forgery/etc. Time limits on filing cases based on fraud/forgery etc are extremely long. Often the police and court officials show no interest in evidence of crimes of fraud/forgery. An aggrieved party may have to be patient over many years, as I am, for overwhelming evidence to emerge of crimes fraud/forgery.
4. NYS Get Law DRL 253 states:  
  
New York Domestic Relations Law Sec. 253 Removal of Barriers to Re-marriage 1. This section applies only to a marriage solemnized in this state or in any other jurisdiction by a person specified in subdivision

one of section eleven of this chapter. . . .8.Any person who knowingly submits a false sworn statement under this section shall be guilty of making an apparently sworn false statement in the first degree and shall be punished in accordance with section 210.40 of the penal law. 9.Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize any court to inquire into or determine any ecclesiastical or religious issue. The truth of any statement submitted pursuant to this section shall not be the subject of any judicial inquiry, except as provided in subdivision eight of this section.

5. Section 210.40 of the penal law states:

A person is guilty of making an apparently sworn false statement in the first degree when he commits the crime of making an apparently sworn false statement in the second degree, and when (a) the written instrument involved is one for which an oath is required by law, and (b) the false statement contained therein is made with intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his official functions, and (c) such false statement is material to the action, proceeding or matter involved. Making an apparently sworn false statement in the first degree is a class E felony.

6. On December 1, 2021 I got only 45% of my pension, as the case since early 1994— due to anti-men discrimination in NYS courts. A NYS citizen moving to Israel over the objections of his wife is his right and is fine and admirable. I should be praised for giving the get 2/13/1993 to Susan. I wanted Susan and our children to live with me in Jerusalem as she promised me in writing. I always hoped that Susan and our six small children in 1991 would join me in the Land of Israel, as Susan promised me in writing. The Jerusalem Bet din advised me to send Susan a get to see if she accepts. Susan accepted 2/13/1993 before witnesses in Rabbi Ralbag's Brooklyn bet din. The same Rabbi Ralbag Judge Freda Wolfson gave immunity for testimony to convict Mendel Epstein et al. I tried to persuade Susan and our children against divorce. Did I do anything wrong? Susan was busy with funny business with agunah rights.
7. Rabbinical Court Jerusalem to Rabbi Ralbag 1/21/1993 to summons Susan for her agreement to arrange divorce.

Copy identical to source  
Rabbi Yitzchak Aaron ben Shoshan  
Head Secretary

The Regional Rabbinical Court Jerusalem  
With the help of G-  
to the attention of  
Rabbi Aryea Rabbag  
Agudas Harabanim USA  
Plaintiff Susan Aranoff  
Against  
Gerald Aranoff

This is the decision of the Court on date 28 Tevet 5753 (January 21, 1993) that the husband is willing to accept divorce by his agreeing to the division of the dwelling immediately that he gets 45% and the wife gets 55%. In exchange for his agreement to arrange divorce, likewise, regarding child support, that the husband claims that written on their names are 2 million dollars in the bank, therefore, he shall not pay child support. And that the dwelling be sold immediately, actually, your honor has already sent us the name of the wife to arrange the divorce and the name of the second agent in his letter that was sent by fax date Adar 8 first (). Please summons the wife at your earliest convenience to notify us regarding her agreement to arrange divorce.

8. I quote:

Kethuboth Chapter 13 Mishnah 11 All can be compelled to move to the Land of Israel [i.e., a man may compel his wife, children and Canaanite slaves to go to Land of Israel] but no one may be compelled to move out [from Land of Israel]. All may be forced to go up to Jerusalem but none can be made to leave, whether they be men or women [literally the men or the women. The woman can insist on the husband doing so (if he refuses he must give her kethubah and get), and if he demands and she declines she is to be divorced and forfeits her kethubah].

9. A NYS citizen moving to Israel over the objections of his wife is his right and is fine and admirable. I should be praised for giving the get 2/13/1993 to Susan. I wanted Susan and our children to live with me in Jerusalem as she promised me in writing. Susan did everything in NYS Courts to demonize me with aim of taking my pension, house, and \$10,000 will money. Susan's complaint that I abandoned her July 1991 when I went on a one way El Al flight to Israel: lie, outlandish, from the beginning, made of whole cloth.
10. It is inappropriate and wrong for Judge Prus to issue in 2013 20 years after the Get a NYS contested civil divorce 2013 Aranoff v Aranoff based on a heap of lies of Ms. Serlin and Susan. It is not possible to issue a contested civil divorce, over

the man's objections, after the man gives his wife a Get. It is not possible for Judge Rigler to order a freeze on a man's TIAA pension early 1994 over the man's objections, after the man gives his wife a Get. I understand that higher courts are reluctant to get into issues of judicial misconduct of lower court judges. The NYS Constitution requires the NYS Court of Appeals to get into issues of law that arise in deciding a case. My question: Can Judge Prus permanently award 55% of my TIAA pension in 2013 20 years after the Get?

### **3 Reasons for Granting the Petition**

The trial and jail sentence of the late Judge Gerald Garson was just the tip of the iceberg of fraud etc in NYS civil courts. The Court granting me my petition will help root out fraud in NYS civil courts.

### **4 Conclusion**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.



Gerald Aranoff

8 Miriam Haneviah Street

Bnei Brak 51583 Israel

Phone 972-523-602370

Email: [garanoff@netvision.net.il](mailto:garanoff@netvision.net.il)

Sworn this 5th day of December 2021.